

Integral equations

Solutions to the fourth problem set

1. Define

$$x_+^a = \begin{cases} x^a, & x > 0 \\ 0, & x \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Determine those values $a \in \mathbb{R}$ for which x_+^a has a weak derivative in the sense that we defined in the lectures.

Solution. Where a function is classically differentiable, weak derivative exists and coincides with the classical derivative. Thus, x_+^a is weakly differentiable in \mathbb{R}_- with weak derivative 0, and weakly differentiable in \mathbb{R}_+ with weak derivative ax^{a-1} . Thus, only the weak differentiability near zero needs to be considered, and the weak derivative in \mathbb{R} , if it exists, can only be ax_+^{a-1} .

Weak differentiability requires local integrability. For $a \neq -1$, we have

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^1 x^a dx = \left. \frac{x^{a+1}}{a+1} \right]_{\varepsilon}^{x=1} = \frac{1}{a+1} - \frac{\varepsilon^{a+1}}{a+1},$$

and the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$ exists and is finite if $a > -1$, and the integral \int_{ε}^1 tends to infinity when $a < -1$. When $a = -1$, we have

$$\int_{\varepsilon}^1 x^a dx = \left. \log x \right]_{\varepsilon}^{x=1} = -\log \varepsilon,$$

and this tends to infinity as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$. Thus, the function x_+^a is locally integrable exactly when $a > -1$.

The weak derivative needs to be locally integrable as well, and, by the above considerations, the function ax_+^{a-1} is locally integrable if and only if $a = 0$ or $a - 1 > -1$. In other words, ax_+^{a-1} is locally integrable exactly when $a \geq 0$.

Thus, x_+^a can be weakly differentiable only when $a \geq 0$, so suppose then, that $a \geq 0$. The only remaining requirement for weak differentiability is that we need to have

$$\int_0^{\infty} x^a \varphi'(x) dx = - \int_0^{\infty} ax^{a-1} \varphi(x) dx$$

for all test functions $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$. This requirement simplifies to

$$\int_0^{\infty} (x^a \varphi(x))' dx = 0.$$

As φ is compactly supported, this holds exactly when $\varepsilon^a \varphi(\varepsilon)$ tends to zero as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+$. For $a = 0$ the limit is $\varphi(0)$ and this might not vanish. For $a > 0$, the limit exists and vanishes, and we conclude that x_+^a is weakly differentiable exactly when $a > 0$, and the weak derivative is then ax_+^{a-1} .

For the next three exercises we assume that H is a **real** Hilbert space. Especially, the inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is an \mathbb{R} -bilinear map on $H \times H$.

2. Assume that $B: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real bilinear map for which there exist constants $M > 0$ and $m > 0$ such that

$$|B(u, v)| \leq M \|u\| \|v\|, \quad u, v \in H,$$

and

$$m \|u\|^2 \leq B(u, u), \quad u \in H.$$

Prove that there is a unique bounded linear operator $A: H \rightarrow H$ such that

$$B(u, v) = \langle Au, v \rangle, \quad u, v \in H.$$

Solution. For any given $u \in H$, the mapping $B(u, \cdot)$ is a bounded linear functional of H , and so, by Riesz's representation theorem, there exists a unique $w \in H$ such that

$$B(u, v) = \langle w, v \rangle$$

for all $v \in H$. Since w is unique, we may define a mapping $A: H \rightarrow H$ by setting $Au = w$, and this mapping satisfies $B(u, v) = \langle Au, v \rangle$ for all $u, v \in H$, and it is the unique mapping with this property.

Let $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbb{R}$ and $u, u' \in H$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \langle A(\alpha u + \alpha' u'), v \rangle &= B(\alpha u + \alpha' u', v) = \alpha B(u, v) + \alpha' B(u', v) \\ &= \alpha \langle Au, v \rangle + \alpha' \langle Au', v \rangle = \langle \alpha Au + \alpha' Au', v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all $v \in H$, the mapping A is linear. Finally, by Riesz's representation theorem and the upper bound for $B(\cdot, \cdot)$, we have $\|Au\| = \|w\| \leq M \|u\|$, and so A is bounded.

3. Prove that the operator A constructed above is a bijection.

Solution. Given a vector $u \neq 0$ in H , we have

$$m \|u\|^2 \leq B(u, u) = \langle Au, u \rangle \leq \|Au\| \|u\|,$$

so that $\|Au\| \geq m \|u\| > 0$. Thus $Au \neq 0$ and we conclude that A is injective.

If $v \perp \text{Im } A$, then

$$m \|v\|^2 \leq B(v, v) = \langle Av, v \rangle = 0,$$

and we must have $v = 0$. Thus the image of A is dense in H .

Let $w \in \overline{\text{Im } A}$. Then there exists a sequence $\langle w_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of vectors in $\text{Im } A$ converging to w . For each $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, there exists a unique vector $u_n \in H$ with $Au_n = w_n$. By the lower bound for B , we have, for all positive integers k and ℓ ,

$$\begin{aligned} m \|u_k - u_\ell\|^2 &\leq B(u_k - u_\ell, u_k - u_\ell) \\ &= \langle A(u_k - u_\ell), u_k - u_\ell \rangle \leq \|A(u_k - u_\ell)\| \|u_k - u_\ell\|. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\|u_k - u_\ell\| \leq \frac{1}{m} \|w_k - w_\ell\|$, and since $\langle w_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence, $\langle u_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence as well, converging to some $u \in H$. Finally, by the continuity of A , Au can only be w , and A is surjective.

4. Prove now the *Lax–Milgram theorem*: If B is as above and $\lambda: H \rightarrow B$ is a bounded linear functional, then there exists a unique element $u \in H$ such that for all $v \in H$ we have

$$B(u, v) = \lambda(v).$$

Solution. Uniqueness. If u and u' are vectors in H such that

$$B(u, v) = \lambda(v) \quad \text{and} \quad B(u', v) = \lambda(v)$$

for all $v \in H$, then

$$\begin{aligned} m \|u - u'\|^2 &\leq B(u - u', u - u') = B(u, u - u') - B(u', u - u') \\ &= \lambda(u - u') - \lambda(u - u') = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so that $u = u'$.

Existence. By Riesz's representation theorem, there exists a unique $w \in H$ so that $\lambda(v) = \langle w, v \rangle$ for all $v \in H$. If we choose $u = A^{-1}w$, we have

$$B(u, v) = \langle Au, v \rangle = \langle AA^{-1}w, v \rangle = \langle w, v \rangle = \lambda(v)$$

for all $v \in H$.

Let now $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be open and bounded. Consider the linear partial differential operator

$$L = -\Delta + \sum_{k=1}^n b_k(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} + c(x)$$

where the real valued functions b_k and c are continuous in $\bar{\Omega}$.

5. Define the bilinear form

$$B(u, v) = \int_{\Omega} \langle \nabla u, \nabla v \rangle + \int_{\Omega} \sum_{k=1}^n b_k \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_k} v + \int_{\Omega} cuv$$

on $H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega)$. Prove that B satisfies the so-called energy estimates: there exist positive constants M , m and C such that

$$|B(u, v)| \leq M \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}$$

and

$$m \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \leq B(u, u) + C \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$$

for all $u, v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Solution. For simplicity, we write $\|\cdot\|$ for the $L^2(\Omega)$ -norm, and $\|\nabla u\|^2$ for $\sum_{k=1}^n \|\partial_k u\|^2$. By the triangle inequality,

$$|B(u, v)| \leq \|\nabla u\| \|\nabla v\| + b \|\nabla u\| \|v\| + b' \|u\| \|v\|,$$

where $b = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \|b_k\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$ and $b' = \|c\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}$, and so

$$|B(u, v)| \leq (1 + b + b') (\|u\| + \|\nabla u\|) (\|v\| + \|\nabla v\|).$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality in \mathbb{R}^2 , we can estimate

$$\|u\| + \|\nabla u\| \leq \sqrt{2} \sqrt{\|u\|^2 + \|\nabla u\|^2} = \sqrt{2} \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)},$$

and combining this with the previous estimate gives

$$|B(u, v)| \leq 2(1 + b + b') \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)},$$

which is an upper bound of the desired shape.

Again, by the triangle inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} B(u, u) &= \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{\Omega} b_k \partial_k u \cdot u + \int_{\Omega} c |u|^2 \\ &\geq \|\nabla u\|^2 - b \|\nabla u\| \|u\| - b' \|u\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The elementary inequality $\alpha\beta \leq \frac{\alpha^2 + \beta^2}{2}$, which holds for all $\alpha, \beta \in [0, \infty[$, implies that

$$b \|\nabla u\| \|u\| = \|\nabla u\| \cdot b \|u\| \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 + \frac{b^2}{2} \|u\|^2.$$

Combining this with the lower bound for $B(u, u)$ gives

$$B(u, u) \geq \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla u\|^2 - \left(\frac{b^2}{2} + b'\right) \|u\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 - \left(\frac{b^2}{2} + b' + \frac{1}{2}\right) \|u\|^2,$$

and we are done.

6. Apply the previous exercise to study the weak solvability on $H_0^1(\Omega)$ of the boundary value problem

$$Lu + \mu u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$

for a large enough constant μ .

Solution. Here weak solvability means that $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ is such that

$$B(u, v) + \mu \int_{\Omega} uv = \int_{\Omega} fv$$

for all $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. We assume that $f \in L^2(\Omega)$. Write $\tilde{B}(u, v)$ for the left-hand side. From the previous exercise, we know that

$$|\tilde{B}(u, v)| \leq (2 + 2b + 2b' + |\mu|) \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)} \|v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)},$$

and if $\mu > \frac{b^2}{2} + b' + \frac{1}{2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}(u, u) &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 + \left(\mu - \frac{b^2}{2} - b' - 1\right) \|u\|^2 \\ &\geq \min \left\{ \frac{1}{2}, \mu - \frac{b^2}{2} - b' - \frac{1}{2} \right\} \|u\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Also, the mapping $\lambda = v \mapsto \int_{\Omega} fv$ is a bounded linear functional of $H_0^1(\Omega)$, as

$$|\lambda(v)| \leq \|f\| \|v\| \leq \|f\| \|v\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}.$$

Thus, by the Lax–Milgram theorem, there is a unique weak solution $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

7. Show that the set of Dirichlet eigenvalues of Δ on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is invariant under rotations, reflections and translations of Ω .

Solution. The key point here is that the Laplace operator commutes with the mappings in question, and more generally with automorphisms of the Euclidean space (as a geometrical structure). In other words, for such a geometrical mapping $A: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, and for $f \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$,

$$\Delta(f(A(x))) = (\Delta f)(A(x)), \quad (*)$$

$x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. As the group of automorphisms in question is generated by translations and orthogonal transformations, it is enough to prove (*) for those two classes of mappings. For translations (*) is clearly true, so we may focus on the latter class.

Let $O = [O_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ be an orthogonal matrix, i.e. $O^T O = I$. In terms of the components, orthogonality means that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n O_{ik} O_{jk} = \delta_{ij},$$

where $\delta_{ij} = 1$ when $i = j$ and $= 0$ otherwise. Given a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the k th component $(Ox)_k$ of Ox is

$$(Ox)_k = \sum_{j=1}^n O_{kj} x_j.$$

Now, using the above relations and the chain rule,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(f(Ox)) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_\ell^2} (f(Ox)) = \sum_{\ell=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\ell} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_k} (Ox) \cdot \frac{\partial (Ox)_k}{\partial x_\ell} \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{k'=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{k'} \partial x_k} (Ox) \cdot \frac{\partial (Ox)_{k'}}{\partial x_\ell} \cdot O_{k\ell} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{k'=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_{k'} \partial x_k} (Ox) \sum_{\ell=1}^n O_{k'\ell} O_{k\ell} = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_k^2} (Ox) = (\Delta f)(Ox). \end{aligned}$$

Now that (*) has been proved, let u be a Dirichlet eigenfunction of $-\Delta$ in Ω corresponding to an eigenvalue λ . Then

$$-\Delta(u(A^{-1}x)) = -(\Delta u)(A^{-1}\cdot) = \lambda u(A^{-1}\cdot),$$

so that $u(A^{-1}\cdot)$ is a Dirichlet eigenfunction of $-\Delta$ in $A[\Omega]$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ .

8. Given $\lambda > 0$ and $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, let $\lambda\Omega = \{\lambda x | x \in \Omega\}$. What can you say about the Dirichlet eigenvalues of $\lambda\Omega$?

Solution. Let $u \in C^2_{\partial}(\Omega)$ be a Dirichlet eigenfunction of $-\Delta$ in Ω corresponding to an eigenvalue μ . Then $u(\cdot/\lambda)$ is a function in $C^2_{\partial}(\lambda\Omega)$ and

$$-\Delta \left(u \left(\frac{\cdot}{\lambda} \right) \right) = -\frac{1}{\lambda^2} (\Delta u) \left(\frac{\cdot}{\lambda} \right) = \frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} u \left(\frac{\cdot}{\lambda} \right),$$

so that $u(\cdot/\lambda)$ is a Dirichlet eigenfunction of $-\Delta$ in $\lambda\Omega$ corresponding to the eigenvalue μ/λ^2 .

Applying the same argument with the inverse of λ shows, that if μ' is a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in $\lambda\Omega$, then $\lambda^2\mu'$ is a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in Ω .

For the next two exercises fix a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, let

$$C^2_{\partial}(\Omega) = \{u \in C^2(\Omega) \cap C(\bar{\Omega}) \mid u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0\}$$

and define

$$\lambda_1 = \inf_{w \in C^2_{\partial}(\Omega)} \frac{\|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}{\|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}.$$

9. Assume $u \in C^2_{\partial}(\Omega)$ is such that

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}{\|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2},$$

i.e. we attain the minimum at u . Prove that λ_1 is a Dirichlet eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ on Ω with eigenvalue u . **Hint:** Given any $v \in C^2_{\partial}(\Omega)$ study the function

$$f(\varepsilon) = \frac{\|\nabla(u + \varepsilon v)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2}{\|u + \varepsilon v\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2},$$

at zero.

Solution. Again, for simplicity, we denote the L^2 -norm in Ω by $\|\cdot\|$, and the inner product by $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$. Let us first compute the derivative $f'(\varepsilon)$:

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\varepsilon) &= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \frac{\|\nabla u\|^2 + 2\varepsilon \langle \nabla u | \nabla v \rangle + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla v\|^2}{\|u\|^2 + 2\varepsilon \langle u | v \rangle + \varepsilon^2 \|v\|^2} \\ &= \frac{2 \langle \nabla u | \nabla v \rangle + 2\varepsilon \|\nabla v\|^2}{\|u\|^2 + 2\varepsilon \langle u | v \rangle + \varepsilon^2 \|v\|^2} \\ &\quad - \frac{(\|\nabla u\|^2 + 2\varepsilon \langle \nabla u | \nabla v \rangle + \varepsilon^2 \|\nabla v\|^2)(2 \langle u | v \rangle + 2\varepsilon \|v\|^2)}{(\|u\|^2 + 2\varepsilon \langle u | v \rangle + \varepsilon^2 \|v\|^2)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since u is a minimum, $f(\varepsilon)$ has a minimum at $\varepsilon = 0$, and we must have $f'(0) = 0$. More precisely,

$$\frac{2 \langle \nabla u | \nabla v \rangle}{\|u\|^2} - \frac{(\|\nabla u\|^2)(2 \langle u | v \rangle)}{(\|u\|^2)^2} = 0,$$

for all v in, say, $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. This simplifies to

$$\langle \nabla u | \nabla v \rangle = \frac{\|\nabla u\|^2}{\|u\|^2} \langle u | v \rangle = \lambda_1 \langle u | v \rangle.$$

By Green's formulae, we have

$$\langle -\Delta u | v \rangle = \lambda_1 \langle u | v \rangle$$

for all test functions v . Since test functions are dense in $L^2(\Omega)$, we conclude that $-\Delta u = \lambda_1 u$.

10. Prove that $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda$ for all Dirichlet eigenvalues λ of $-\Delta$ on Ω .

Solution. If $u \in C_\partial^2(\Omega)$ solves $-\Delta u = \lambda u$, where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, then

$$-\int_{\Omega} u \Delta u = \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^2.$$

By Green's formulae, we have

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 = \lambda \int_{\Omega} |u|^2.$$

Thus, directly by the definition of λ_1 , we have

$$\lambda_1 \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2}{\int_{\Omega} |u|^2} = \lambda.$$