

UH/ Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Introduction to mathematical finance I, spring 2016  
Exercise -1 (28.1.2016)

Notation:  $\mathbb{R}_+ = [0, \infty)$ .

1. Let  $V$  be a vector space, for example  $V = \mathbb{R}^d$ . A set  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq V$  is convex if and only if

$$x, y \in \mathcal{C}, 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \implies \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y \in \mathcal{C}$$

Show that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$x_i \in \mathcal{C}, \alpha_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, n \text{ and } \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1, \\ \implies \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i \in \mathcal{C}$$

2. *Farkas' lemma*

Let  $A$  be a  $(d \times n)$  matrix, and  $b = (b_1, \dots, b_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

Either of these two alternatives always holds:

- (a) There is  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  such that  $j = 1, \dots, n$  jolla  $Ax = b$   
(b) There is  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  such that  $yA \in \mathbb{R}_+^d$  and  $b \cdot y < 0$ .

Prove Farkas' lemma by using the separating hyperplane theorem.

**Hint** Think about the geometry of the problem: if  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$  are the column vectors of the matrix  $A$ , you can show that

$$\mathcal{C} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i a_i : \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}_+ \right\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$$

which is the convex cone generated by the vectors  $a_1, \dots, a_n$ , is actually convex and closed in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

and the alternatives (a) and (b) correspond to the cases where  $b \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $b \notin \mathcal{C}$ , respectively.

3. Prove Gordon theorem: for a matrix  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ ,  
either  $Ax > 0$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , ( $r = (r_1, \dots, r_d) > 0$  means  $r_i > 0 \forall i$ ),  
or  $yA = 0$  for some  $y \in \mathbb{R}_+^d \setminus \{0\}$ .

website	a	b	c	d	e	f	g
Barcelona wins	1.85	1.80	1.95	1.80	1.85	1.85	1.75
Manchester City wins	4.30	4.55	4.35	4.30	4.55	4.60	4.70
Draw	3.50	3.55	3.35	3.70	3.30	3.45	3.55

Table 1: gambling multipliers

4. A betting-website offers the following multiplier coefficients for the football game Barcelona-Manchester City: 1.85 for a Barcelona win, 4.3 for a Manchester city win, 3.5 for a draw, Is this pricing system arbitrage free ? Is it possible for a gambler to construct an arbitrage strategy with non-negative bets (without short positions?)
  
5. Table (1) shows the coefficients for Barcelona-Manchester-City game offered by 7 different gambling websites:

Check whether a gambler can find an arbitrage possibility with non-negative bets (without short positions) by using the highest multipliers offered for each result.