

Introduction to differential forms

model solutions 8

Jan Cristina

1.

solution. Recall that $[\alpha] + a[\beta] := [\alpha + a\beta]$. Hence, we must show that $\partial_k^*[\alpha + a\beta] = \partial_k^*[\alpha] + a\partial_k^*[\beta]$. Now given forms α' and β' for which

$$g^\# \alpha' = \alpha \quad g^\# \beta' = \beta.$$

Then we have that $g^\#(\alpha' + a\beta') = \alpha + a\beta$ be the linearity of $g^\#$. Then let α'' and β'' be such that

$$f^\# \alpha'' = d\alpha' \quad f^\# \beta'' = \beta'.$$

Then by construction

$$\partial^*[\alpha] = [\alpha''], \text{ and } \partial^*[\beta] = [\beta''].$$

But then $\partial^*[\alpha] + a\partial^*[\beta] = [\alpha'' + a\beta'']$. And $\alpha'' + a\beta''$ satisfies

$$f^\#(\alpha'' + a\beta'') = d(\alpha' + a\beta')$$

by the linearity of $f^\#$ and d . Hence

$$\partial^*[\alpha + a\beta] = [\alpha'' + a\beta''],$$

and

$$\partial^*[\alpha + a\beta] = \partial^*[\alpha] + a\partial^*[\beta].$$

□

2.

solution. 1. The map $t \mapsto -1/t$ is smooth for $t \neq 0$, the map $\chi_{(0,\infty)}$ is smooth for $t \neq 0$ and $x \mapsto e^x$ is smooth for all x , so $\phi(t)$ is the product of two smooth functions whenever $t \neq 0$. Hence ϕ is smooth for $t \neq 0$. We intend to show that $\phi^{(n)}(t)$ is continuous and $\phi^{(n)}(0) = 0$. Claim: $\phi^{(n)}(t) = p_n(1/t)\chi_{(0,\infty)}e^{-1/t}$, for $t \neq 0$, where p_n is a polynomial.

This is easily seen by induction. It is true for $\phi^{(0)}(t) = \phi(t) = \chi_{(0,\infty)} p_0(1/t) e^{-1/t}$ where $p_0(x) = 1$. Then if true for n , then

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^{(n+1)}(t) &= -p'_n(1/t) \frac{1}{t^2} e^{-1/t} \chi_{(0,\infty)} + \frac{1}{t^2} p_n(1/t) e^{-1/t} \chi_{(0,\infty)} \\ &= \frac{1}{t^2} (p_n(1/t) - p'_n(1/t)) e^{-1/t} \chi_{(0,\infty)}.\end{aligned}$$

Clearly $(p_n(1/t) - p'_n(1/t))/t^2$ is a polynomial in $1/t$.

Now we can show that $f(t) = \chi_{(0,\infty)} p(1/t) e^{-1/t}$ is continuous and equal to 0 at $t = 0$, and that

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \chi_{(0,\infty)} p(1/t) e^{-1/t} \right|_{t=0} = 0.$$

It is well known that $e^{-x} p(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$, so it is clear that $f(t)$ is continuous at $t = 0$. Now consider $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (f(t) - 0)/t$: on the left this is always 0, and on the right this is

$$\frac{1}{t} p(t) e^{-1/t} = \hat{p}(1/t) e^{-1/t}.$$

where \hat{p} is the polynomial obtained by increasing the degree of each term of p by 1. Hence $f'(t) = 0$. Hence ϕ is smooth.

2. Define $\hat{\phi}(t) := \phi(t - a)\phi(b - t)$. Then $t < a$ $\hat{\phi}(t) = 0$, for $a < t < b$ $\hat{\phi}(t) > 0$ and for $t > b$ $\hat{\phi} = 0$. Then define

$$\psi(t) := \frac{1}{\int_a^b \hat{\phi} dt} \int_{-\infty}^t \hat{\phi}(t) dt.$$

The function ψ satisfies the desired properties and $\psi'(t) = \hat{\phi}(t) / \int_a^b \hat{\phi} dt$.

3. Let ψ be as above for $a = 1/2$ and $b = 1$. Then define

$$\theta(y) := 1 - \psi(|y - x|/\varepsilon).$$

The map $y \mapsto |y - x|$ is smooth for $y \neq x$, but by the chain rule

$$D\theta(y) = -\psi'(|y - x|/\varepsilon) D|y - x|/\varepsilon.$$

and this is zero for $|y - x|/\varepsilon < 1/2$, hence the map is smooth, non-negative, and support contained in the set $B(x, \varepsilon)$. □

3.

solution. Define $K_\varepsilon \subset U$ to be the set $\{x \in U : |x| < 1/\varepsilon, d(x, \partial U) \geq \varepsilon\}$. For each $x \in K_1$ choose a radius $1/4 > r_x > 0$ such that $B(x, r_x) \subset U$ and $B(x, 2r_x) \subset V_i$ for some i . Then this forms a cover of K_ε . Choose a finite subcover, and denote this $B_k : k = 1, \dots, k_0$. Then let B^0 denote the union $\bigcup_{k=1}^{k_0} B_k$. Then $B^0 \subset K_{1/2}$. Now suppose we have a finite cover \mathcal{B} of $K_{2^{-p}}$ of balls with radius at most $2^{-(p+2)}$, satisfying properties (2) and (3). Then choose a finite subcover of $K_{2^{-(p+1)}} \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{B}$ of balls of radius at most $2^{-(p+3)}$ satisfying (2), called \mathcal{B}' then $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{B}'$ is a cover of $K_{2^{-p+1}}$ satisfying properties (2) and (3).

If we do this step again, we will get collection \mathcal{B}'' for which no member intersects any member of \mathcal{B} when both members' radii are doubled.

In this way we can construct an increasing cover that covers $\bigcup_{\varepsilon>0} K_\varepsilon = U$, and satisfies the desired properties. In fact this construction yields a stronger property: every point x has a neighbourhood U which intersects only finitely many balls, *i.e.* the cover is *locally finite*.

4.

solution. Using the preceding problem, we show we have a refinement of \mathcal{V} by balls B_k such that $2B_k \subset V_{i_k}$ for every k some i_k . For each ball B_k define θ_k to be the map with support \overline{B}_k , and hence support contained in $2B_k \subset V_{i_k}$. Then by construction the cover is locally finite, so take W to be a neighbourhood of x which only intersects finitely many balls. Then

$$\hat{\phi}(x) := \sum_k \theta_k(x),$$

is smooth because the sum is a locally finite sum of smooth functions. It is positive everywhere in U because $\bigcup_k B_k = U$, hence $\phi_k := \theta_k/\hat{\phi}$ is smooth and has support in \overline{B}_k , and $\sum_k \phi_k = 1$. \square

5.

solution. 1. We must first show that the map is well defined:

$$\begin{aligned} f_*\sigma + f_*\partial\tau &= f_*\sigma + \partial f_* \\ f_*\sigma + f_*\partial\tau &\sim f_*\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Now we must show linearity:

$$\begin{aligned} f_*([\sigma] + a[\tau]) &= f_*[\sigma + a\tau] \\ &= [f_*(\sigma + a\tau)] \\ &= [f_*\sigma + f_*a\tau] \\ &= [f_*\sigma + af_*\tau] \\ &= [f_*\sigma] + a[f_*\tau] \\ &= f_*[\sigma] + af_*[\tau]. \end{aligned}$$

2. Let σ be a one cycle, that is a map $\sigma \sum_i a_i \zeta_i$, where $\zeta_i[0, 1] \rightarrow X$ for which σ . Then define $\widehat{H}^i := H^i(\zeta_i(\cdot), \cdot) : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow Y$. We define the 2-chain in $[0, 1]^2$, given by $\square = \Delta_0 + \Delta_1$ where $\Delta_0 = [(0, 0), (0, 1), (1, 0)]$ and $\Delta_1 = [(1, 0), (0, 1), (1, 1)]$. Let $\tau_i = \widehat{H}_*^i \square$, then $\partial \tau_i = \widehat{H}_*^i \partial \square$:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial \square &= [(0, 0), (0, 1)] + [(0, 1), (1, 0)] + [(1, 0), (0, 0)] \\ &\quad + [(1, 0), (0, 1)] + [(0, 1), (1, 1)] + [(1, 1), (1, 0)] \\ &= [(0, 0), (0, 1)] + [(1, 0), (0, 0)] + [(0, 1), (1, 1)] + [(1, 1), (1, 0)] \end{aligned}$$

Now we can precompose with \widehat{H}_*^i to yield

$$\widehat{H}_* \partial \square = H(\zeta_i(0), \cdot) - g_* \zeta_i + f_* \zeta_i + H(\zeta_i(1), 1 - \cdot).$$

And so

$$\sum_i a_i \partial \tau_i = \sum_i a_i H(\zeta_i(0), \cdot) - a_i H(\zeta_i(1), \cdot) + a_i f_* \zeta_i - a_i g_* \zeta_i.$$

By σ being a cycle we have

$$\sum a_i (\zeta_i(0) - \zeta_i(1)) = 0.$$

from this it follows that

$$\sum_i a_i H(\zeta_i(0), \cdot) - a_i H(\zeta_i(1), \cdot) = 0.$$

$$\partial \sum_i a_i \tau_i = f_* \sigma - g_* \beta.$$

3. We note that clearly the zero chain $f_* \sigma(0) - g_* \sigma(0) = (\sigma(0), \cdot)$. Now for σ a 0- or 1-cycle $f_* \sigma \sim g_* \sigma$, so $f_*[\sigma] = g_*[\sigma]$, so $f_* = g_*$. The reason this is not shown for $k > 1$ is that the partition of the k -square $[0, 1]^k$ into simplices is more complicated. \square

6.

solution. We must first check that every chain (totally ordered subset) has an upper bound. Let $\{(W_\alpha, e_\alpha : I_\alpha \rightarrow W_\alpha) : \alpha \in A\}$ be such a chain. Then

$$\left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} W_\alpha, e_A : \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} I_\alpha \rightarrow \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} W_\alpha \right)$$

where $e_A|_{I_\alpha} = e_\alpha$. Because the chain is totally ordered this function is well defined.

Hence we can apply Zorn's lemma and so there is a maximal element $(W, e : I \rightarrow W)$. Suppose that $V \setminus W \neq \emptyset$. Then choose $v \in V \setminus W$. Then $(W \oplus \mathbb{F}v, e' : \{I\} \cup I \rightarrow W \oplus \mathbb{F}v)$ where $e'(i) = e(i)$ for $i \in I$ and $e'(I) = v$. Clearly e' forms a basis as v is linearly independent from e . This element is clearly larger, contradicting maximality. Hence $(W, e : I \rightarrow W)$ is a basis. \square