

A note on Patterson measures

Kurt Falk and Pekka Tukia

Abstract. Conformal measures are measures satisfying the transformation rule (1) for elements of a Kleinian group G and are normally supported by the limit set of G . They are usually constructed by a method due to S. J. Patterson as weak limits of measures supported by a fixed orbit of G in the hyperbolic space, often identified with the unit ball \mathbf{B}^n . We call such limit measures Patterson measures. This has been the predominant way to obtain conformal measures and one may get the impression that all conformal measures are Patterson measures. We show in this note that this is not the case and two concrete examples are given in Section 6.

1. Introduction

If G is a group of Möbius transformation on the closed unit ball $\overline{\mathbf{B}^n}$, then a *conformal measure* of dimension δ for G is a real-valued, non-negative, finite Borel measure μ on $\overline{\mathbf{B}^n}$ such that

$$(1) \quad \mu(gA) = \int_A |g'|^\delta d\mu$$

for measurable $A \subset \overline{\mathbf{B}^n}$ and $g \in G$. Usually, G is Kleinian and one is interested in conformal measures supported by the limit set $L(G)$ of G with δ being the exponent of convergence δ_G of G . Patterson [P] constructed such measures if G is a Fuchsian group and this construction was later generalized by Sullivan [S] to the situation of Kleinian groups.

Patterson's method was to construct such measures as weak limits of probability measures μ_i supported by an orbit Gz of G in \mathbf{B}^n . The measures μ_i are constructed so that, given any neighborhood U in $\overline{\mathbf{B}^n}$ of the limit set, the μ_i -mass of the complement of U tends to 0 as $i \rightarrow \infty$. The measures μ_i are constructed using the Poincaré series of G defined as

$$(2) \quad P_\delta(z, y) = \sum_{g \in G} e^{-\delta d(z, g(y))}.$$

This series converges if $\delta > \delta_G$ and diverges if $\delta < \delta_G$. If $\delta = \delta_G$, then the series may diverge or converge; if it diverges, G is of divergence type (at the exponent of

convergence). In the divergence case, the construction of μ_i is very simple: One lets μ_i be a weighted sum of atomic measures $\mu_{g(z)}$ with total mass 1 concentrated at $g(z)$. One sets

$$(3) \quad \mu_i = c_i \sum_{g \in G} e^{-\delta_i d(z, g(y))} \mu_{g(y)}$$

where $\delta_i > \delta_G$ and $\delta_i \rightarrow \delta_G$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. The constant c_i is $P_{\delta_i}(z, y)^{-1}$, and is chosen so that the total mass is 1. If the Poincaré series diverges at the exponent of convergence, a subsequence of μ_i 's will have a weak limit which is a conformal measure on the limit set. The reason why the limit measure is conformal is that the ratio of $|g'(0)|$ to $e^{-d(0, g(0))}$ tends to 1 as $d(0, g(0)) \rightarrow \infty$ which easily implies the conformality of the limit if $z = y = 0$.

Unfortunately, this simple strategy does not work if the Poincaré series is of convergence type. Patterson [P] overcame this difficulty by modifying the Poincaré series so that it still diverges if $\delta < \delta_g$ and converges if $\delta > \delta_G$, but if $\delta = \delta_G$ it necessarily diverges. The modified Poincaré series is obtained by using a real function $h(t)$ defined for non-negative t . We set

$$(4) \quad P_{h, \delta}(z, y) = \sum_{g \in G} h(d(z, g(y))) e^{-\delta d(z, g(y))}$$

and call $P_{h, \delta}$ the *h-modified Poincaré series* for G . The function h has the property that $h(t) \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in such a way that we obtain divergence for $\delta = \delta_G$ but that otherwise the convergence is as for P_δ . One can choose h so that if one replaces in (3) $e^{-\delta_i d(g(z), y)}$ by $h(d(g(z), y)) e^{-\delta_i d(g(z), y)}$, then a subsequence has a weak limit which is a conformal measure. The map h needs to satisfy certain conditions in order for this process to work; these are the conditions 1° - 3° to be discussed later.

If the group is of the first kind, then the Lebesgue measure on the boundary sphere of hyperbolic space is a conformal measure. If the group is of the second kind, giving a conformal measure on the limit set is a non-trivial problem. Patterson's construction seems to have been the only one to give measures on the limit set at the exponent of convergence. For instance, Nicholls' book [N] discusses only Patterson's method to construct conformal measures and one easily gets the impression that all conformal measures on the limit set can be obtained by this construction.

The purpose of this note is to show that there are conformal measures which cannot be obtained by the Patterson construction even if the dimension of the measure is the exponent of convergence. Our argument applies if the Poincaré series of G converges at the exponent of convergence and is based on the analysis of ends and end limit

points of G ; these are defined in the next section. If E is an end of G , then there is an endgroup G_E associated to E as well as a subset of $L(G)$ called the end limit set of E and denoted by $L_e(E)$. If $\delta_{G_E} < \delta_G$, then we will show that any Patterson measure vanishes on the end limit point set of E . On the other hand, we know that if $L_e(E) \neq \emptyset$, then the union of $g(L_e(E))$, $g \in G$, supports a conformal measure μ_E for G of dimension δ_G , cf. [AFT, Theorem 4.4]. (We say that a subset of $\overline{\mathbf{B}^n}$ *supports* a measure if its complement has measure zero.) Thus μ_E cannot be a Patterson measure for G . More generally, this result is still valid even when $\delta_{G_E} = \delta_G$, but the h -modified Poincaré series for G_E converges at the exponent δ_G . This follows from our Theorem in Section 5.

The method used in [AFT] to construct measures supported by the end limit points was to take a sequence of points z_i contained in the end and exiting to the end in the sense that if $C \subset \mathbf{B}^n$ is compact, then the z_i eventually escape from GC . If the Poincaré series of G converges at δ , then there is a conformal measure of total mass 1 supported by the G_E -orbit of z_i where G_E is the stabilizer of the end. These measures have a weak limit which is supported by the end limit points of E and this limit measure can be extended to a conformal measure of G . This is the only alternative construction of conformal measures, in addition to the Patterson construction, of which we know.

In Section 6 we shall give two examples of conformal measures of dimension equal to the exponent of convergence which cannot be obtained by the Patterson construction. The first example of an atomic measure on the orbit of a parabolic fixed point of a convergence group was one of the main motivations for this work. The second example is more complex in that it combines a geometrically infinite, topologically tame Kleinian group of the second kind acting in hyperbolic 3-space with an infinitely generated group with exponent of convergence strictly less than 2. The latter gives an end with boundary, as defined below, for the associated hyperbolic manifold. The corresponding end limit set then supports a conformal measure which, by our main result, cannot be a Patterson measure.

2. Definitions and notations

In this paper G is a discrete group of Möbius transformations of the closed euclidean unit ball $\overline{\mathbf{B}^n}$. The limit set is denoted $L(G)$. We let $M_G = \mathbf{B}^n/G$ and $\overline{M}_G = (\overline{\mathbf{B}^n} \setminus L(G))/G$ so that $M_G \subset \overline{M}_G$. We denote by ∂A the boundary in M_G for subsets of M_G , and by $\overline{\partial} A$ the boundary in \overline{M}_G for subsets of \overline{M}_G .

An *end* of M_G is an open connected subset E of M_G with non-compact closure in M_G and such that ∂E is compact and non-empty. We will also consider ends with boundary in \overline{M}_G ; an open subset E of \overline{M}_G is called an end with boundary if E is connected, has non-compact closure in \overline{M}_G but $\overline{\partial} E$ is compact and non-empty.

We will also consider lifts of ends to the n -ball. Let $\pi : \overline{\mathbf{B}^n} \setminus L(G) \rightarrow \overline{M}_G$ be the canonical projection. An end [with boundary] of G is a connected component of $\pi^{-1}(E)$ where E is an end of M_G [or of \overline{M}_G if E is an end with boundary]. Thus an *end* of G refers to subsets of \mathbf{B}^n or of $\overline{\mathbf{B}^n} \setminus L(G)$ whereas an *end* of M_G or of \overline{M}_G lives in the quotient.

If E is an end, possibly with boundary, then its set of *endpoints* is the set of points $z \in S^{n-1}$ such that if R is a hyperbolic ray towards z , then R has a subray R' contained in the end such that the hyperbolic distance $d(u, \partial E \cap \mathbf{B}^n) \rightarrow \infty$ as u moves towards z on R' ; here ∂ refers to the boundary in \mathbf{B}^n . An endpoint z of E is an *end limit point* of E if z is also a limit point; note that if z is an endpoint of E , then $z \in L(G_E)$ as soon as $z \in L(G)$. This follows from Lemma 3.1 of [AFT] which is valid also for ends with boundary.

The set of end limit points of E is denoted by $L_e(E)$. Note that there may be two ends with the same end group. This happens if G is a finitely generated, doubly degenerate Kleinian surface group acting on \mathbf{B}^3 (see for instance [MT] for standard definitions).

The notion of a bounded end is crucial for one of our examples. Let E be an end of M_G and F be a component of the lift of E to \mathbf{B}^n . Let G_F be the stabilizer of F in G . Since $gE = E$ for $g \in G_E$, and $gE \cap E = \emptyset$ if $g \in G \setminus G_E$, we can identify $E = F/G$ and F/G_F . We can say now that the end E (or its lift F) is a *bounded end* if $\overline{M}_{G_F} \setminus E$ is compact. The definition is similar for ends with boundary, with \mathbf{B}^n replaced by $\overline{\mathbf{B}^n} \setminus L(G)$.

3. The Patterson construction

We will now discuss Patterson's construction to the extent needed in this paper. We first note that sometimes one replaces $h(d(z, g(y)))$ in the formula (4) by $h(e^{d(z, g(y))})$, for instance this is so in Nicholls' book [N] which we use as our reference. The conditions 1° and 2° below correspond to Nicholls' conditions 1 and 2 in Lemma 3.1.1 with this modification. Thus if h_N is as in [N], we need to set $h(t) = h_N(e^t)$ in order to get our h . The function h used in (4) needs to be continuous and non-decreasing and in addition it must satisfy

- 1°. $P_{h, \delta}$ converges for $\delta > \delta_G$ and diverges for $\delta \leq \delta_G$, and
- 2°. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists r_0 such that if $r > r_0$, then $h(t+r) \leq e^{\varepsilon t} h(r)$.

This is all that is needed for the construction of the the conformal measure on $L(G)$. However, we also need a third condition, which is automatically satisfied (see below) if h is constructed as in [N].

3°. $h(r+t) \leq h(r)h(t)$ for all positive t and r .

We call a function h satisfying these conditions *Patterson function* for G . A measure μ is a *Patterson measure* if it is a weak limit of measures μ_i obtained using a Patterson function, that is a weak limit of measures μ_i as in (5) below whose dimensions δ_i decrease to δ_G .

If h is constructed as in [N], p. 47, then 3° easily follows. Nicholls follows Patterson [P] when constructing h with the aid of an increasing sequence X_n of positive numbers and another sequence ε_n of decreasing positive numbers such that

$$h_N(x) = h_N(X^n)(x/X_n)^{\varepsilon_n}$$

if $X_n \leq x \leq X_{n+1}$. If $h(t) = h_N(e^t)$, then the derivative of $\log h(t)$ is ε_n on (X_n, X_{n+1}) . Thus the derivative of $\log h(t)$ is non-increasing and 3° follows.

We remark that while 3° is a critical condition for us, only 1° and 2° are needed to show that a weak limit of measures μ_i in (3) is a conformal measure. Thus it is still possible that the measures which cannot be limits of Patterson measures, that is measures defined using h as in 1° - 3°, could still be obtained by the Patterson construction using h satisfying 1° and 2° but not 3°. However, the construction of h in [P], [S], [N] is very natural and we doubt very much whether removing 3° allows one to obtain every conformal measure as a weak limit of measures μ_i as in (3).

We remark that 3° could be weakened in the sense that there is a constant C not depending on t and r such that

$$h(t+r) \leq C h(t) h(r).$$

Our arguments would still be valid with this weakened condition.

4. Adapting the Shadow Lemma.

Let G be a Kleinian group of \mathbf{B}^n such that the Poincaré series of G converges at the exponent of convergence δ_G . Let h be a Patterson function for G . We use $P_{h,\delta}$ as in (4) to define the atomic measures which, in the weak limit, give a conformal measure on $L(G)$.

Let $\delta > \delta_G$ and define the probability measure μ_δ on Gz as

$$(5) \quad \mu_\delta = \sum_{g \in G} c_\delta h(d(y, g(z))) e^{-\delta d(y, g(z))} \mu_{g(z)}$$

where $\mu_{g(z)}$ is the atomic measure of mass 1 concentrated at $g(z)$ and the normalization constant $c_\delta = P_{h,\delta}(y, z)^{-1}$ is so chosen that the total mass is 1.

We need estimates for μ_δ -measures of shadows of hyperbolic balls. If $z \in \mathbf{B}^n$ and $r > 0$, let $S_y(z, r)$ be the shadow from y of the hyperbolic disk $D(z, r)$ of hyperbolic radius r and set $S(z, r) = S_0(z, r)$. Thus $w \in \overline{\mathbf{B}^n}$ is in $S_y(z, r)$ if the hyperbolic line segment or ray with endpoints y and w intersects $D(z, r)$. The following is an adaptation of Sullivan's Shadow Lemma.

Lemma. *There is a constant M depending on r and z (with G fixed) such that if $\delta_G + 1 > \delta > \delta_G$ and $\zeta \in Gz$, then*

$$\mu_\delta(S_y(\zeta, r)) \leq M h(d(y, \zeta)) e^{-\delta_G d(y, \zeta)}.$$

Proof. We can assume that $y = 0$ by conjugating G with a Möbius transformation g such that $g(y) = 0$. We can also assume that $z = 0$ (changing z to 0 means only that we may need to multiply by a constant). Thus there is $g \in G$ such that $g(\zeta) = 0$. The map g transforms the shadow $S(\zeta, r)$ to the shadow $S_{g(0)}(0, r) = g(S(\zeta, r))$, that is $w \in g(S(\zeta, r))$ if the hyperbolic line segment or ray with endpoints w and $g(0)$ intersects $D(0, r)$. Thus if $\gamma(0) \in G0 \cap g(S(\zeta, r))$, then

$$d(g(0), \gamma(0)) \leq d(g(0), 0) + d(0, \gamma(0)) \leq d(g(0), \gamma(0)) + 2r.$$

Since h is increasing, it therefore follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\delta(S(\zeta, r)) &= \sum_{\gamma \in G, \gamma(0) \in S(\zeta, r)} c_\delta h(d(0, \gamma(0))) e^{-\delta d(0, \gamma(0))} \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in G, \gamma(0) \in gS(\zeta, r)} c_\delta h(d(g(0), \gamma(0))) e^{-\delta d(g(0), \gamma(0))} \\ &\leq \sum_{\gamma \in G, \gamma(0) \in gS(\zeta, r)} c_\delta h(d(g(0), 0) + d(0, \gamma(0))) e^{-\delta(d(g(0), 0) + d(0, \gamma(0)) - 2r)}. \end{aligned}$$

If we use 3° and sum over all $\gamma \in G$, we obtain the following upper estimate for the last sum (recall that $c_\delta = P_{h, \delta}(0, 0)^{-1}$):

$$\begin{aligned} &h(d(g(0), 0)) e^{-\delta(-2r + d(0, g(0)))} c_\delta \sum_{\gamma \in G} h(0, \gamma(0)) e^{-\delta d(0, \gamma(0))} \\ &= h(0, g(0)) e^{-\delta d(0, g(0))} e^{2\delta r} = e^{2\delta r} h(d(0, \zeta)) e^{-\delta d(0, \zeta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lemma is true with $M = e^{(2\delta_G + 2)r}$ if $z = 0$.

5. The main Theorem

Using the Shadow Lemma of Section 4, we can now obtain our main theorem. Recall that the h -modified Poincaré series of G is written $\sum_{\gamma \in G} h(y, \gamma(z_0)) e^{-\delta d(y, \gamma(z_0))}$.

Theorem. Let G be a Kleinian group of \mathbf{B}^n with exponent of convergence δ_G . Suppose that the Poincaré series for G converges at the exponent of convergence and let h be a Patterson function for G . Let E be an end of G , possibly with boundary, and let G_E be the corresponding end group. Suppose that either $\delta_{G_E} < \delta_G$, or that $\delta_{G_E} = \delta_G$ and the h -modified Poincaré series of G_E converges at the exponent $\delta_G = \delta_{G_E}$.

Let μ be a measure obtained by the Patterson-Sullivan construction using this Patterson function. Then $\mu(L_e(E)) = 0$ and if the end is bounded $\mu(L(G_E)) = 0$.

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 4.4 of [AFT], using the adapted Shadow Lemma. We assume first that E does not have boundary and indicate in the end the necessary changes for the case of ends with boundary.

Given $\delta > \delta_G$ and the Patterson function h , let μ_δ be a measure defined by (5). For each $0 < \rho < 1$ we will define a neighbourhood U_ρ of $L_e(E)$ in $\overline{\mathbf{B}^n}$ so that

$$\mu_\delta(U_\rho) \leq M_\rho$$

for a constant $M_\rho > 0$ with the property that $M_\rho \rightarrow 0$ when $\rho \rightarrow 1$. This in turn will imply $\mu(L_e(E)) = 0$. The details of the argument are given below.

We can assume without loss of generality that $0 \notin E$ and that $y = 0$ (changing the basepoint y does not change the measure class of μ). Let U_ρ be the union of all rays $R_a = \{ta : 1 - \rho < t \leq 1\}$, $a \in S^{n-1}$, such that there is $1 - \rho < t \leq 1$ with $ta \in E$. Thus U_ρ is an open neighborhood of $L_e(E)$ in $\overline{\mathbf{B}^n}$.

Since $\partial E/G$ is compact, we can fix a number $r > 0$ such that

$$(6) \quad \bigcup_{\gamma \in G} D(\gamma(z_0), r) \supset \bigcup_{\gamma \in G} \gamma(\partial E).$$

As before, $D(z, r)$ is the open hyperbolic ball with center z and radius r . Let S_γ be the shadow of $D(\gamma(z_0), r)$ from 0. Recall that S_γ contains all the points $w \in \overline{\mathbf{B}^n}$ such that the hyperbolic line segment or ray with endpoints 0 and w intersects $D(\gamma(z_0), r)$. Let now V_ρ be the union of all shadows S_γ , $\gamma \in G$, such that $D(\gamma(z_0), r)$ intersects the set

$$B_\rho = \{x \in B^n : |x| > \rho\}.$$

If a ray R_a is contained in U_ρ , then either $R_a \subset E$ or R_a intersects ∂E . In the latter case let v be the point in \mathbf{B}^n where R_a meets ∂E the first time (seen from ρa), and let R'_a be the subray of R_a which originates at v . Hence R'_a is contained in some S_γ such that $D(\gamma(z_0), r)$ intersects B_ρ . It follows that

$$Gz_0 \cap U_\rho \subset V_\rho.$$

Next, we apply the Shadow Lemma of Section 4 to the measure μ_δ . Thus there exists a constant $M > 0$ such that

$$\mu_\delta(S_\gamma) \leq M h(d(0, \gamma(z_0))) e^{-\delta_G d(0, \gamma(z_0))}.$$

if $\delta_G + 1 > \delta > \delta_G$. Therefore we obtain for these δ

$$\sum \mu_\delta(S_\gamma) \leq \sum M h(d(0, \gamma(z_0))) e^{-\delta_G d(0, \gamma(z_0))} =: M_\rho,$$

where both sums are restricted to elements $\gamma \in G$ such that $D(\gamma(z_0), r)$ intersects B_ρ . Since the h -modified Poincaré series for G_E converges at the exponent δ_G , the numbers M_ρ are finite and $M_\rho \rightarrow 0$ as $\rho \rightarrow 1$. The number M_ρ is an upper bound for $\mu_\delta(V_\rho)$ if $\delta_g < \delta < \delta_G + 1$. Since $Gz_0 \cap U_\rho \subset V_\rho$, M_ρ is an upper bound for $\mu_\delta(U_\rho)$ as well.

Suppose now that μ is a Patterson measure obtained using this h , that is, suppose that μ is a weak limit of measures μ_i so that $\mu_i = \mu_{\delta_i}$ as in (5), and with δ_i decreasing to δ_G . To see that $\mu(L_e(G_E)) = 0$, let Λ_k be the set of points $z \in \mathbf{S}^{n-1}$ such that the line segment tz , $t \in [1 - 1/k, 1)$, is contained in $E \cup \partial E$. By construction, U_ρ is a neighbourhood of Λ_k for every $0 < \rho < 1$. Since all Λ_k are closed, the inequalities $\mu_i(U_\rho) \leq M_\rho$ imply that $\mu(\Lambda_k) \leq M_\rho$ for all r and hence $\mu(\Lambda_k) = 0$. Finally, since $L_e(G_E)$ is contained in the union of the Λ_k , we conclude that $\mu(L_e(G_E)) = 0$.

If the end E is bounded, then by [AFT] every $x \in L(G_E)$ is either an end limit point of E or a conical limit point of G_E . Since the conical limit set has zero measure if the Poincaré series converges at the dimension of the measure, it follows that $\mu(L(G_E)) = 0$.

Finally, if the end E is an end with boundary, then the above argument works if one replaces \mathbf{B}^n with the hyperbolic convex hull H_G of the limit set $L(G)$. We assume that $0 \in H_G$ and replace ∂E by $\partial E \cap H_G$ on the right hand side of (6). Note that the G -quotient of $\partial E \cap H_G$ is compact and so there is such an r_0 as claimed in (6).

We say that $\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, \dots, E_p\}$ is a *complete collection of ends* for G if each E_i is an end of G , the projections $p(E_i)$ of E_i to M_G are disjoint, and if $M_G \setminus (p(E_1) \cup \dots \cup p(E_p))$ is compact.

Corollary. *Let $\mathcal{E} = \{E_1, \dots, E_p\}$ be a complete collection of ends for G and assume that the Poincaré series of G converges at the exponent of convergence δ_G . Suppose h is a Patterson function for G , and hence that the h -modified Poincaré series for G diverges at δ_G . Then there is an end $E \in \mathcal{E}$ such that the h -modified Poincaré series for G_E diverges.*

Proof. Let μ be a measure obtained by the Patterson construction. We know (see [AFT, Theorem 4.6]) that μ is supported by the union of endpoints of gE_i where $g \in G$ and $i \leq n$. Hence $\mu_i(L_e(E_i)) > 0$ for some i . By the preceding theorem, the h -modified Poincaré series for G_{E_i} must diverge at δ_G .

6. Examples

A. The first example was one of the main motivations for this work. Let G be a Kleinian group acting on the hyperbolic n -space. Let v be a parabolic fixed point of G . Then G_v contains a free abelian subgroup of rank k where $0 < k < n$ in which case we say that v has rank k . It is known that the exponent of convergence of G_v in the rank k case is $k/2$ and that the Poincaré series of G_v diverges at exponent $k/2$.

Assume that v is a parabolic fixpoint of G of rank $n-1$. Then there exists an open horoball B at v which is precisely invariant under G_v in G , i.e. $\gamma(B) = B$ for all $g \in G_v$ and $\gamma(B) \cap B = \emptyset$ for all $\gamma \in G \setminus G_v$. Therefore, the stabilizers G_B and G_v of B and v , respectively, coincide. Let S^0 be the boundary of B in \mathbf{B}^n . Then $S = S^0/G = S^0/G_v$ is compact since G_v has full rank. Thus B is an end of G with end group G_v , and B/G is an end of M_G . As observed above, $\delta_{G_v} = (n-1)/2$ and that the Poincaré series for G_v diverges. Hence, if the Poincaré series for G converges at the exponent of convergence, then $\delta_G > \delta_{G_v}$ and so our Theorem implies that a Patterson measure for G gives zero measure to endpoints of B , that is to the point v .

Let ν be an atomic measure with mass concentrated at v . Then ν is a conformal measure for G_v for any dimension δ , in particular, for $\delta = \delta_G$. Since the Poincaré series for G converges at the exponent δ , the measure ν can be extended to a conformal measure for G of dimension δ_G supported by the orbit Gv , cf. [AFT, Proposition 4.5], and our Theorem shows that ν is not a Patterson measure.

Note that this also applies to bounded parabolic fixed points of rank $k < n-1$, since corresponding to such a fixed point v there is a so-called cusp neighborhood of V in $\overline{\mathbf{B}^n} \setminus L(G)$. This means that there is a Möbius transformation h mapping the closed n -ball to the closed upper half-space of $\overline{\mathbf{R}^n}$, so that $h(v) = \infty$, the subspace \mathbf{R}^k is invariant and has compact quotient with respect to the group hG_vh^{-1} , and $h(V)$ is the complement (in the closed upper half-space of \mathbf{R}^n) of a set of the form $\mathbf{R}^k \times \mathbf{B}^{n-k}$. If we project to the quotient, π being the projection, then the boundary of $\pi(V)$ in \overline{M}_G is compact and hence $\pi(V)$ is an end with boundary. We could see as above, applying the afore-mentioned results of [AFT] to ends with boundary, that Gv supports an atomic conformal measure which is not a Patterson measure.

Note that our Theorem can be regarded as a generalization and different proof of the fact that the Patterson construction gives a conformal measure which does not have atoms at bounded parabolic fixed points (see [N], Theorem 3.5.9). In order to obtain this property of Patterson measures, we only need to complement the above argument by the observation that if the Poincaré series of G diverges at δ_G , then a Patterson measure for G does not have atoms (see [N], Theorem 3.5.8).

B. The second example is more complex. Let G be a geometrically infinite, topologically tame Kleinian group of the second kind acting on \mathbf{B}^3 , for instance a simply

degenerate surface group (for the definition see for instance [MT]). Then it is known that the Poincaré series converges at the exponent of convergence which is equal to 2 (cf. [C]). Let F be a fundamental domain for G acting on the boundary sphere. Let D, D_1, \dots, D_4 be five closed disks contained in the interior of F such that D_1, \dots, D_4 are disjoint and contained in the interior of D . Let h and g be two loxodromic elements so that $h(\partial D_1) = \partial D_2$ and $g(\partial D_3) = \partial D_4$, and so that h and g generate a Schottky group H whose fundamental domain F' is the closure of the complement of $D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3 \cup D_4$. (Here, ∂ denotes the topological boundary in the boundary sphere of \mathbf{B}^3 .) Thus H is geometrically finite and hence $\delta_H < 2$. Let N be the normalizer of h in H , making N an infinitely generated Kleinian group such that $\delta_N \leq \delta_H < 2$. (In fact, by a result of M. Rees [R] we even have that $\delta_N = \delta_H$.) The group N is infinitely generated and $h_i = g^i h g^{-i}$ are free generators. It has a fundamental domain D_N contained in the closure of the complement of the union of all $g^i(D_1) \cup g^i(D_2)$.

Let now Γ_0 be the group generated by H and G . The group Γ_0 is Kleinian, its fundamental domain is $F \cap F'$ and Γ_0 is the free product $H * G$. Therefore, the subgroup $\Gamma = N * G$ of Γ_0 is also Kleinian. The group Γ is the example we are seeking.

Let S be a hyperbolic subplane of \mathbf{B}^3 bounded by ∂D . Then $\gamma S, \gamma \in N$, are distinct and it is easy to see that they bound and end with boundary of Γ , denoted by E , whose end group G_E is just N . We note that this end is bounded. To see this, let F be the component of $\overline{\mathbf{B}^3} \setminus S$ not intersecting E . Then the intersection of \overline{F} with the boundary sphere of \mathbf{B}^3 is contained in the interior of the fundamental domain D_N of the action of N on the boundary sphere. Hence $g\overline{F}, g \in N = G_E$, is a family of disjoint sets. This fact implies that \overline{F} projects homeomorphically onto a subset of $(\overline{\mathbf{B}^3} \setminus L(G_E))/G_E$ which is the complement of E/G_E . Thus the complement of E/G_E in M_{G_F} is compact and it follows that E is a bounded end. Hence $L(G_E)$ is the union of conical limit points of G_E and of the end limit points of E , cf. [AFT, Lemma 3.1].

If $L(G_E)$ would consist of conical limit points only, then G_E would be a convex cocompact group and hence finitely generated. Since G_E is not finitely generated we can conclude that the set of end limit points $L_e(E)$ is not empty. We have that $2 \geq \delta_\Gamma \geq \delta_G = 2$ and hence $\delta_\Gamma = 2$. Since Γ is of the second kind, the Poincaré series of Γ converges at $\delta_\Gamma = 2$. Hence there is a conformal measure ν of N supported by $L_e(E)$, cf. Theorem 4.4 of [AFT]; this theorem, like the next one to which we refer, is formulated for ends without boundary but is valid also for ends with boundary (see the discussion in the end of Section 5 of [AFT]). We can extend ν to a conformal measure of Γ supported by $\bigcup_{g \in \Gamma} g(L_e(E))$, cf. [AFT, Theorem 4.7]. Since $\delta_N = \delta_{G_E} < 2 = \delta_\Gamma$, our Theorem implies that ν is not a Patterson measure.

References

- [AFT] J. W. Anderson, K. Falk and P. Tukia, Conformal measures associated to ends of hyperbolic n -manifolds, preprint (arXiv: math.CV/0409582).
- [C] R. D. Canary, On the Laplacian and the geometry of hyperbolic 3-manifolds, *J. Diff. Geom.* **36** (1992), 349-367.
- [MT] K. Matzuzaki and M. Taniguchi, *Hyperbolic manifolds and Kleinian groups*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1998.
- [N] P. J. Nicholls, *The ergodic theory of discrete groups*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes Series, volume 143, Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [P] S. J. Patterson, The limit set of a Fuchsian group, *Acta math.* **136** (1976), 241-273.
- [R] M. Rees, Checking ergodicity of some geodesic flows with infinite Gibbs measure, *Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys.* **1** (1981), 107-133.
- [S] D. Sullivan, The density at infinity of a discrete group of hyperbolic motions, *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **50** (1979), 171-202.

K. FALK

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, UNIVERSITY OF BERN,
SIDLERSTRASSE 5, CH-3012 BERN, SWITZERLAND
E-MAIL: kurt.falk@math-stat.unibe.ch

P. TUKIA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS,
P. O. BOX 68 (GUSTAF HÄLLSTRÖMIN KATU 2B),
FI-00014 UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI, FINLAND
E-MAIL: pekka.tukia@helsinki.fi