UH Malliavin Calculus, Fall 2016, Exercises 6 (16 November 2016)

1. (a) Let $f_1 \neq \cdots \neq f_n \in H$. Find m = m(n), coefficients $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g_1, \ldots, g_m \in H$ such that

$$f_1 \widetilde{\otimes} f_2 \widetilde{\otimes} \dots \widetilde{\otimes} f_n = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i g_i^{\otimes n} \in H^{\widetilde{\otimes} n}$$

(b) Write the iterated integral $I_n(f_1 \otimes f_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n)$ as linear combination of $h_n(X(\varphi_i))$ where $\varphi_i \in H$ and $\|\varphi_i\|_{H^{=1}}$, and $h_n = \partial^{*n} 1$ is the unnormalized *n*-th Hermite polynomial.

Hint: when $n = 2, f_1, f_2 \in H$ we have the polarization identity

$$4 f_1 \otimes f_2 = (f_1 + f_2) \otimes (f_1 + f_2) - (f_1 - f_2) \otimes (f_1 - f_2)$$

so that

$$4 I_2(f_1 \otimes f_2) = \|f_1 + f_2\|_H^n h_n (X(f_1 + f_2) / \|f_1 + f_2\|_H) - \|f_1 - f_2\|_H^n h_n (X(f_1 - f_2) / \|f_1 - f_2\|_H)$$

You can try first with $n = 3$ before looking at the general case.

2. We recall Stroock formula: if $F \in D^{n,2}$ for all n, i.e. F has infinitely many Malliavin differentiable infinitely many times in L^2 , it is possible to write the chaos expansion as

$$F(\omega) = E(F) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} I_n(f_n) = E(F) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} I_n(E(D^n F))$$

Note that $D_{t_1,\ldots,t_n}^n F(\omega)$ is a symmetric random function of *n* arguments. This can be useful to compute chaos expansions.

Notation : We denote by $\delta(u) = \int u_s \delta W_s$ the Skorokhod integral while $\int u_s dW_s$ is the Ito integral when u_s is adapted to the Brownian filtration.

Compute the following divergence (Skorohod) integrals:

$$i) \qquad \delta(u) = \int_0^T W_T^2 W_s^2 \delta W_s$$
$$ii) \qquad \delta(u) = \int_0^T \exp(W_T) W_s^2 \delta W_s$$
$$iii) \qquad \delta(u) = \int_0^T \exp(W_T - W_t) W_t \delta W_t$$

Hint: use Stroock formula to compute the chaos expansion of the integrand, and then use the linearity of δ . Also recall that

$$\delta(Fu) = F\delta u - \langle u, DF \rangle_H$$

when $F \in \mathbb{D}^{1,2}$ and $u \in L^2(\Omega; H)$.

3. Compute the Malliavin derivatives $D_t(\delta(u))$ for the divergence integrands in 2.i), 2.ii), 2.iii)

We can either take directly the Malliavin derivative, or use the formula

$$D\delta(u) = u + \delta(D_u)$$

which means

$$D_t(\delta(u)) = u_t + \int_0^T D_t u_s \delta W_s$$

ii)
$$F(\omega) = \sin(W_T)$$
, iv) $F(\omega) = (W_T + T) \exp(-W(T) - \frac{1}{2}T)$

Note that $Z_t := \exp(-W(t) - \frac{1}{2}t) = \frac{dQ_t}{dP_t}$ is the Radon-Nykodim derivative which appears in Girsanov theorem. Under the measure Q the process $W_t := W_t + t$ is a standard Brownian motion, while under the measure P it is a Brownian motion with drift coefficient 1.

4. (a) Let $A \in \mathcal{F}^X = \sigma(X(h) : h \in H)$, and $F(\omega) = \mathbf{1}_A(\omega)$. Show that F is Malliavin differentiable if and only if P(A) = 0 or P(A) = 1.

Hint: Assume that the Malliavin derivative DF exists, take Malliavin derivative of both sides in the identity $F = F^2$ and find a contradiction.

(b) Find the Ito-Clarck representation of the digital option

$$F = 1(W_T > 0),$$

where W_t is Brownian motion.

Hint: Although we don't have enough smoothness of the functional, use formally the Clarck Ocone formula, where we compute the Malliavin derivative by chain rule obtaining a Dirac delta function, write the conditional expectation explicitly and use the gaussian integration by parts formula, and show that the final result still makes sense (this is the hardest part). **Exercise 6** The local time process L_t^0 of the Brownian motion at 0 is defined as

$$L_t^0 = |W_t| - \int_0^t \operatorname{sign}(W_s) dW_s$$

where $\operatorname{sign}(x) = 2 \mathbf{1}(x \ge 0) - 1$. The local time L_t^0 is interpreted as the time the Brownian motion W_t spends at the value 0 within the time interval [0, t].

- (a) Is L_t^0 Malliavin differentiable ?
- (b) Find the Ito Clarck representation of L_T^0 . Hint: as in Exercise 5, compute formally the Malliavin derivative, take conditional expection and use Ito Clarck Ocone formula. Explain why the obtained formula is correct.