# INTRODUCTION TO OPEN QUANTUM SYSTEMS EXERCISE SESSION 4

D. GOLUBEV, P. MURATORE-GINANNESCHI, K. SCHWIEGER

## TUESDAY, 04. Oct.

1. One Fermion is a Qubit

Let  $a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be an element satisfying the CAR, i. e.,  $a^2 = 0$  and  $aa^* + a^*a = 1$ . Show that  $\mathcal{M} = \lim\{a, a^*, a^*a, aa^*\}$  is a subsystem with  $\mathcal{M} \simeq M_2(\mathbb{C})$  by making explicit the map  $\pi$  that assigns to each matrix  $X \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$  an operator  $\pi(X) \in \mathcal{M}$ . Check that  $\pi$  satisfies  $\pi(XY) = \pi(X)\pi(Y)$  for all  $X, Y \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$ .

### 2. Computations with CAR

Let  $a_1, \ldots, a_N \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be operators satisfying the CAR, i.e.,  $a_i a_j = -a_i a_i$  and  $a_i a_j^* + a_j^* a_i = \delta_{i,j}$  for all  $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ . We write  $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  for the \*-subalgebra generated by  $a_1, \ldots, a_N$ . Show the following statements:

- (1) The operators  $b_i := a_i^*$  also satisfy the CAR.
- (2) Each  $a_i$  and all products  $V := a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_k}$  are partial isometries with  $V^2 = 0$ .
- (3) All initial and final projections  $a_i^* a_i$  and  $a_j a_j^*$  commute among each other.
- (4) The orthogonal projection onto the intersection of the subspace  $a_1a_1^*\mathcal{H}$  and  $a_2a_2^*\mathcal{H}$  is an operator in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Write the projection in terms of  $a_1$  and  $a_2$ .
- (5)  $\mathcal{M}$  is the linear span of all products of the form

$$a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_n} a_{j_m}^* \dots a_{j_1}^*,$$
 (1)

where we agree that the product of length 0 is 1. For which combination of indices does such a product vanish?

#### 3. Fermions and Independence

Consider  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$  with the two Fermionic annihilation operators  $a_1 = a \otimes 1$  and  $a_2 = \sigma_z \otimes a$ , where  $a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\sigma_z = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_k = \ln\{a_k, a_k^*, a_k^*a_k, a_ka_k^*\}$  for  $k \in \{1, 2\}$  be the associated subsystems.

(1) Check that  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  are not each others commutant and determine the commutant of  $\mathcal{M}_2$ .

(2) Show that  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  are independent with respect to the vacuum state. In applications each Fermionic mode has an associated energy  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2$ . Consider the free Hamiltonian  $H = \epsilon_1 a_1^* a_1 + \epsilon_2 a_2^* a_2$  and the density matrix  $\rho_\beta := e^{-\beta H} / \operatorname{Tr}(e^{-\beta H})$ , called the Gibbs state of inverse temperature  $\beta > 0$ .<sup>1</sup>

(3) Show that  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  are independent with respect to any Gibbs state. The following exercise is optional but—I think—interesting:

(4) Determine all density matrices  $\rho \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  such that  $\mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\mathcal{M}_2$  are independent with respect to  $\rho$ .

Date: 04.-06. Oct. 2016.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>You may want to put some  $\hbar$ 's here if you are a physicist.

#### THURSDAY, 06. OCT.

#### 4. Computing the Schmidt Decomposition

(1) Determine the Schmidt decomposition of the following (not normalized) vector in  $\mathbb{C}^4 = \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$ :

 $\psi := (1 + \sqrt{2}, \ 1 - \sqrt{2}, \ 1 - \sqrt{2}, \ 1 + \sqrt{2})^T.$ 

(2) Consider the tensor product  $\mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$  and let  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{H}_S \otimes \mathcal{H}_E$  be two unit vectors with  $\operatorname{Tr}_2(|\varphi\rangle\langle\varphi|) = \operatorname{Tr}_2(|\psi\langle\rangle\psi|)$ . Show that there is a partial isometry  $V \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_E)$  with  $\psi = (1 \otimes V)\varphi$ . Moreover, V can be choosen unitary if  $\mathcal{H}_E$  is finite-dimensional.

### 5. PARTIAL TRANSPOSE CRITERIUM

Consider the tensor product  $M_N(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_N(\mathbb{C})$  of two matrix algebras. For a block matrix  $X = \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & \dots & X_{1N} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ X_{N1} & \dots & X_{NN} \end{pmatrix}$  with  $X_{ij} \in M_N(\mathbb{C})$  its partial transpose is definde as  $\begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & \dots & X_{N1} \end{pmatrix}$ 

$$PT(X) := \begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & \dots & X_{N1} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ X_{1N} & \dots & X_{NN} \end{pmatrix}.$$

(1) Show that the partial transpose  $PT(\rho)$  of a separable density matrix  $\rho$  is again a density matrix, in particular it is positive.

The set  $M_N(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_N(\mathbb{C})$  has a particularly simple symmetry given by the tensor flip F, i. e., the unitary  $F \in M_N(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_N(\mathbb{C})$  given by  $F(\varphi_1 \otimes \varphi_2) = \varphi_2 \otimes \varphi_1$  for all  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathbb{C}^N$ .

- (1) Write F as a block matrix.
- (2) Show that  $P_+ := (1+F)/2$  is the projection onto the space of fixed points of F, called the *symmetric subspace*. Show that  $P_- := (1-F)/2$  is the projection onto the space of vectors  $\psi$  with  $F\psi = -\psi$ , called the *antisymmetric subspace*.
- (3) What is the dimension  $d_+$  of the symmetric subspace and  $d_-$  of the antisymmetric subspace?

States of the form  $\rho(\alpha) = \alpha(P_+/d_+) + (1-\alpha)(P_-/d_-)$  with  $0 \le \alpha \le 1$  are called *Werner states.* 

(1) Apply the criterium in point 1 to find a range of parameters  $\alpha$  for which  $\rho(\alpha)$  is entangled?

## 6. Entanglement and a Question of Locality?

Let e, f by a fixed orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^2$  and consider the vector

$$\psi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (e \otimes e + f \otimes f)$$

describing the state of the quantum system  $M_2(\mathbb{C}) \otimes M_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Now let  $P \in M_2(\mathbb{C})$  be an *arbitrary* one-dimensional projection and consider a measurement of P in the first tensor factor, i.e., a measurement of  $P \otimes 1$ , which yields either 1 or 0 as a result.

- (1) What is the probability to obtain the measure result 1 / 0? In each case, what is the state after the measurement?
- (2) Compare the marginal density on the second tensor factor before and after the measurement. Do you find the result surprising?