

## Real Analysis II

### 5. exercise set, solutions

1. Let  $s < \dim A$  and  $t < \dim B$ . Then by definition of Hausdorff measure  $\mathcal{H}^s(A) > 0$  and  $\mathcal{H}^t(B) > 0$ . Then by Frostman's lemma we find Radon measures  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  s.t.  $\text{supp } \mu \subset A$ ,  $\mu(A) > 0$ ,  $\mu(B(x, r)) \leq cr^s$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $r > 0$  and  $\text{supp } \nu \subset B$ ,  $\nu(B) > 0$ ,  $\nu(B(y, r)) \leq c'r^t$  for all  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $r > 0$ . As  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are Radon measures we can define the product measure  $\sigma := \mu \times \nu$ . Note that  $B((x, y), r) \subset B(x, r) \times B(y, r)$ , so  $\sigma(B((x, y), r)) \leq \mu(B(x, r))\nu(B(y, r)) \leq cc'r^{s+t}$ . As  $\text{supp } \mu \subset A$  and  $\text{supp } \nu \subset B$  it follows that  $\text{supp } \sigma \subset A \times B$ . Also clearly  $\sigma(A \times B) = \mu(A)\nu(B) > 0$ .

Hence by the other direction of Frostman's lemma  $\mathcal{H}^{s+t}(A \times B) > 0$ . This gives  $\dim(A \times B) \geq s + t$ . As  $s$  and  $t$  were arbitrary it follows that  $\dim A + \dim B \leq \dim(A \times B)$ .  $\square$

2. a) Assume otherwise: there exists  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  s.t.  $C_s(\{x\}) > 0$ . Then by remark 4.76.3 there exists a probability measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\{x\})$  s.t.  $I_s(\mu) < \infty$ . But clearly the only such probability measure is the Dirac measure  $\delta_x$ . But then

$$I_s(\mu) = I_s(\delta_x) = \frac{1}{|x - x|^s} = \infty,$$

which is a contradiction.  $\square$

b) As  $A$  is bounded we have that  $\text{diam } A < \infty$ . But then  $|x - y|^s \leq [\text{diam } (A)]^s$  for all  $x, y \in A$ . Thus for all  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(A)$  we have

$$I_s(\mu) \geq \frac{1}{[\text{diam } (A)]^s}$$

which gives  $C_s(A) \leq [\text{diam } (A)]^2 < \infty$  as desired.  $\square$

3. Suppose  $C_s(A) > 0$ . Then there exists a probability measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(A)$  s.t.  $I_s(\mu) < \infty$ . Then  $\int |x - y|^{-s} d\mu y < \infty$  for  $\mu$  almost all  $x$ . It follows that there is  $C < \infty$  such that the set  $A = \{x : \int |x - y|^{-s} d\mu y < C\}$  has positive  $\mu$  measure. Then  $A$  is Borel set and we can find a closed subset  $C$  of  $A$  with positive  $\mu$  measure. Let  $\nu$  be the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $C$ . Then  $\int |x - y|^{-s} d\nu y < C$  for  $x \in C$ . Since  $C$  is closed, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  there is  $x' \in C$  such that  $|x - y| \geq |x - x'|$  for all  $y \in C$ . If  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x, |x - x'|)$ , then  $|x' - y| \leq |x' - x| + |x - y| \leq 2|x - y|$ . Since  $\text{supp}(\nu) \subset C$ , we have

$$\int |x - y|^{-s} d\nu y = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus B(x, |x - x'|)} |x - y|^{-s} d\nu y \leq 2^s \int |x' - y|^{-s} d\nu y < 2^s C,$$

so  $\int |x - y|^{-s} d\nu y$  is bounded.

If  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(A)$  s.t.  $\int |x - y|^{-s} d\mu y$  is bounded, say  $\int |x - y|^{-s} d\mu y < C$ , then  $I_s(\mu) \leq C$  and so  $C_s(A) > 0$ .

4. Suppose otherwise:  $C_s(\cup K_i) > 0$ . Then by remark 4.76.3 of Holopainen's notes there exists a measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\cup K_i)$  s.t.  $I_s(\mu) < \infty$ . As  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(\cup K_i)$  we have  $\mu(K_\ell) > 0$  for some  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Let

$$\mu_\ell = \frac{1}{\mu(K_\ell)} \mu$$

be a normalized restriction of  $\mu$  to  $K_\ell$ . Now  $\mu_\ell$  is a Radon measure as  $\mu(\mathbb{R}^n) = 1$  and the support of  $\mu_\ell$  is a subset of the compact set  $K_\ell$ . The  $s$ -energy is

$$I_s(\mu_\ell) = \frac{1}{\mu(K_\ell)^2} \int_{K_\ell \times K_\ell} \frac{d(\mu \times \mu)(x, y)}{|x - y|^s} \leq \frac{1}{\mu(K_\ell)^2} I_s(\mu) < \infty.$$

By remark 4.76.3 we have  $C_s(K_\ell) > 0$  which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**5.** We shall use the fact that  $\mu_j \times \mu_j$  converges weakly to  $\mu \times \mu$ . This follows since the linear combinations of the functions of the form  $(x, y) \mapsto f(x)g(y)$ ,  $f, g \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  are dense in  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . This can be proven using the general Stone-Weierstrass approximation theorem or be a direct argument.

To apply the fact that  $\mu_j \rightarrow \mu$  weakly we need, as  $|\cdot|^{-s} \notin C_0(\mathbb{R})$ , we need to approximate it by  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  functions. To this end there is an increasing sequence of functions  $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^\infty$  in  $C_0(\mathbb{R})$  s.t.  $0 \leq f_k(x) \leq |x|^{-s}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f_k(x) = |x|^{-s}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_s(\mu) &= \sup_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} f_k(|x-y|)^{-s} d(\mu \times \mu)(x, y) \\ &= \sup_k \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} f_k(|x-y|)^{-s} d(\mu_j \times \mu_j)(x, y) \\ &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} \sup_k \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} f_k(|x-y|)^{-s} d(\mu_j \times \mu_j)(x, y) \\ &\leq \liminf_{j \rightarrow \infty} I_s(\mu_j), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

**6.** The answer is  $\dim(\mathbb{R}, d) = 2$ . Straightforward modification of the proof of Lemma 2.29.(i) of Holopainen's lecture notes shows that  $\mathcal{H}^s(f(A)) \leq L^s \mathcal{H}^{\alpha s}(A)$  if  $f$  is a Hölder continuous mapping with exponent  $\alpha$  and constant  $L$  for all  $s > 0$ . Let now  $s > (1/\alpha) \dim A$ . Then  $\mathcal{H}^{\alpha s}(A) = 0$  so the above gives  $\mathcal{H}^s(f(A)) = 0$ . Then by the definition of the Hausdorff dimension gives  $s > \dim f(A)$ . This shows that

$$\dim f(A) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha} \dim A \tag{1}$$

for  $\alpha$ -Hölder continuous maps  $f$ .

Consider first the identity mapping  $id : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, d)$ . This is Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha = 1/2$  and constant  $L = 1$ . Thus by (1) we have

$$\dim(\mathbb{R}, d) \leq \frac{1}{1/2} \dim \mathbb{R} = 2.$$

Consider then the identity mapping  $id : (\mathbb{R}, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . This is Hölder continuous with exponent  $\alpha = 2$  and constant  $L = 1$ . Thus by (1) we have

$$1 = \dim \mathbb{R} \leq \frac{1}{2} \dim(\mathbb{R}, d)$$

so  $\dim(\mathbb{R}, d) \geq 2$ . Thus  $\dim(\mathbb{R}, d) = 2$  as desired.  $\square$