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## Outline

- An introductory example (in discrete time)
- Conditional survival probabilities
- Estimation of (conditional) survival probabilities
- Life table methods
- Kaplan-Meier estimate of the survival function
- Nelson-Aalen estimate of the cumulative hazard
- Log-rank test


## Why survival analysis?

In describing the distribution of failure/life times, special attention is needed because

- failure times may be censored
- the individual leaves the study cohort (lives until the end of follow-up, migrates, quits,...)
- the individual may leave the study cohort for another event than what is being studied (competing risks)
- different studies are difficult to compare, if their follow-up times differ
- model specification and interpretation are often more convenient in terms of conditional failure rates


## An introductory example

Break the total follow-up period into shorter time intervals (bands)
From a follow-up of one individual over three consecutive bands (next slide), there are four possible observations:

- failure (F) during the 1st band
- failure during the 2nd band
- failure during the 3rd band
- survival (S) until the end of follow-up



## Conditional probabilities of failure

The three consecutive Bernoulli trials are described in terms of (three) conditional probabilities of failure:

- probability $\pi^{(1)}$ of failure during the 1 st band
- probability $\pi^{(2)}$ of failure during the 2 nd band, given survival until the end of the 1st band
- probability $\pi^{(3)}$ of failure during the 3rd band, given survival until the the end of the 2nd band


## Unconditional probabilities

The probabilities of the three failure outcomes can be expressed in terms of conditional failure probabilities:
$\pi^{(1)}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-\pi^{(1)}\right) \pi^{(2)} \\
& \left(1-\pi^{(1)}\right)\left(1-\pi^{(2)}\right) \pi^{(3)}
\end{aligned}
$$

In general, $\mathrm{P}($ failure during band $i)=\pi^{(i)} \prod_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(1-\pi^{(j)}\right)$
In addition, the probability of surviving the entire follow-up can be calculated as

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(1-\pi^{(j)}\right)
$$

## Cumulative survival probabilities

The probabilities to survive, i.e, to escape failure, up to the end of each time band:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1-\pi^{(1)}\right) \\
& \left(1-\pi^{(1)}\right)\left(1-\pi^{(2)}\right) \\
& \left(1-\pi^{(1)}\right)\left(1-\pi^{(2)}\right)\left(1-\pi^{(3)}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$\mathrm{P}($ escape failure up to the end of band $i)=\prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(1-\pi^{(j)}\right)$

## Estimation of conditional probabilities

Assume we have followed $N=100$ individuals over three time bands:


The likelihood for conditional probabilities:

$$
=\begin{array}{ll} 
& \log L\left(\pi^{(1)}, \pi^{(2)}, \pi^{(3)}\right) \\
= & 10 \log \left(\pi^{(1)}\right)+90 \log \left(1-\pi^{(1)}\right) \\
+15 \log \left(\pi^{(2)}\right)+75 \log \left(1-\pi^{(2)}\right) \\
& +8 \log \left(\pi^{(3)}\right)+67 \log \left(1-\pi^{(3)}\right)
\end{array}
$$

## Estimation of conditional probabilities cont.

- This is equivalent to the likelihood from three (conditionally) independent Bernoulli trials
- The maximum likelihood estimates are easily found to be:

$$
\hat{\pi}^{(1)}=10 / 100, \hat{\pi}^{(2)}=15 / 90, \hat{\pi}^{(3)}=8 / 75
$$

## Important lessions

- The unit of observation is one individual's "experience" over one time band
- A sufficient summary of data is the size of risk set $Y_{i}$ and the number of failures $D_{i}$ from each time band $i$
- The risk set at a given time band includes all individuals still in the follow-up, that is, those that have until that
- The likelihood for conditional probabilities: $\log L=\sum_{i} \log L\left(\pi^{(i)}\right)$, where $\log L\left(\pi^{(i)}\right)=D_{i} \log \left(\pi^{(i)}\right)+\left(Y_{i}-D_{i}\right) \log \left(1-\pi^{(i)}\right)$
- The maximum likelihood estimates are $\hat{\pi}^{(i)}=D_{i} / Y_{i}$


## Survival function based on life tables

- When only grouped failure times are available, cencorings can be taken to occur sometime during the band.
- Assume that for band $t_{i-1} \leq t<t_{i}$ the observations are $\left(Y_{i}, D_{i}, L_{i}\right)$, where
$D_{i}=$ number of failures during time bandi
$L_{i}=$ number of censorings during time bandi
$Y_{i}=$ the size of the risk set at the beginning of time band $i$
- To estimate cumulative survival, the size of the risk set at band $i$ is taken to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{i} & =Y_{i}-0.5 * L_{i} \\
& =N-\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} D_{j}-\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} L_{j}-0.5 L_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

- We have thus assumed that a half of censorings took place at the beginning and another half at the end of the interval.
- The following table presents life times since diagnosis in two cancer treatment groups:


## Example: two cancer treatments

| Year $t_{i}$ | Group |  | I | Group |  | II |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $Y_{i}$ | $D_{i}$ | $L_{i}$ | $Y_{i}$ | $D_{i}$ | $L_{i}$ |
| 1 | 110 | 5 | 5 | 234 | 24 | 3 |
| 2 | 100 | 7 | 7 | 207 | 27 | 11 |
| 3 | 86 | 7 | 7 | 169 | 31 | 9 |
| 4 | 72 | 3 | 8 | 129 | 17 | 7 |
| 5 | 61 | 0 | 7 | 105 | 6 | 13 |
| 6 | 54 | 2 | 10 | 85 | 6 | 6 |
| 7 | 42 | 3 | 6 | 73 | 5 | 6 |
| 8 | 33 | 0 | 5 | 62 | 3 | 10 |
| 9 | 28 | 0 | 4 | 49 | 2 | 13 |
| 10 | 24 | 1 | 8 | 34 | 4 | 6 |

- For each time interval (year since diagnosis):
- conditional survival probabilities

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(T>t_{i} \mid T>t_{i-1}\right)=1-D_{i} / R_{i}
$$

- survival function

$$
S\left(t_{i}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(T>t_{i}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(1-D_{j} / R_{j}\right)
$$

## Example cont.




## The Kaplan-Meier estimate

- assume that exact failure times $t_{j}$ are known
- break the follow-up period into bands so that each contains at most one time of failure*
- let the length of time bands go to zero $\Rightarrow$ survival function as function of time:

$$
S(t)=\mathrm{P}(T>t)=\prod_{i ; t_{i} \leq t}\left(1-D_{i} / Y_{i}\right)
$$

* There can be several failures and/or censorings at the same time.

Censorings are assumed to take place after failures.

## The risk set

- The size of the risk set at time $t_{i}$ is

$$
Y_{i}=N-\sum_{i=0}^{i-1} D_{j}-\sum_{j=0}^{i-1} L_{j}
$$

$D_{j}=$ number of failures at timet $t_{j}$
$L_{j}=$ number of censorings at timet $j_{j}$

- The conditional survival probabilities are now:

$$
\mathrm{P}\left(T>t_{i} \mid T>t_{i-1}\right)=1-D_{i} / Y_{i}
$$

- and the survival function:

$$
S\left(t_{i}\right)=\mathrm{P}\left(T>t_{i}\right)=\prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(1-D_{j} / Y_{j}\right)
$$

## Example

| $t_{i}$ | $Y_{i}$ | $D_{i}$ | $L_{i}$ | $D_{i} / Y_{i}$ | $1-D_{i} / Y_{i}$ | $\mathrm{P}\left(T>t_{i}\right)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 50 | 2 | 0 | 0.0400 | 0.9600 | 0.9600 |
| 1 | 48 | 1 | 0 | 0.0208 | 0.9792 | 0.9400 |
| 2 | 47 | 2 | 0 | 0.0426 | 0.9574 | 0.9000 |
| 3 | 45 | 1 | 1 | 0.0222 | 0.9778 | 0.8800 |
| 8 | 43 | 1 | 0 | 0.0233 | 0.9767 | 0.8595 |
| 10 | 42 | 1 | 0 | 0.0238 | 0.9762 | 0.8391 |
| $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |

## Example cont.

A Kaplan-Meier estimate of the survival function


## Properties of the KM estimate

- piecewise constant
- non-parametric
- jumps at the observation times only
- if no censoring at all, the size of the jump is $d_{j} / N$
- the precision of the estimate is poor towards the end of the follow-up
- confidence limits can be derived (next slide)


## Confidence limits

Considering observations at each failure as binomial experiments ("drawing failures from the risk set"), one can derive the following standard deviation for $\Lambda(t)$ at the $k$ th failure time:

$$
\text { s.e. }\left(\Lambda_{k}\right)=\sqrt{\frac{D_{1}}{Y_{1}\left(Y_{1}-D_{1}\right)}+\ldots+\frac{D_{k}}{Y_{k}\left(Y_{k}-D_{k}\right)}}
$$

The so called Greenwood formula of standard error of the survival function at the $k$ th failure time then is

$$
\text { s.e. }\left(\Lambda_{k}\right) \times\left(S\left(t_{k}\right)\right)^{2}
$$

This can be easily calculated by the survfit function in R .

## Hazard rate

e The hazard is the rate of change of the conditional failure probability:

$$
\lambda(t)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathrm{P}(T \in[t, t+h[\mid T \geq t)}{h}
$$

e Assuming the $h$ is short, the conditional failure probability over the time interval $[t, t+h[$, given survival until $t$, is

$$
\pi_{t}=\mathrm{P}(T \in[t, t+h[\mid T \geq t) \simeq \lambda(t) h
$$

The hazard function has many names and uses:
a incidence rate Or incidence density
e force of mortality
e force of morbidity
e force of infection
e ...

## Going to the limit

Assume first that the hazard is constant in time. Based on the experience of one individual, when
e failure occurs at time $t_{i}$
e the individual's follow-up period $\left[0, t_{i}\right]$ is divided into M "clicks"
e the length of each click is $h$
e $h$ goes to zero so that $M h=t_{i}$ remains constant
$\log L_{i}(\lambda)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\log \left[\pi(1-\pi)^{M-1}\right] \simeq \log \left[(\lambda h)(1-\lambda h)^{M-1}\right] \\
& =\log \lambda+\log h+(M-1) \log (1-\lambda h) \\
& =\log \lambda+(M-1) \log (1-\lambda h)+\text { const. } \\
& \rightarrow \log \lambda-M \lambda h+\text { const. }=\log \lambda-\lambda t_{i}+\text { const. }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { as } h \rightarrow 0 \text {. }
$$

The likelihood contribution from the observation on individual $i$ failing at time $t_{i}$ thus is probability density

$$
L_{i}(\lambda)=S\left(t_{i}\right)=f\left(t_{i}\right)=\overbrace{\lambda \exp \left(-\lambda t_{i}\right)} .
$$

## Censored observations

Likewise, if the individual's failure is censored at time $t_{i}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log L_{i}(\lambda) & =\log (1-\lambda h)^{M} \\
& =M \log (1-\lambda h) \rightarrow-\lambda M h=-\lambda t_{i}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the likelihood contribution from the observation on individual $i$ being censored at time $t_{i}$ is

$$
L_{i}(\lambda)=\exp \left(-\lambda t_{i}\right) \equiv S\left(t_{i}\right) .
$$

## The survival likelihood

We can now construct the likelihood for a constant hazard $\lambda$, based on the follow-up of a study cohort with
e observed failure times $t_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$
e failure indicators $d_{i}, i=1, \ldots, N$

The likelihood based on these observations is a product over individual contributions $L_{i}(\lambda)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(\lambda) & =\prod_{i=1}^{N} L_{i}(\lambda)=\prod_{i=1}^{N} \lambda^{d_{i}} S\left(t_{i}\right) \\
& =\lambda^{D} \exp \left(-\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{N} t_{i}\right)=\lambda^{D} \exp (-\lambda Y)
\end{aligned}
$$

The maximum likelihood estimate:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{d L}{d \lambda}= & \left(D \lambda^{D-1}-\lambda^{D} Y\right) \exp (-\lambda Y)=0 \\
& \Rightarrow \hat{\lambda}=D / Y=\frac{\text { number of failures }}{\text { person-time }}
\end{aligned}
$$

In the example of the previous Figure, a sufficient data summary is: $D=2$ (failures) ja $Y=1.8$ (years of person-time). We obtain $\hat{\lambda}=2 / 1.8=1.11$.

## Interpretation of the hazard function

e Concerns one individual (cf. risk)
e $\lambda(u) \geq 0$, it is not bounded from above,
e So, it is not a probability but a rate
e Can be scaled apppropriately. For example, for a constant hazard the following expressions are equivalent:
e 0.05/person/year
$=0.0042 /$ person $/$ month
= 5000/100000 person/year

## N.B. Absolute incidence rates

In a large population, one can determine the (absolute) incidence:
e $N(t) \lambda$, where $N(t)$ is the risk set at time $t$
e if the population is open and stationary so that the size of the risk set stays constant, the incidence is $N \lambda$.
e the expected number of failures occurring from time 0 to time $t$ is $N \lambda t$

## Nelson-Aalen estimate

e In general, the cumulative hazard is defined as

$$
\Lambda(t)=\int_{0}^{t}(u) d u
$$

e An estimate can be calculated as follows
e $\Lambda(t)$ jumps upwards at failure times $t_{j}$
e the size of the jump is

$$
\hat{\lambda}^{(j)} h=\left(\frac{D_{j}}{Y_{j} h}\right) h=D_{j} / Y_{j}
$$

when $Y_{j}$ is the size of the risk set and $D_{j}$ the
number of failures at $t_{j}$. We obtain
$(\mathrm{t})=\sum_{j ; t_{j} \leq t} \frac{D_{j}}{Y_{j}}$

There is a close relation between the Kaplan-Meier and Nelson-Aalen estimates: when $D_{j} / Y_{j} \simeq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \exp (-\overbrace{\sum_{j ; t_{j} \leq t} D_{j} / Y_{j}}^{\text {Nelson-Aalen }} \\
& =\prod_{j ; t_{j} \leq t} \exp \left(-D_{j} / Y_{j}\right) \simeq \overbrace{\prod_{j ; t_{j} \leq t}\left(1-D_{j} / Y_{j}\right)}^{\text {Kaplan-Meier }}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Comparison of two survival curves

For each failure time $t_{i}$, compare the expected and observed numbers of failures in the two groups. For time $t_{i}$, the data are

|  | Group 1 | Group 2 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Failures | $D_{1 i}$ | $D_{2 i}$ | $D_{i}$ |
| At risk | $Y_{1 i}$ | $Y_{2 i}$ | $Y_{i}$ |

## Log-rank test

- Given that there were $D_{i}=1$ failures, and assuming that survival is equal in the two groups, the expected number of failures in group $j$ at time $t_{i}$ is $\pi_{j i}=Y_{j i} / Y_{i}$.
- The expected total numbers are $E_{j}=\sum_{i} \pi_{j i}, j=1,2$. The log-rank test compares these to the observed numbers of failures $O_{j}=\sum_{i} D_{j i}$ :

$$
\frac{\left(E_{1}-O_{1}\right)^{2}}{E_{1}}+\frac{\left(E_{2}-O_{2}\right)^{2}}{E_{2}}
$$

- This has a $\chi^{2}$ distribution from which $P$ values can be calculated

