# 1.2. Event history analysis - Counting process formulation for survival models

September 01 - 25, 2015

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

#### Mathematical formulation

Cosnider a sample of *n* (uncensored) continuously distributed survival times  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$  from survival functions S() with hazard rate  $\alpha()$ 

$$S(t) = P(X > t) = \mathcal{P}_0^t [1 - \alpha(s)ds] = \exp\left(-\int_0^t \alpha(s)ds\right).$$

Interpretation of the hazard rate  $\alpha$ 

$$P(t \leq X < t + dt \mid X \geq t) = \alpha(t)dt.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Cumulative hazard  $A(t) = \int_0^t \alpha(s) ds$ . Interest is in estimation of  $\alpha()$  or the cumulative hazard A().

### Survival data

▶ Data:  $(\tilde{X}_i, D_i)$ , i = 1, ..., n,  $D_i$  = censoring indicator

$$\begin{cases} X_i = \tilde{X}_i & \text{if } D_i = 1, \text{ uncensored,} \\ X_i > \tilde{X}_i & \text{if } D_i = 0, \text{ censored.} \end{cases}$$

- All *n* survival periods start together at t = 0.
- Independent censoring: at any time t, the survival experience in the future is not statistically altered (from what it would have been without censoring) by censoring and survival experience in the past.

#### Filtration

Mathematically past is represented by so called history or filtration  $(\mathcal{F}_t, t \ge 0)$  where  $\mathcal{F}_t$  is the available data at time t and  $\mathcal{F}_{t-}$  is the available data just prior to time t.

In survival data,  $\mathcal{F}_t$  means the values of  $(\tilde{X}_i, D_i)$  for all *i* such that  $\tilde{X}_i \leq t$  otherwise just the information that  $\tilde{X}_i > t$ .

$$\mathcal{F}_{t-} = \{(i: \tilde{X}_i < t, D_i) \text{ and } (i: \tilde{X}_i \geq t)\}$$

$$P(t \leq \tilde{X}_i < t + dt, D_i = 1 \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}) = \begin{cases} \alpha(t)dt & \text{if } X_i \geq t \\ 0 & \text{if } \tilde{X}_i < t \end{cases}$$

#### Expected failures

We have *n* individuals then the expectation of the sum of the indicator  $1\{t \le \tilde{X}_i < t + dt, D_i = 1\}$  is

$$\begin{split} & E(\sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\{t \leq \tilde{X}_i < t + dt, D_i = 1\} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}) \\ &= E(\#\{i : t \leq \tilde{X}_i < t + dt, D_i = 1\} \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\{\tilde{X}_i \geq t\}\alpha(t)dt = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i(t)\alpha(t)dt \\ &= Y(t)\alpha(t)dt \\ &= \lambda(t)dt, \end{split}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## At risk process

For individual *i*,  $Y_i(t) = 1{\{\tilde{X}_i \ge t\}}$  counts 1 if individual *i* is still at risk at time *t* and is 0 otherwise.

Summing over *n* such processes,  $Y(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_i(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 1\{\tilde{X}_i \ge t\}$  counts the number at risk at time *t* and gives the size of the risk set.

- 1. Y(t) is a left-continuous process.
- 2. It is predictable with respect to the filtration  $\mathcal{F}_{t-}$ .
- 3. When the entry time is 0, the process Y(t) is non-increasing with t.

A process counting the observed failures

$$N_i(t) = 1\{ ilde{X}_i \leq t, D_i = 1\}, \ 0 \leq t \leq au$$

Proprties:

- 1.  $N_i(0) = 0$
- 2. Right continuous process
- 3. Increments are  $dN_i(t) = N_i(t) N_i(t-)$  and is +1 in case of death

### Counting process

For the cohort of size n, the process N = (N(t))<sub>t≥0</sub> which counts the failures is

$$N(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} N_i(t) = \#\{i : \tilde{X}_i \leq t, D_i = 1\}$$

- ▶ Increment over the small interval [t, t + dt) is  $dN(t) = N((t+dt)-) N(t-) = \#\{i : t \le \tilde{X}_i < t + dt, D_i = 1\}.$
- The expectation of the increment given the history is

$$egin{array}{rcl} {\sf E}({\sf dN}(t)\mid {\cal F}_{t-})&=&{\sf E}(\sum_{i=1}^n 1\{t\leq ilde X_i < t+dt, D_i=1\}\mid {\cal F}_{t-})\ &=&\lambda(t)dt. \end{array}$$

The intensity process  $(\lambda(t))_{t\geq 0}$  is random, through dependence on the conditioning random variables in  $\mathcal{F}_{t-}$ .

Integrated or cumulative intensity process  $\Lambda$  is defined as

$$\Lambda(t) = \int_0^t \lambda(s) ds, t \ge 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

## Counting process martingale (1)

The compensated counting process or counting process martingale M is defined as  $M(t) = N(t) - \Lambda(t)$  difference between the counting process and its expectation!

$$E(dM(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}) = E(dN(t) - d\Lambda(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}) = 0.$$

The martingale property says that the conditional expectation of increments of M over small time intervals, given the past at the beginning of the interval, is zero.

This is heuristically equivalent to

$$E(M(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_s) = M(s), \ \forall \ s < t, \ E(M(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_0) = M(0) = 0.$$

## Counting process martingale (2)

Martingale as a pure noise process - difference between the observed and expected number of death in the interval [0, t]

Method of moments

Example: Simulation of a counting process and its compensator

## Predictable variation of a martingale (1)

Consider the process  $M^2$  and note that

$$d(M^{2})(t) = M((t+dt)-)^{2} - M(t-)^{2}$$
  
=  $(dM(t))^{2} + 2dM(t)M(t-)$ 

$$egin{array}{rcl} E(d(M^2)(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}) &= & E((dM(t))^2 \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}) \ &= & \operatorname{var}(dM(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}) = d < M > (t). \end{array}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

## Predictable variation of a martingale (2)

If M is a compensated counting process and the compensator  $\Lambda$  is continuous, then M's predictable variation process < M > is simply  $\Lambda$  itself.

This can be seen by noting that

- No two uncensored failure times fall into the same small interval and hence, the increments of N over small time intervals are 0 or 1.
- dN(t) = 1 w.p.  $d\Lambda(t)$  and dN(t) = 0 w.p.  $1 d\Lambda(t)$ .
- ►  $dM(t) = 1 d\Lambda(t)$  w.p.  $d\Lambda(t)$  and  $dM(t) = 0 d\Lambda(t)$  w.p.  $1 d\Lambda(t)$ .

►  $var(dM(t) | \mathcal{F}_{t-}) = (1 - d\Lambda(t))d\Lambda(t) \approx d\Lambda(t)$ 

Conditional means and variances of increments of the counting process N over small intervals both coincide with the conditional local rate  $\lambda$ .

For a Poisson random variable, mean and variance coincide.

A counting process N behaves locally at time t, and conditional on the past, just like a Poisson process with rate  $\lambda(t)$ .

## Estimation (1)

Statistical problem of nonparametric estimation of the cumulative hazard rate  $A(t) = \int_0^t \alpha(t)$ 

$$\begin{aligned} dN(t) &= d\Lambda(t) + dM(t) = Y(t)\alpha(t)dt + dM(t) \\ \frac{dN(t)}{Y(t)} &= \alpha(t)dt + \frac{dM(t)}{Y(t)}, \text{ provided } Y(t) > 0, \\ \frac{J(t)}{Y(t)}dN(t) &= \alpha(t)dt + \frac{J(t)}{Y(t)}dM(t), \end{aligned}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where  $J(t) = 1{Y(t) > 0}$ .

## Estimation (2)

dM(t) is a pure noise and so as (J(t)/Y(t))dM(t) and

$$\begin{split} & E(\frac{J(t)}{Y(t)}dM(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}) \quad = \quad \frac{J(t)}{Y(t)}E(dM(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}) = 0, \\ & \mathsf{var}(\frac{J(t)}{Y(t)}dM(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}) \quad = \quad \frac{J(t)}{Y(t)^2} \, \mathsf{var}(dM(t) \mid \mathcal{F}_{t-}) \\ & = \quad \frac{J(t)}{Y(t)^2} < M > (t). \end{split}$$

## Estimation (3)

#### Define

$$\hat{A}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} \frac{J(s)}{Y(s)} dN(s)$$
  
= 
$$\underbrace{\int_{0}^{t} J(s)\alpha(s)ds}_{A^{*}(t)} + \underbrace{\int_{0}^{t} \frac{J(s)}{Y(s)} dM(s)}_{Z(t)}$$

$$egin{array}{rcl} Z(t) &=& \hat{A}(t) - A^{*}(t) \ E(dZ(t) \mid {\cal F}_{t-}) &=& 0, \ var(dZ(t) \mid {\cal F}_{t-}) &=& rac{J(t)}{Y(t)^{2}} < M > (t). \end{array}$$

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

## Estimation (4)

Remarks:

- ▶ Â(t) is indeed the Nelson-Aalen estimator sum over the failure times up to and including t of the reciprocals of the corresponding risk set sizes.
- A\*(t) is the same as A(t), we only omit contributions α(s)ds where the risk set is empty.
- ▶  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{A}(t) A^*(t)) = \sqrt{n}Z(t)$  goes to a zero-mean Gaussian martingale with variation process  $\langle Z \rangle(t)$ , as  $n \to \infty$  and  $Y(t)/n \to y(t)$  where y(t) a deterministic function.