

**Stochastic analysis, fall 2014, Exercises-3, 01.10.14**

1. Let  $(\dot{\eta}_n(t) : n \in \mathbb{N})$  an orthonormal system in  $L^2([0, 1], dt)$ , with

$$\int_0^1 \dot{\eta}_n(s)\dot{\eta}_m(s)ds = \delta_{n,m}$$

for example the Haar system we have used in Paul Lévy's construction of Brownian motion, and let  $(G_n(\omega) : n \in \mathbb{N})$  a sequence of i.i.d. Gaussian random variable with  $E(G) = 0$  and  $E(G^2) = 1$ . show that the random functions

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \dot{\eta}_k(s)G_k(\omega)$$

do not converge in  $L^2(\Omega \times [0, 1], dP \otimes dt)$ . Hint: compute the squared norm

$$E\left(\int_0^1 \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N G_k(\omega)\dot{\eta}_k(s) \right\}^2 ds\right)$$

**Solution**

Note that by triangle inequality one can show that if the sequence  $f_n \in L^2(\mu)$  for some measure  $\mu$  and  $f$  is its  $L^2(\mu)$  limit, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_n\|_{L^2(\mu)} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mu)}.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} E\left(\int_0^1 \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^N G_k(\omega)\dot{\eta}_k(s) \right\}^2 ds\right) &= E\left(\int_0^1 \left\{ \sum_{k,m=1}^N G_k(\omega)G_m(\omega)\dot{\eta}_k(s)\dot{\eta}_m(s) \right\} ds\right) = \\ &= E\left(\sum_{k,m=1}^N G_k(\omega)G_m(\omega)\delta_{mk}\right) = \\ &= E\left(\sum_{k=1}^N G_k^2(\omega)\right) = N \end{aligned}$$

which diverges as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

This means that  $\sum_{k=1}^n \dot{\eta}_k(s)G_k(\omega)$  does not converge in  $L^2(\Omega \times [0, 1], dP \otimes dt)$ .

2. Let  $\xi(\omega) = (\xi_1(\omega), \dots, \xi_d(\omega)) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  a Gaussian random vector with independent and identically distributed components standard Gaussian components  $\xi_k(\omega) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1)$ ,  $E_P(\xi_k) = 0$  ja  $E_P(\xi_k \xi_\ell) = \delta_{k\ell}$ . Let  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  a deterministic vector and  $A = (A_{ij} : 0 \leq i \leq j \leq d)$  a deterministic  $d \times d$  matrix.

Let  $X(\omega) = (\mu + A\xi(\omega)^\top) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

- (a) Show that  $E_P(X) = \mu$  ja  $E_P(X_i X_j) - E_P(X_i)E_P(X_j) = \Sigma_{ij}$ , where  $\Sigma = AA^\top$ .  
 (b) Show that the random vector  $X$  has density with respect to the Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  given by

$$p_X(x) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \det(\Sigma)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x - \mu)\Sigma^{-1}(x - \mu)^\top\right)$$

in other words, if  $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} E_P(g(X)) &= E_P(g(\mu + A\xi^\top)) = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dots \int_{\mathbb{R}} g\left(\mu_1 + \sum_{j=1}^d A_{1j}y_j, \dots, \mu_d + \sum_{j=1}^d A_{dj}y_j\right) \prod_{j=1}^d \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-y_j^2/2) \right\} dy_1 \dots dy_d = \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x_1, \dots, x_d) p_X(x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d \end{aligned}$$

**Hint** use the change of variables  $x = \mu + Ay^\top$ . You can assume that  $m = n$  and the matrix  $A$  is invertible.

**Solution:**

(a) Componentwise we have

$$E_P(X_i) = E_P\left(\mu_i + \sum_j A_{ij}\xi_j\right) = \mu_i + \sum_j A_{ij}E_P\xi_j = \mu_i$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_P(X_i X_j) - E_P(X_i)E_P(X_j) &= E_P\left(\mu_i + \sum_k A_{ik}\xi_k\right)\left(\mu_j + \sum_m A_{jm}\xi_m\right) - \mu_i\mu_j = \\ &= \sum_{k,m} A_{ik}A_{jm}E_P(\xi_k\xi_m) = \\ &= \sum_{k,m} A_{ik}A_{jm}\delta_{km} = \\ &= \sum_k A_{ik}A_{jk} = \\ &= \sum_k A_{ik}A_{kj}^\top = \Sigma_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

(b) Consider

$$E_P(g(X)) = E_P(g(\mu + A\xi^\top)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g\left(\mu_1 + \sum_{j=1}^d A_{1j}y_j, \dots\right) \prod_{j=1}^d \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-y_j^2/2) dy_j \right\}$$

We use the change of variables  $x = \mu + Ay^\top$ , so that the Jacobian matrix is  $\partial y_i / \partial x_j = A_{ij}^{-1}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} E_P(g(X)) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\det A^{-1}| g(x_1, \dots, x_d) (2\pi)^{-d/2} \times \\ &\quad \times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_j \left(\sum_k A_{jk}^{-1}(x_k - \mu_k)\right) \left(\sum_m A_{jm}^{-1}(x_m - \mu_m)\right)\right) dx_1 \dots dx_d \end{aligned}$$

Let us concentrate on the exponent:

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{2} \sum_j \left(\sum_k A_{jk}^{-1}(x_k - \mu_k)\right) \left(\sum_m A_{jm}^{-1}(x_m - \mu_m)\right) &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,m} (x_k - \mu_k) \sum_j (A_{kj}^{-1})^\top A_{jm}^{-1} (x_m - \mu_m) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,m} (x_k - \mu_k) \Sigma_{km}^{-1} (x_m - \mu_m) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu) \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu)^\top \end{aligned}$$

where we used that  $\Sigma^{-1} = (AA^\top)^{-1} = (A^\top)^{-1}A^{-1} = (A^{-1})^\top A^{-1}$ .

Moreover, note that  $\det \Sigma = \det A \det A^\top = (\det A)^2$  and that  $\det A^{-1} = (\det A)^{-1}$ .

So we get

$$E_P(g(X)) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x_1, \dots, x_d) p_X(x_1, \dots, x_d) dx_1 \dots dx_d.$$

3. Let  $(X, Y) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma)$  jointly Gaussian random vectors with  $X(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$  and  $Y(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$ , with means  $E(X) = \mu_X$   $E(Y) = \mu_Y$ , and covariance

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{xx} & \Sigma_{xy} \\ \Sigma_{xy}^\top & \Sigma_{yy} \end{pmatrix}$$

Use Bayes formula to compute the conditional densities

$$p_{X|Y}(x|Y=y) \quad \text{and} \quad p_{Y|X}(y|X=x)$$

### Solution

We obtain all the identities by using the formula multivariate gaussian formula. Consider  $D = \Sigma^{-1}$ ,  $X(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_x}$ ,  $Y(\omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{n_y}$ ,  $n = n_x + n_y$ .  $\Sigma$  is the covariance matrix of  $(X, Y)$ .

We define the notation  $|A| := |\det A|$ ,  $A$  being a matrix. For the sake of simplicity, let be  $\mu_X = \mu_Y = 0$ . Later we will consider the general case with non vanishing expectations.

By Bayes' formula we have

$$p_{XY}(x, y) = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \sqrt{|D|} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ (x, y) D (x, y)^\top \right\}\right) = p_X(x) p_{Y|X}(y|x)$$

where

$$p_X(x) = (2\pi)^{-n_x/2} |\Sigma_{xx}|^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ x \Sigma_{xx}^{-1} x^\top \right\}\right)$$

$$\begin{aligned} p_{Y|X}(y|x) &= \frac{p_{XY}(x, y)}{p_X(x)} = (2\pi)^{-n_y/2} \sqrt{|D|} \times |\Sigma_{xx}| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ (x, y) D (x, y)^\top - x \Sigma_{xx}^{-1} x^\top \right\}\right) = \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n_y/2} \sqrt{|D|} \times |\Sigma_{xx}| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ x (D_{xx} - \Sigma_{xx}^{-1}) x^\top \right\}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ y D_{yy} y^\top + 2y D_{yx}^\top x^\top \right\}\right) = \\ &= (2\pi)^{-n_y/2} \sqrt{|D|} \times |\Sigma_{xx}| \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ x (D_{xx} - D_{xy} D_{yy}^{-1} D_{xy}^\top - \Sigma_{xx}^{-1}) x^\top \right\}\right) \\ &\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ (y + x D_{xy} D_{yy}^{-1}) D_{yy} (y + x D_{xy} D_{yy}^{-1})^\top \right\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where in the last line we used the trick of the completion of the square.

Now conditionally on  $X$  we treat  $x$  as a constant. It follows that the conditional distribution  $p_{Y|X}(y|x)$  is gaussian and the normalization constraint implies that the conditional covariance matrix is

$$\Sigma_{y|x} = D_{yy}^{-1}$$

and conditional mean

$$E(y|x) = -x D_{xy} D_{yy}^{-1}$$

Also since this conditional variance does not depend on  $x$  we must have

$$\Sigma_{xx}^{-1} = D_{xx} - D_{xy} D_{yy}^{-1} D_{xy}^\top$$

and also

$$|D_{yy}| = |D| \times |\Sigma_{xx}| = |\Sigma_{xx}|/|\Sigma|$$

Note also that by inverting the roles of  $\Sigma$  and  $D$  ( $D = \Sigma^{-1}$  is also a symmetric non-negative matrix, which corresponds to a covariance matrix), we obtain

$$D_{xx}^{-1} = \Sigma_{xx} - \Sigma_{xy}\Sigma_{yy}^{-1}\Sigma_{xy}^{\top}, \quad (1)$$

$$|\Sigma_{yy}| = |\Sigma| \times |D_{xx}| = |D_{xx}|/|D| \quad (2)$$

By changing the roles of  $x$  and  $y$  we obtain also

$$\Sigma_{x|y} = D_{xx}^{-1}, \quad (3)$$

$$\Sigma_{yy}^{-1} = D_{yy} - D_{xy}^{\top}D_{xx}^{-1}D_{xy}, \quad (4)$$

$$D_{yy}^{-1} = \Sigma_{yy} - \Sigma_{xy}^{\top}\Sigma_{xx}^{-1}\Sigma_{xy} = \Sigma_{y|x} \quad (5)$$

Now we use the property of the inverse matrix: since  $\Sigma D = D\Sigma = Id$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{xx} & \Sigma_{xy} \\ \Sigma_{xy}^{\top} & \Sigma_{yy} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_{xx} & D_{xy} \\ D_{xy}^{\top} & D_{yy} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Id & 0 \\ 0 & Id \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D_{xx} & D_{xy} \\ D_{xy}^{\top} & D_{yy} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{xx} & \Sigma_{xy} \\ \Sigma_{xy}^{\top} & \Sigma_{yy} \end{pmatrix}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{xx}D_{xx} + \Sigma_{xy}D_{xy}^{\top} &= Id = D_{xx}\Sigma_{xx} + D_{xy}\Sigma_{xy}^{\top} \\ \Sigma_{xx}D_{xy} + \Sigma_{xy}D_{yy} &= 0 = D_{xx}\Sigma_{xy} + D_{xy}\Sigma_{yy} \\ \Sigma_{xy}^{\top}D_{xx} + \Sigma_{yy}D_{xy}^{\top} &= 0 = D_{xy}^{\top}\Sigma_{xx} + D_{yy}\Sigma_{xy}^{\top} \\ \Sigma_{xy}^{\top}D_{xy} + \Sigma_{yy}D_{yy}^{\top} &= Id = D_{xy}^{\top}\Sigma_{xy} + D_{yy}\Sigma_{yy}^{\top} \end{aligned}$$

We can use it to obtain a new expression for the conditional expectation:

$$\begin{aligned} E(y|x) &= -xD_{xy}D_{yy}^{-1} = -xD_{xy}(\Sigma_{yy} - \Sigma_{xy}^{\top}\Sigma_{xx}^{-1}\Sigma_{xy}) \\ &= x(-D_{xy}\Sigma_{yy} + D_{xy}\Sigma_{xy}^{\top}\Sigma_{xx}^{-1}\Sigma_{xy}) \\ &= x(D_{xx}\Sigma_{xy} + \{Id - D_{xx}\Sigma_{xx}^{-1}\}\Sigma_{xx}^{-1}\Sigma_{xy}) \\ &= x(D_{xx}\Sigma_{xy} + \Sigma_{xx}^{-1}\Sigma_{xy} - D_{xx}\Sigma_{xy}) = x\Sigma_{xx}^{-1}\Sigma_{xy} \end{aligned}$$

By changing the roles of  $x$  and  $y$  we get also

$$E(x|y) = -yD_{xy}^{\top}D_{xx}^{-1} = y\Sigma_{yy}^{-1}\Sigma_{xy}^{\top}$$

When  $X$  and  $Y$  a priori have non zero mean, by using  $X' = (X - \mu_X)$  and  $Y' = (Y - \mu_Y)$  we obtain

$$E(X|Y) = \mu_X + (Y - \mu_Y)\Sigma_{yy}^{-1}\Sigma_{xy}^{\top} \quad (6)$$

$$E(Y|X) = \mu_Y + (X - \mu_X)\Sigma_{xx}^{-1}\Sigma_{xy} \quad (7)$$

It follows also that

$$D_{xy} = -\Sigma_{xx}^{-1}\Sigma_{xy}D_{yy} = -\Sigma_{xx}^{-1}\Sigma_{xy}\Sigma_{y|x}^{-1} = -\Sigma_{x|y}^{-1}\Sigma_{xy}\Sigma_{yy}^{-1}$$

and

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} D_{xx} & D_{xy} \\ D_{xy}^\top & D_{yy} \end{pmatrix} = \Sigma^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{x|y}^{-1} & -\Sigma_{x|y}^{-1}\Sigma_{xy}\Sigma_{yy}^{-1} \\ -\Sigma_{xx}^{-1}\Sigma_{xy}\Sigma_{y|x}^{-1} & \Sigma_{y|x}^{-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

To sum up, we obtained that both  $p_{X|Y}(x|Y=y)$  and  $p_{Y|X}(y|X=x)$  are Gaussian distribution and their explicit formulae read

$$\begin{aligned} p_{X|Y}(x|Y=y) &= (2\pi)^{-n_x/2} |D_{xx}|^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ [x - E(x|y)] D_{xx} [x - E(x|y)]^\top \right\}\right) \\ p_{Y|X}(y|X=x) &= (2\pi)^{-n_y/2} |D_{yy}|^{1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ [y - E(y|x)] D_{yy} [y - E(y|x)]^\top \right\}\right) \end{aligned}$$

where  $E(y|x)$  and  $E(x|y)$  are given by 6 and 7 and  $D_{xx}^{-1}$  and  $D_{yy}^{-1}$  are given by 1 and 5.

4. Consider a random variable  $Y(\omega) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Consider the linear subspace spanned by the random variable  $Y(\omega)$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{LinearSpan}(Y) \{b + aY(\omega) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\} \\ &\subset L^2(\Omega, \sigma(Y), P) = \{g(Y(\omega)) : g(y) \text{ Borel measurable}\} \cap L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P) \end{aligned}$$

- (a) Show that  $\text{LinearSpan}(Y)$  is a closed subspace of  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ .  
(b) Let  $X$  a random variable in  $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Compute the orthogonal projection of  $X$  on  $\text{LinearSpan}(Y)$ .  
Hint: you can assume that  $E(X) = 0$  and  $E(Y) = 0$ .

### Solution

- (a) Consider a sequence  $Z_n \in \text{LinearSpan}(Y)$  and its limit  $Z \in L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ . Note that in fact  $\text{LinearSpan}(Y) = \text{Span}\{1, Y\} = \text{Span}\{1, Y/E(Y^2)\}$ , so the orthonormal projection of  $Z$  on  $\text{LinearSpan}(Y)$  is simply

$$\Pi_{\text{LinearSpan}(Y)}(Z) = E(Z) + E(ZY)Y/E(Y^2) \in \text{LinearSpan}(Y)$$

Now consider the  $L^2$ -distance between  $Z_n$  and  $\Pi_{\text{LinearSpan}(Y)}(Z)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} E(Z_n - \Pi_{\text{LinearSpan}(Y)}(Z))^2 &= E\left(Z_n - E(Z) - \frac{E(ZY)}{E(Y^2)}Y\right)^2 = \\ &= E\left(E(Z_n) + \frac{E(Z_n Y)}{E(Y^2)}Y - E(Z) - \frac{E(ZY)}{E(Y^2)}Y\right)^2 \\ &= E\left[E(Z_n - Z) + Y \frac{E((Z_n - Z)Y)}{E(Y^2)}\right]^2 \\ &= (E(Z_n - Z))^2 + \frac{(E((Z_n - Z)Y))^2}{E(Y^2)} \\ &\leq 2E(Z_n - Z)^2 \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we used the Schwartz inequality.

Thus, we have shown that  $Z_n \rightarrow \Pi_{\text{LinearSpan}(Y)}(Z)$  and, since the limit is unique, we have necessarily that  $Z = \Pi_{\text{LinearSpan}(Y)}(Z) \in \text{LinearSpan}(Y)$ .

- (b) The orthogonal projection of  $X$  is

$$\Pi_{\text{LinearSpan}(Y)}(X) = E(X) + \frac{E(XY)}{E(Y^2)}Y = \frac{E(XY)}{E(Y^2)}Y$$

5. Consider a jointly Gaussian pair of random variables  $(X, Y)$ , with means  $E(X) = 0$  and  $E(Y) = 0$ , and covariance

$$\Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{XX} & \Sigma_{XY} \\ \Sigma_{YX} & \Sigma_{YY} \end{pmatrix}$$

- (a) Compute the orthogonal projection of  $X$  on  $LinearSpan(Y)$  and show that it coincides with on  $E(X|Y)(\omega)$ .

Hint: compute the orthogonal projection by minimizing w.r.t.  $a, b$

$$E((b + aY - X)^2)$$

- (b) Compute the conditional variance of  $X$  given  $Y$ , defined as

$$\text{Cov}(X|Y)(\omega) = E(X^2|Y)(\omega) - E(X|Y)(\omega)^2$$

### Solution

- (a) By exercise 3 we now that  $E(X|Y) = Y\Sigma_{XY}\Sigma_{YY}^{-1}$  (note that in this case  $\Sigma_{XY}$  and  $\Sigma_{YY}$  are just numbers and  $\Sigma_{XY} = \Sigma_{YX}$ ).

On the other hand we compute the orthogonal projection of  $X$  by minimizing with respect to  $a, b$

$$E((b + aY - X)^2) = b^2 + a^2E(Y^2) + E(X^2) - 2aE(XY)$$

We look for the stationary points:

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_b E((b + aY - X)^2) &= 2b = 0 \\ \partial_a E((b + aY - X)^2) &= 2aE(Y^2) - 2E(XY) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

then, we get that the stationary point is  $b = 0$  and  $a = E(XY)/E(Y^2) = \Sigma_{XY}/\Sigma_{YY}$ . A simple calculation shows that the Hessian matrix at the stationary point is  $H = \text{diag}(2, 2E(Y^2))$  which is positive definite, so our stationary point is a minimum point. This means that

$$\Pi_{LinearSpan(Y)}(X) = Y\Sigma_{XY}\Sigma_{YY}^{-1} = E(X|Y).$$

- (b) Again by exercise 3 we readily get that

$$\text{Cov}(X|Y)(\omega) = E(X^2|Y)(\omega) - E(X|Y)(\omega)^2 = \Sigma_{XX} - \Sigma_{XY}\Sigma_{YY}^{-1}\Sigma_{YX}$$

6. Let  $0 < s < t < u$ , and  $(B_r : r \geq 0)$  a standard Brownian motion with  $B_0 = 0$ . Compute the conditional distribution of  $B_u$  conditionally on  $\sigma(B_s, B_t)$ .

### Solution

Let be  $X = B_t$  and  $Y = (B_s \ B_t)^\top$ . Of course we have  $EX = 0$  and  $EY = (0 \ 0)^\top$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Sigma_{XX} &= t \\ \Sigma_{XY} &= (E(B_t B_s) \ E(B_t B_t)) = (s \ t) \\ \Sigma_{YY} &= \begin{pmatrix} E(B_s B_s) & E(B_s B_t) \\ E(B_u B_s) & E(B_u B_t) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} s & s \\ s & u \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

Now, by using the result in exercise 3, we obtain that the distribution of the random variable  $(B_t|B_s = b_1, B_u = b_2)$  is Gaussian with expectation value

$$\mu = \Sigma_{XY}\Sigma_{YY}^{-1}Y = \frac{u-t}{u-s}b_1 + \frac{t-s}{u-s}b_2$$

and variance

$$\Sigma = \Sigma_{XX} + \Sigma_{XY}\Sigma_{YY}^{-1}\Sigma_{YX} = \frac{(u-t)(t-s)}{u-s}$$

7. A  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion is an  $\mathbb{R}^d$ -valued stochastic process  $B_t = (B_t^{(1)}, \dots, B_t^{(d)})$ ,  $t \geq 0$ , where the components  $B_t^{(k)}$  are independent  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued standard Brownian motions.

- (a) Let  $Q$  be an orthogonal  $d \times d$ -matrix, which means  $QQ^\top = Q^\top Q = Id$ , (equivalently  $Q^{-1} = Q^\top$ ). Show that  $(QB_t : t \geq 0)$  is a  $d$ -dimensional Brownian motion.
- (b) For a matrix  $A \in d \times d$ , let  $X_t = (AB_t) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Show that  $(X_t : t \geq 0)$  is a Gaussian process (all finite dimensional distributions are jointly Gaussian), with independent jointly Gaussian increments, i.e. for  $0 \leq s \leq t$ ,  $(X_t - X_s) \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathcal{F}_s^X = \sigma(X_r : r \leq s)$ .
- (c) compute the covariance  $E(X_t^{(i)} X_s^{(j)})$ . Compute the stochastic cross variations

$$[X^{(i)}, X^{(j)}]_t = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t_k^n \in \Pi^n} (X_{t_k^n \wedge t}^{(i)} - X_{t_{k-1}^n \wedge t}^{(i)}) (X_{t_k^n \wedge t}^{(j)} - X_{t_{k-1}^n \wedge t}^{(j)})$$

for any sequence of partitions  $(\Pi^n)$  with  $\Delta(\Pi^n, t) \rightarrow 0$ , where we take limit in probability and the limit does not depend on the particular sequence  $(\Pi^n)$ , and we have also  $P$ -almost sure convergence when  $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \Delta(\Pi^n, t) < \infty$ .

### Solution

- (a) First, note that  $W_t^{(j)} := \sum_k Q_{jk} B_t^{(k)}$  is still Gaussian with vanishing mean since is a linear combination of Gaussian random variables with vanishing mean and is independent from  $W_s^{(j')}$  for  $j \neq j'$  since

$$\begin{aligned} E(W_t^{(j)} W_s^{(j')}) &= E \left( \sum_{k, k'} Q_{jk} Q_{j'k'} B_t^{(k)} B_s^{(k')} \right) = \\ &= \sum_{k, k'} Q_{jk} Q_{j'k'} E \left( B_t^{(k)} B_s^{(k')} \right) = \\ &= (t \wedge s) \sum_{k, k'} Q_{jk} Q_{j'k'} \delta_{k, k'} = (t \wedge s) \delta_{jj'} \end{aligned}$$

The last equation means also that  $E(W_j(t) W_j(s)) = t \wedge s$  as we expect.

Of course  $W_t^{(j)}$  is also continuous almost surely as linear combination of almost surely continuous processes.

Now we need to show the independence of the increments: in fact, let  $1 < t_2 < t_3 < t_4$  and consider

$$E(W_j(t_4) - W_j(t_3))(W_j(t_2) - W_j(t_1)) = (t_4 \wedge t_3) - (t_3 \wedge t_2) - (t_4 \wedge t_1) + (t_3 \wedge t_1) = 0$$

- (b) We can see that each component  $X_t^{(i)}$  is a Gaussian process by looking at the distribution of the vector  $(X_{t_1}^{(j_1)}, \dots, X_{t_n}^{(j_n)})$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is arbitrary. In particular we want to show that this distribution is jointly Gaussian.

Consider the characteristic function

$$\begin{aligned} E \left( \exp \left\{ i \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k X_{t_k}^{(j_k)} \right\} \right) &= E \left( \exp \left\{ i \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \sum_{m=1}^d A_{jk m} B_{t_k}^{(m)} \right\} \right) = \\ &= \prod_{m=1}^d E \left( \exp \left\{ i \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k A_{jk m} B_{t_k}^{(m)} \right\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Now we can define  $\theta_{k,m} := \lambda_k A_{j_k m}$  so that

$$\begin{aligned}
E \left( \exp \left\{ i \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k X_{t_k}^{(j_k)} \right\} \right) &= \prod_{m=1}^d E \left( \exp \left\{ i \sum_{k=1}^n \theta_{k,m} B_{t_k}^{(m)} \right\} \right) = \\
&= \prod_{m=1}^d \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,k'}^n \theta_{k,m} \theta_{k',m} (t_k \wedge t_{k'}) \right\} = \\
&= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,k'}^n \sum_{m=1}^d \lambda_k \lambda_{k'} A_{j_k m} A_{j_{k'} m} (t_k \wedge t_{k'}) \right\} = \\
&= \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,k'}^n \lambda_k \lambda_{k'} \Sigma_{j_k j_{k'}} (t_k \wedge t_{k'}) \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\Sigma = AA^\top$  is a  $d \times d$  matrix. Therefore, we obtained that the process is a Gaussian process with vanishing expectation and covariance

$$\text{Cov}(X_{t_1}^{j_1} X_{t_2}^{j_2}) = \Sigma_{j_1 j_2} (t_1 \wedge t_2)$$

Now, in order to show that the increments are independent, for  $t_1 < t_2 < t_3 < t_4$ , just consider

$$E(X_{t_4}^{(i)} - X_{t_3}^{(i)})(X_{t_2}^{(j)} - X_{t_1}^{(j)}) = \Sigma_{ij} [(t_4 \wedge t_2) - (t_3 \wedge t_2) - (t_4 \wedge t_1) + (t_3 \wedge t_1)] = 0$$

(c) We have already computed the covariance, so we just need to calculate the stochastic cross variation:

$$\begin{aligned}
[X^{(i)}, X^{(j)}]_t &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t_k^n \in \Pi^n} (X_{t_k^n \wedge t}^{(i)} - X_{t_{k-1}^n \wedge t}^{(i)})(X_{t_k^n \wedge t}^{(j)} - X_{t_{k-1}^n \wedge t}^{(j)}) = \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t_k^n \in \Pi^n} \sum_{m,m'} A_{im} A_{jm'} (B_{t_k^n \wedge t}^{(m)} - B_{t_{k-1}^n \wedge t}^{(m)})(B_{t_k^n \wedge t}^{(m')} - B_{t_{k-1}^n \wedge t}^{(m')}) = \\
&= \sum_{m,m'} A_{im} A_{jm'} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{t_k^n \in \Pi^n} (B_{t_k^n \wedge t}^{(m)} - B_{t_{k-1}^n \wedge t}^{(m)})(B_{t_k^n \wedge t}^{(m')} - B_{t_{k-1}^n \wedge t}^{(m')}) = \\
&= \sum_{m,m'} A_{im} A_{jm'} \delta_{m,m'} t = t \Sigma_{ij}
\end{aligned}$$