

HW 5 - EX 1

$$U \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n) \text{ and } s > n/2$$

$$\Rightarrow (1+|\xi|^2)^{s/2} \hat{U}(\xi) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$\text{Denote } \langle \xi \rangle = (1+|\xi|^2)^{1/2}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\hat{U}(\xi)|^2 d\xi = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{-s/2} \langle \xi \rangle^{s/2} |\hat{U}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^{-s} d\xi \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^s |\hat{U}(\xi)|^2 d\xi \right)^{1/2}$$

$$1^{\circ}) \text{ By Assumption } (U \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)) \Rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \langle \xi \rangle^s |\hat{U}(\xi)|^2 d\xi < \infty$$

$$2^{\circ}) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(1+|\xi|^2)^s} d\xi = C \int_0^\infty \left(\frac{1}{1+r^2}\right)^s r^{n-1} dr \leq C + \tilde{C} \int_1^\infty r^{-2s+n-1} dr$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(1+|\xi|^2)^s} d\xi < \infty \text{ when } -2s+n-1 < -1 \Rightarrow s > \frac{n}{2}$$

$$1^{\circ} \text{ \& } 2^{\circ} \text{ tell's that } \hat{U} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Fourier analysis say's if $U, \hat{U} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ then we have an expression

$$U(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} \hat{U}(\xi) d\xi \quad \text{a.e } x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\text{so } |U(x)| \leq C \|U\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \Rightarrow U \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

Also Fourier analysis tell's that:

$F: L^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, so we have continuous representative for \underline{U}

HW 5 EX. 2

Trace - lause

Lause 1.18 Olkoon $s > 1/2$. Tällöin

Trace-kuvauk

$$T_0: C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^h) \rightarrow C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{h-1})$$

$$(T\phi)(x') = \phi(x', 0), \quad x' \in \mathbb{R}^{h-1}$$

laajenee jatkuvaksi kuvaukseksi

$$T_0: H^s(\mathbb{R}^h) \rightarrow H^{s-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{h-1})$$

Huomautus: T on surjekttiivinen kuvaus [RR, 6.6.4]

Tod Olkoon $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^h)$, $g(x') = \phi(x', 0)$

Määritellään niiden muuttujien siltä Fourier-muunnos

$$\tilde{\phi}(x', \xi_h) = \left(\mathbb{F}_{x_h} \phi \right) (x', \xi_h) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{-ix_h \xi_h} \phi(x', x_h) dx_h$$

Tällöin

$$g(x') = \phi(x', 0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i0 \cdot \xi_h} \tilde{\phi}(x', \xi_h) d\xi_h$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{\phi}(x', \xi_h) d\xi_h.$$

HW 5 EX 2

Tallor Fourier-Mult. Totellter

$$\hat{g}(s') = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\phi}(s', s_n) ds_n, \quad s' \in \mathbb{R}^{h-1}$$

\uparrow
 $\mathcal{F}_{n-1} g = (h-1)$ -ult.
 Fourier-Mult.

\uparrow
 $\mathcal{F}_n \phi = n$ -ult. eines
 F-Mult.

Ja saannu

$$\|g\|_{H^{s-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{h-1})}^2 \leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{h-1}} (1+|s'|^2)^{s-1/2} |\hat{g}(s')|^2 ds'$$

$$\leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{h-1}} (1+|s'|^2)^{s-1/2} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\phi}(s', s_n) ds_n \right|^2 ds'$$

$\hat{\phi} = \langle s \rangle^{-s/2} \cdot (\langle s \rangle^{s/2} \hat{\phi})$

$$\leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{h-1}} \left[(1+|s'|^2)^{s-1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+|s'|^2 + |\tilde{\eta}|^2)^{-s} d\tilde{\eta} \right) \right]$$

\uparrow
 Cauchy-Schwartz

$$\cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1+|s'|^2 + |\eta|^2)^s |\hat{\phi}(s', \eta)|^2 d\eta \right) ds_n$$

HW 5 EX 2

Nyt muuttujanvaihdolla $\tilde{y} = (1 + |y'|^2)^{1/2} y$ (y' on kiinnitetty väli)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |y'|^2 + |\tilde{y}'|^2)^{-s} d\tilde{y}$$

$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}} [(1 + |y'|^2)(1 + |y|^2)]^{-s} \cdot (1 + |y'|^2)^{1/2} dy$$

$$= (1 + |y'|^2)^{-s+1/2} \cdot \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |y|^2)^{-s} dy}_{= C_s < \infty \text{ koska } s > \frac{1}{2}}$$

Siihen $\mathcal{G} = T_0 \phi$ illa päätetään

$$\|T_0 \phi\|_{H^{s-1/2}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1})}^2$$

$$\leq C_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} (1 + |y'|^2)^{s-1/2} \cdot C_s (1 + |y'|^2)^{-s+1/2} \cdot (1 + |y'|^2 + |y|^2)^s |\phi(y', y)|^2 dy' dy$$

$$\leq C_1 C_s \|\phi\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2$$

Väite seuraa, koska $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$ on tiheä osajoukko. \square

HW 5. EX 3.

EXERCISE 414 tells that

when U is bounded and $1 \leq p \leq \infty$
and $u \in W^{1,p}(U)$ then $|u| \in W^{1,p}(U)$

Then because

$U^+ := \max\{U, 0\}$ we have also expression

$$U^+ = \frac{U + |U|}{2} \quad \text{a.e. } x \in U$$

because

$U, |U| \in W^{1,p}(U)$ then this representative of U^+
belongs ~~to~~ ^{because it's} LINEAR combination to $W^{1,p}(U)$

in a same way you see that $U^- \in W^{1,p}(U)$

HW 5 EX. 4

Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open and Bounded
 Let us have an operator

$$Lu := - \sum_{i,j}^n \partial_j (a_{ij} \partial_i u) + cu \quad \text{where } a_{ij}, c \in L^\infty(U)$$

The BILINEAR Form is Defined:

$$B: H_0^1(U) \times H_0^1(U) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$B[u, v] = \int_U \left(\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} u_{x_i} v_{x_j} + cuv \right) dx$$

The first Point:

$$\begin{aligned} |B[u, v]| &\leq \sum_{i,j}^n \|a_{ij}\|_{L^\infty(U)} \int_U |Du| |Dv| dx + \|c\|_{L^\infty(U)} \int_U |u| |v| dx \\ &\leq \alpha \|u\|_{H_0^1(U)} \|v\|_{H_0^1(U)} \end{aligned}$$

The second Point: Poincaré inequality says

$$\|u\|_{L^2(U)}^2 \leq \tilde{C} \|Du\|_{L^2(U)}^2$$

$$\|u\|_{H_0^1(U)}^2 = \int_U u^2 dx + \int_U |Du|^2 dx \leq (1 + \tilde{C}) \|Du\|_{L^2(U)}^2$$

ellipticity gives α

$$B[u, u] = \int_U \sum_{i,j}^n a_{ij} u_{x_i} u_{x_j} dx + \int_U cu^2 \geq \theta \|Du\|_{L^2(U)}^2 + \int_U cu^2 dx$$

$$\geq \frac{\theta}{1 + \tilde{C}} \|u\|_{H_0^1(U)}^2 - N \|u\|_{L^2(U)}^2 \geq \left(\frac{\theta}{1 + \tilde{C}} - N \right) \|u\|_{H_0^1(U)}^2$$

$$\Rightarrow N \in \left(0, \frac{\theta}{1 + \tilde{C}} \right) \quad \text{and } c(x) \geq -N \quad a.e. x \in U$$

HW 5. EX 5

$U \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ open and $\Delta U > 0$.

Let's assume that we have a LOCAL MAXIMUM at point $x_0 \in U$

then $\partial_j U(x_0) = 0$ for all j and

$\partial_j^2 U(x_0) \leq 0$, But in that situation

causes that $\Delta U(x_0) \leq 0$ \downarrow

on the other hand:

Let $U = \mathbb{R}$

$$U(x) = e^x + e^{-x}$$

$$U'(x) = e^x - e^{-x}$$

$$U''(x) = e^x + e^{-x} > 0 \quad \forall x \in U$$

HAS a LOCAL MINIMUM and ALSO GLOBAL MINIMUM at $x=0$

HW
5

THEOREM 3 IN EVANS BOOK 6.3 REGULARITY
CHAPTER 8 AT'2: $a_{ij}, b_i, c \in C^\infty(U)$
and $f \in C^\infty(U)$.

EX. 6

Suppose $u \in H^1(U)$ is a weak solution
of the elliptic PDE

$$Lu = f, \text{ in } U \quad \text{then} \quad u \in C^\infty(U)$$