

The corresponding quantum mechanical model for the movement of the electrons is determined by the Hamiltonian (given $R \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^N$, with $\bar{R}_i \neq \bar{R}_j, i \neq j$)

$$H = H_R := -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \Delta_{\bar{x}_i} + \alpha V_c(x; R), \quad \alpha := \alpha_{\text{fine}}$$

$$= H_0 + \alpha V_c$$

The following result implies that H is a self-adjoint operator, with $D(H) = D(H_0)$, on $L^2((\mathbb{R}^3)^N)$. (Proof left as an Exercise.)

11.4.1. Theorem (Kato) Suppose $N, J \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Assume

$F_j : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, j=1, 2, \dots, J$ are Lebesgue measurable and $F_j \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3) + L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and suppose that to each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, J\}$ there corresponds a rotation $O_j \in O(3N)$ (i.e., $O_j \in \mathbb{R}^{3N \times 3N}$ and $O_j^T O_j = 1 = O_j O_j^T$). Let P_3 denote the projection $\mathbb{R}^{3N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ defined by $(P_3 x)_\nu := x_\nu \quad \forall \nu=1, 2, 3$.

Set $V_j(x) := F_j(P_3 O_j x), j=1, \dots, J, x \in \mathbb{R}^{3N}$ and define $V(x) := \sum_{j=1}^J V_j(x), x \in \mathbb{R}^{3N}$. Then

V is Lebesgue measurable and real-valued, and let V also denote the corresponding self-adjoint multiplication operator.

In this setup, the operator $H = H_0 + \alpha V$ is self-adjoint on $D(H_0)$ for any $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$. It is also essentially self-adjoint on $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^{3N})$ and on \mathcal{S}_{3N} .

Proof: The preimage of any set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ under the map $x \mapsto P_3 O_j x$ is equal to $O_j^T (A \times \mathbb{R}^{3(N-1)}) \in \mathbb{R}^{3N}$. In particular, if A is Lebesgue measurable, the preimage is Lebesgue meas. in \mathbb{R}^{3N} . Since each F_j is Lebesgue measurable, this implies that every V_j is measurable $\Rightarrow V$ is measurable. Obviously, $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{3N} \forall x$, and thus M_V is a self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{X} := L^2((\mathbb{R}^3)^N) \Rightarrow$ so is $\alpha V := \alpha M_V$.

Consider then an arbitrary $\mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{S}_{3N}$ and $F \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$, $O \in O(3N)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} I &:= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} dx |\mathcal{N}(x) F(P_3 O x)|^2 \\ &\stackrel{y=Ox}{=} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} dy \underbrace{|\det O|}_{=1} |\mathcal{N}(O^T y) F(P_3 y)|^2 \\ &\stackrel{\text{Fubini}}{=} \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^{N-1}} dy' \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dz |F(z)|^2 |\mathcal{N}(O^T(\underbrace{z, 0}_{\in \mathbb{R}^3 \times (\mathbb{R}^3)^{N-1}}) + O^T(0, y')))|^2 \right] \end{aligned}$$

For $y' \in (\mathbb{R}^3)^{N-1}$ denote $g_{y'}(z) := \mathcal{N}(O^T(z, 0) + O^T(0, y'))$, $z \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

$$\text{Since } \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{S} \Rightarrow g_{y'}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{3N}} dp e^{i2\pi p \cdot O^T(z, y')} \hat{\mathcal{N}}(p)$$

$$= \int dp e^{i2\pi O p \cdot (z, y')} \hat{\mathcal{N}}(p)$$

$$\Rightarrow -\Delta_z^2 g_{y'}(z) = \int dp \underbrace{(2\pi)^2 \sum_{\nu=1}^3 (O p)_\nu^2}_{=: \hat{h}(p), \hat{h} \in \mathcal{S}} \hat{\mathcal{N}}(p) e^{i2\pi O p \cdot (z, y')}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\hat{h}\|_2^2 = \int dp |\hat{\mathcal{N}}(p)|^2 \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^3 (2\pi O p)_\nu^2 \right)^2$$

$$\leq \int dp |\hat{\mathcal{N}}(p)|^2 \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^{3N} (2\pi O p)_\nu^2 \right)^2$$

$$= \int dp |(2\pi p)^2 \hat{\mathcal{N}}(p)|^2 = \|2H_0 \mathcal{N}\|_2^2.$$

On the other hand, $\|\hat{h}\|_2^2 = \|h\|_2^2 = \int dx |h(x)|^2$

$$\stackrel{y=Ox}{=} \int dy |h(O^T y)|^2 = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^3)^{N-1}} dy' \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dz |-\Delta_z^2 g_{y'}(z)|^2 \right] < \infty$$

\Rightarrow for a.e. y' , $\|-\Delta_z^2 g_{y'}\| < \infty$. Since also

$$\|\mathcal{N}\|^2 = \int dy |\mathcal{N}(O^T y)|^2 = \int dy' \left[\int dz |g_{y'}(z)|^2 \right] < \infty$$

Since $g_{y'} \in \mathcal{S}_3 \forall y'$ (Exercise), we have $g_{y'} \in D(\mathbb{H}_0|_{d=3})$ and

$$\mathbb{H}_0 g_{y'} = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_z^2 g_{y'}. \text{ Thus by Proposition 10.3. } \Rightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists C_\varepsilon > 0 \text{ s.t.}$$

$$|g_{y'}(z)| \leq \varepsilon \|\mathbb{H}_0 g_{y'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} + C_\varepsilon \|g_{y'}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \quad \forall y', z$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \int dy' |g_{y'}(z)|^2 \leq \int dy' 2 \left(\varepsilon^2 \|\mathbb{H}_0 g_{y'}\|^2 + C_\varepsilon^2 \|g_{y'}\|^2 \right)$$

$$= \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \int dy' \|-\Delta_z^2 g_{y'}\|^2 + 2C_\varepsilon^2 \int dy' \|g_{y'}\|^2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} \|\hat{h}\|_2^2 + 2C_\varepsilon^2 \|\mathcal{N}\|^2$$

Thus, by Fubini's theorem,

$$I = \int dz |F(z)|^2 \left[\int dy' |g_{y'}(z)|^2 \right] \\ \leq \|F\|_{L^2}^2 \cdot (2\varepsilon^2 \|H_0 \psi\|^2 + 2C_\varepsilon^2 \|\psi\|^2) < \infty$$

If $F \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3)$, we similarly obtain

$$\int dx |\psi(x) F(p_3 \circ x)|^2 = \int dy |\psi(\sigma^T y) F(p_3 \circ y)|^2 \\ = \int dy' \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} dz |F(z)|^2 |\psi(\sigma^T(z, y'))|^2 \right] \\ \leq \|F\|_\infty^2 \int dy |\psi(\sigma^T y)|^2 = \|F\|_\infty^2 \|\psi\|^2 < \infty.$$

This proves that if $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists C_\varepsilon \geq 0$ s.t. $\forall \psi \in \mathcal{S}_{3n}$, $j=1, \dots, J$, using $F_j = F_{j,2} + F_{j,\infty}$,

$$\|V_j \psi\| \leq \|F_{j,2}\|_{L^2} \sqrt{2\varepsilon^2 \|H_0 \psi\|^2 + 2C_\varepsilon^2 \|\psi\|^2} + \|F_{j,\infty}\| \|\psi\| \\ \sqrt{2a^2 + 2b^2} \leq \sqrt{4 \max(a^2, b^2)} \leq 2(|a| + |b|) \\ \leq 2\varepsilon \|F_{j,2}\|_{L^2} \|H_0 \psi\| + (2C_\varepsilon \|F_{j,2}\|_{L^2} + \|F_{j,\infty}\|) \|\psi\| \\ \Rightarrow \|V \psi\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^J \|V_j \psi\| \leq \left(2\varepsilon \sum_{j=1}^J \|F_{j,2}\|_{L^2} \right) \cdot \|H_0 \psi\| \\ + \sum_{j=1}^J (2C_\varepsilon \|F_{j,2}\|_{L^2} + \|F_{j,\infty}\|) \cdot \|\psi\|$$

This implies that if $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is given, then $\forall a, b \geq 0$ s.t.

$$(*) \quad \|\alpha V \psi\| \leq a \|H_0 \psi\| + b \|\psi\| \quad \text{for } \psi \in \mathcal{S}_{3n}.$$

Since \mathcal{S}_{3n} is a core for H_0 , the Remark on p. 111 implies that then (*) holds for all $\psi \in D(H_0)$.

Therefore, by the Kato-Rellich theorem (10.2.)

$\Rightarrow H = H_0 + \alpha V$ is self-adjoint on $D(H_0)$

and essentially self-adjoint on any core of $D(H_0)$, for instance on \mathcal{S}_{3n} and \mathcal{D}_{3n} \square

Remark: This also implies that $D(H_0) \subset D(\alpha V)$. Choose $\psi \in D(H_0)$. Then $\exists r_n \in \mathbb{S}$ s.t. $r_n(x) \rightarrow \alpha|x|$ a.e. x and $r_n \rightarrow \alpha$

$H_0 r_n \rightarrow H_0 \psi$ in L^2 . By Fatou's Lemma $\int dx |\alpha V \psi|^2 \leq \int dx (\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\alpha V(r_n \psi)|^2) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int dx |\alpha V(r_n \psi)|^2 \leq (a \|H_0 \psi\| + b \|\psi\|)^2 < \infty$. Thus $\psi \in D(\alpha V)$.

11.5. Relativistic Hamiltonians and external magnetic fields

* Suppose the particle has a mass $m > 0$. So far we have taken as the free evolution the one corresponding to classical Newtonian mechanics, $H_0 = \frac{1}{2m} \hat{p}^2 = -\frac{1}{2m} \nabla^2$ on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

Other possibilities are encountered in physical applications: For instance,

a) The addition of an external magnetic field, $\vec{B}(x) := \nabla \times \vec{A}(x)$, with $\vec{A}: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ denoting the magnetic vector potential, will require using

$$H_{0, \vec{A}} := \frac{1}{2m} (-i\nabla - q \vec{A}(x))^2, \quad q = \text{charge of particle} \in \mathbb{R}$$

Already the simplest example case, $\vec{B} = \text{const} = \vec{B}_0$ corresponding to $\vec{A}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \vec{x} \times \vec{B}_0$, needs additional machinery to describe $H_{0, \vec{A}}$ as a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$. See, for instance, Theorem 8.22 in Reed & Simon II, p. 173.

b) If the free evolution of the particle needs to be described relativistically, one should replace H_0 by (in units with $c = \text{velocity of light} = 1$)

$$H_{\text{rel}} := \sqrt{-\nabla^2 + m^2}.$$

This is self-adjoint as $F^* M_F F$ where M_F denotes the multiplication operator with $F(2\pi\vec{k})$,

$F(\vec{p}) = \sqrt{\vec{p}^2 + m^2}$. By G.S.C., H_{rel} is then self-adjoint on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)$.

One then has $F(\vec{p}) = m + \frac{1}{2m} \vec{p}^2 + O(\vec{p}^4)$
 $\Rightarrow H_{\text{rel}} = m\mathbb{1} + H_0 + \text{"correction"}$. The corresponding particle densities have a "relativistic" behaviour;

For instance, the scaling limits of Wigner transforms $W_{\psi(\frac{\cdot}{\epsilon})}^\epsilon$, defined using $\psi(x) := e^{-i\hbar \text{rel}} \psi(x)$

and any $\epsilon > 0$ in 8.3.2., will satisfy the following limit property: If $W_{\psi(\frac{\cdot}{\epsilon})}^\epsilon \rightarrow \Lambda_*$ in S_3' (and some regularity assumptions), then

$$\partial_* \Lambda_* + \bar{v}(2\pi\bar{k}) \cdot \nabla_{\bar{k}} \Lambda_* = 0$$

where $\bar{v}(\bar{p}) := \frac{\bar{p}}{\sqrt{\bar{p}^2 + m^2}}$. (By Theorem 8.3.7. the

same result holds for H_0 using $\bar{v}(\bar{p}) = \bar{p}$, even without taking any scaling limits.)

Therefore, the physical interpretation of the Fourier variable $\bar{p} = 2\pi\bar{k}$ in this case is to identify it with the spatial part of the "momentum four-vector", as defined in special relativity. (Details are left for the "final project".)

c) Spin has non-trivial interaction with the magnetic field. The standard way to model these to electrons is to change in either $H_0 = \frac{1}{2m} \hat{p}^2$ or in $H_{\text{rel}} = \sqrt{\hat{p}^2 + m^2}$

$$\hat{p}^2 \rightarrow \left[\sum_{j=1}^3 \hat{\sigma}_j (-i\partial_j + q_e A_j(x)) \right]^2$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_j \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ denote the Pauli matrices defined on p.27; they determine the action of H on the spin components of $\psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^3, \mathbb{C}^2)$.