

... Thus there is a sequence $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that for a.e. $k \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$(\mathcal{F}\eta)(k) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|x| \leq R_n} dx e^{-i2\pi x \cdot k} \eta(x).$$

6.6. Differential operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

1. Lemma Suppose A is a closed, densely defined operator, and U is a unitary operator. Then AU and UA are closed and densely defined. In addition,
 $(AU)^* = U^*A^*$, $(UA)^* = A^*U^*$.

Proof. The products are defined with their natural domains:

$$D(AU) = \{\eta \in \mathcal{H} \mid U\eta \in D(A)\} \\ = U^*D(A)$$

$$D(UA) = D(A).$$

For any unitary map $U: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ and subset $S \subset \mathcal{H}$ we have

$\overline{US} = U\overline{S}$. Thus both $D(A)$ and $U^*D(A)$ are dense. Now

$$\mathcal{G}(AU) = \{(\eta, AU\eta) \mid U\eta \in D(A)\} \\ = \{(U^*\phi, A\phi) \mid \phi \in D(A)\} \\ = \mathcal{U}_1 \mathcal{G}(A) \text{ with}$$

$$\mathcal{U}_1(\eta, \phi) := (U^*\eta, \phi).$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{U}_1: \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$ is unitary,

and thus $\overline{\mathcal{G}(AU)} = \mathcal{U}_1 \overline{\mathcal{G}(A)} = \mathcal{U}_1 \mathcal{G}(A) = \mathcal{G}(AU)$ and AU is closed and densely def.

Similarly, $\mathcal{G}(UA) = \mathcal{U}_2 \mathcal{G}(A)$, with

$$\mathcal{U}_2(\eta, \phi) := (\eta, U\phi) \text{ which is unitary.}$$

Thus also UA is closed and densely def.

Suppose $\tilde{\phi}, \phi \in \mathcal{H}$. Then $\phi \in D(AU)^*$, $\tilde{\phi} = (AU)^*\phi$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall \eta \in D(AU): (\phi, AU\eta) = (\tilde{\phi}, \eta) = (U\tilde{\phi}, U\eta)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall \phi \in D(A): (\phi, A\phi) = (U\tilde{\phi}, \phi)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \phi \in D(A^*) \text{ and } U\tilde{\phi} = A^*\phi$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \phi \in D(A^*) = D(U^*A^*) \text{ and } \tilde{\phi} = U^*A^*\phi,$$

This proves that $(AU)^* = U^*A^*$.

Similarly, $\phi \in D((UA)^*)$, $\tilde{\phi} = (UA)^*\phi$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall \psi \in D(UA) : (\tilde{\phi}, \psi) = (\phi, UA\psi) = (U^*\phi, A\psi)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \forall \psi \in D(A) : (\tilde{\phi}, \psi) = (U^*\phi, A\psi)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow U^*\phi \in D(A^*) \text{ and } \tilde{\phi} = A^*(U^*\phi)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \phi \in UD(A^*) = (U^*)^*D(A^*) = D(A^*U^*)$$

$$\text{and } \tilde{\phi} = A^*U^*\phi$$

and thus $(UA)^* = A^*U^*$ \square

2. Corollary If A is self-adjoint, and U is unitary, then U^*AU and UAU^* are self-adjoint.

3. Definition: Let α be a multi-index. Then $V_\alpha(k) = (i2\pi k)^\alpha$ defines a closed multiplication operator M_{V_α} on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We define the operator ∂^α on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by the formula $\partial^\alpha := \mathcal{F}_{L^2}^{-1} M_{V_\alpha} \mathcal{F}_{L^2}$.

4. Properties: a) ∂^α is a closed, densely defined operator.

b) $A := (-i\partial)^\alpha$ is self-adjoint. If α_i is even $\forall i$, then A is also positive, ($\Leftrightarrow A$ self-adj., and $\sigma(A) \subset [0, \infty)$)

c) $\forall f \in \mathcal{S} : \partial_{L^2}^\alpha f = \partial^\alpha f$ and, moreover, $\partial_{L^2}^\alpha = \partial_{\mathcal{S}}^\alpha$.

d) "Partial integration" is possible:

$$\forall \phi, \psi \in D(\partial^\alpha)$$

$$(\phi, \partial^\alpha \psi) = ((-\partial)^\alpha \phi, \psi).$$

Proof:

By Lemma 6.6.1, above, and unitarity of \mathcal{F}_{L^2} , "a)" follows since M_{V_α} is closed and densely defined (Exercise 5.1.) "b)" is a consequence of the corresponding properties of M_{V_α} and Lemma 6.6.7, on page 77b.

Now $(-i)^{|\alpha|} V_\alpha(k) = (2\pi k)^\alpha \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{R}^d \Rightarrow M_{(-i)^{|\alpha|} V_\alpha}$ self-adjoint
 Corollary G.C.2. $\Rightarrow A := \mathcal{F}^* M_{(-i)^{|\alpha|} V_\alpha} \mathcal{F} = (-i\partial)^\alpha$ is self-adjoint.

If also $\alpha_i = 2n_i \quad \forall i, \quad n_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$,
 then

$$\begin{aligned} (\varphi, A\varphi) &= (\varphi, (-i\partial)^\alpha \varphi) = (\widehat{\varphi}, (-i)^{|\alpha|} M_{V_\alpha} \widehat{\varphi}) \\ &= \int dk |\widehat{\varphi}(k)|^2 (2\pi)^{|\alpha|} \prod_{j=1}^d k_j^{2n_j} \geq 0 \quad \forall \varphi \in D(A) \end{aligned}$$

Lemma G.C.7.

$\hookrightarrow \sigma(A) \subset [0, \infty)$.

To prove "c)", assume $f \in \mathcal{S}$. Then Exercise 7.45)

$$(M_{V_\alpha} \mathcal{F}_{L^2} f)(k) = (i2\pi k)^\alpha \widehat{f}(k) \stackrel{!}{=} \mathcal{F}_S(\partial^\alpha f)(k) \in \mathcal{S}$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_{L^2}^\alpha f = \mathcal{F}_{L^2}^{-1} M_{V_\alpha} \mathcal{F}_{L^2} f = \mathcal{F}_S^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_S(\partial^\alpha f)) = \partial^\alpha f.$$

Thus $\partial_{L^2}^\alpha$ is a closed extension of the densely defined operator $\partial_S^\alpha: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L^2$
 $\Rightarrow \partial_S^\alpha$ is closable, and $\overline{\partial_S^\alpha} \subset \partial_{L^2}^\alpha$.

To show the converse, suppose $\varphi \in D(\partial_{L^2}^\alpha)$.

Then $\widehat{\varphi} \in D(M_{V_\alpha}) \Rightarrow V_\alpha \widehat{\varphi} \in L^2$.

Since also $\widehat{\varphi} \in L^2 \Rightarrow \exists g_n \in \mathcal{S}, n \in \mathbb{N}$, s.t.

$g_n \rightarrow \widehat{\varphi}$ in norm. Let us then

define $f_n = G_n g_n$ where

$$G_n(k) := e^{-\frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_n^2 k^{2\alpha}}, \quad \varepsilon_n = \|\widehat{\varphi} - g_n\|^{1/2}$$

Clearly, also $f_n \in \mathcal{S} \quad \forall n$, and $\varepsilon_n \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

In addition,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{\varphi} - f_n\| &= \|(1 - G_n) \widehat{\varphi} + G_n(\widehat{\varphi} - g_n)\| \\ &\leq \|(1 - G_n) \widehat{\varphi}\| + \|G_n(\widehat{\varphi} - g_n)\| \\ &\rightarrow 0 \quad \text{by easy applications of OCT.} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also } \|V^\alpha \widehat{\varphi} - V^\alpha f_n\| &\leq \|(1 - G_n) V^\alpha \widehat{\varphi}\| \\ &\quad + \|G_n V^\alpha(\widehat{\varphi} - g_n)\| \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|G_n V^\alpha(\widehat{\varphi} - g_n)\|^2 &\leq \int dk e^{-\varepsilon_n^2 k^{2\alpha}} (2\pi)^{2|\alpha|} k^{2\alpha} \\ &\quad \times |\widehat{\varphi}(k) - g_n(k)|^2 \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{2|\alpha|} \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n^2} \int dk |\widehat{\varphi}(k) - g_n(k)|^2 \leq (2\pi)^{2|\alpha|} \|\widehat{\varphi} - g_n\| \\ &\rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Where we have applied the inequality
 $x e^{-x} \leq e^{-1} < 1 \quad \forall x \geq 0.$

Thus $f_n \rightarrow \hat{\varphi}$ and $V_\alpha f_n \rightarrow V_\alpha \hat{\varphi}$ in norm,
 with $f_n \in \mathcal{S} \quad \forall n$. Let

$$\varphi_n = \mathcal{F}_S^{-1} f_n \in \mathcal{S}. \Rightarrow \varphi_n \rightarrow \varphi \text{ in } L^2 \text{ and}$$

$$\text{also } \partial^\alpha \varphi_n = \mathcal{F}_S^{-1} (V_\alpha f_n) \xrightarrow{\text{Thm 5.5.}} \mathcal{F}_{L^2}^{-1} (M_{V_\alpha} \hat{\varphi}) = \partial^\alpha \varphi.$$

$$\text{Thus } \langle \varphi, \partial^\alpha \varphi \rangle \in \overline{\mathcal{G}(\partial^\alpha|_S)} \stackrel{!}{=} \mathcal{G}(\partial^\alpha|_S) \quad \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{D}(\partial^\alpha)$$

Hence, $\mathcal{G}(\partial^\alpha|_{L^2}) = \overline{\mathcal{G}(\partial^\alpha|_S)} \Rightarrow \partial^\alpha|_{L^2} = \overline{\partial^\alpha|_S}.$

"d)" follows from

$$\langle \varphi, \partial^\alpha \varphi \rangle = \langle \mathcal{F}\varphi, \mathcal{F}\partial^\alpha \varphi \rangle = \langle \hat{\varphi}, M_{V_\alpha} \hat{\varphi} \rangle$$

$$= \int dk \hat{\varphi}(k)^* (i2\pi k)^\alpha \hat{\varphi}(k)$$

$$= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \int dk ((i2\pi k)^\alpha \hat{\varphi}(k))^* \hat{\varphi}(k)$$

$$= (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle M_{V_\alpha} \hat{\varphi}, \hat{\varphi} \rangle = (-1)^{|\alpha|} \langle \partial^\alpha \varphi, \varphi \rangle \quad \square$$

5. Definition

Let $F: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be Lebesgue measurable. We define then

$$F(-i\partial) := \mathcal{F}_{L^2}^{-1} M_{V_F} \mathcal{F}_{L^2}$$

$$\text{where } V_F(k) := F(2\pi k)..$$

6. Properties

a) $F(-i\partial)$ is a closed, densely defined operator.

b) If F is real, $F(-i\partial)$ is self-adjoint.

c) If F is positive, $F(-i\partial)$ is positive.

Proof Exactly as in 4. above. \square

Lemma: Suppose A is self-adjoint operator on \mathcal{H} . Then

$$\sigma(A) \subset [0, \infty) \iff (\eta, A\eta) \geq 0 \quad \forall \eta \in D(A).$$

Proof: " \Rightarrow " If $\sigma(A) \subset [0, \infty)$, by spectral decomposition of A ,

$$(\eta, A\eta) = \int_{\sigma(A)} E_{\eta, \eta}(d\lambda) \lambda \geq 0$$

since $E_{\eta, \eta}$ is a positive measure and $\lambda \in \sigma(A) \Rightarrow \lambda \geq 0$.

" \Leftarrow " Assume $(\eta, A\eta) \geq 0 \quad \forall \eta \in D(A)$, and consider some $\lambda_0 < 0$. Define $B := \mathcal{O}(f_{\lambda_0})$ where $f_{\lambda_0}(\lambda) := \lambda_0 - \lambda$ on $\sigma(A)$. \Rightarrow B is self-adjoint, since $\sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}$, and $D(B) = D(A)$. If $\eta \in D(B)$ and $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$, we also have $(\phi, B\eta)$

$$= \int_{\sigma(A)} E_{\phi, \eta}(d\lambda) (\lambda_0 - \lambda) = \lambda_0 (\phi, \eta) - (\phi, A\eta)$$

$$= (\phi, (\lambda_0 - A)\eta). \text{ Therefore, } B = \lambda_0 - A.$$

It follows from the assumption that if $\eta \in D(A)$

$$0 \leq (-\lambda_0) \|\eta\|^2 \leq -\lambda_0 (\eta, \eta) + (\eta, A\eta) = -(\eta, (\lambda_0 - A)\eta) = -(\eta, B\eta)$$

$$\Rightarrow |\lambda_0| \|\eta\|^2 \leq \|\eta\| \|B\eta\| \quad \forall \eta \in D(B)$$

$$\Rightarrow |\lambda_0| \|\eta\| \leq \|B\eta\| \quad \forall \eta \in D(B).$$

If $\eta \neq 0 \Rightarrow B\eta \neq 0$. Hence, B is one-to-one.

$\Rightarrow \exists B^{-1}: R(B) \rightarrow D(B)$, and if $\phi \in R(B)$

then $B\phi \in D(B)$ satisfies $\|B\phi\| \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda_0|} \|\phi\|$.

$\Rightarrow B^{-1}$ bounded, and if we can show $R(B) = \mathcal{H}$,

then $B^{-1} = (\lambda_0 - A)^{-1} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \Rightarrow \lambda_0 \notin \sigma(A)$.

Assume first $\phi \perp R(B)$.

$$\Rightarrow \forall \eta \in D(B): (\phi, B\eta) = 0 = (0, \eta)$$

$\Rightarrow \phi \in D(B^*)$ and $B^*\phi = 0$. As $B^* = B$,

$$\Rightarrow \phi \in D(B) \text{ with } B\phi = 0 \Rightarrow \phi = 0.$$

Therefore, $R(B) \stackrel{5.2.2}{=} (R(B)^\perp)^\perp = \{0\}^\perp = \mathcal{H}$.

Suppose then $\phi \in R(B) \Rightarrow \exists \eta_n \in D(B)$ s.t. $\|\phi - B\eta_n\| \rightarrow 0$.

But then $\|\eta_n - \eta_m\| \leq \frac{1}{|\lambda_0|} \|B\eta_n - B\eta_m\| \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow (\eta_n)$ is Cauchy

$\Rightarrow \exists \eta := \lim \eta_n \in \mathcal{H}$. But since B is closed $\stackrel{5.3}{\Rightarrow} \eta \in D(B)$, $B\eta = \phi$.

$\Rightarrow \phi \in R(B)$. Therefore, $R(B) = \overline{R(B)} = \mathcal{H}$ and thus $\lambda_0 < 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_0 \notin \sigma(A) \subset \mathbb{R}$. \square

G.7. Free Schrödinger evolution on \mathbb{R}^d

Consider the following operators on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\hat{P}_j := -i\partial_j, \quad j=1, \dots, d$$

$$\hat{P}_j^2 := -\partial_j^2, \quad j=1, \dots, d$$

$$H_0 := -\frac{1}{2}\Delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d (-i\partial_j)^2$$

By G.6.4. and G.6.6. all are densely defined and self-adjoint.

1. Definition

For $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $U_t = F_t(-i\partial)$ with $F_t(p) = e^{-it \frac{1}{2} p^2}$, defined as in G.6.5. Then $\mathcal{U}(t) := U_t \mathcal{U}(0)$ defines the free Schrödinger evolution on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

2. Properties

* Now $U_t = \mathcal{F}^{-1} M_{V_t} \mathcal{F}$ with

$$V_{F_t}(k) = e^{-it \frac{1}{2} (2\pi k)^2} = e^{-it \frac{(2\pi)^2}{2} k^2}$$

Exercise 5.5. $\Rightarrow (V_{F_t})$ is a strongly continuous unitary group, with generator M_V .

$$V(k) = \frac{(2\pi k)^2}{2}$$

Exercise 7.1. $\Rightarrow U_t$ is also a strongly continuous unitary group, with generator

$$A = \mathcal{F}^{-1} M_V \mathcal{F} = \text{Since } V(k) = \frac{p^2}{2} \Big|_{p=2\pi k} \\ \Rightarrow A = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d (-i\partial_j)^2 = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta = H_0.$$

Thus $U_t = e^{-it H_0}$ with $H_0 = -\frac{1}{2}\Delta = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla^2$.

* In general, the natural domains of combinations of unbounded operators are not very useful.

Here, however, we do have

$$H_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^d \hat{P}_j^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{P}_j^2 = \hat{P}_j \hat{P}_j.$$

3. Proposition a) If $\psi(t_0) \in \mathcal{S}$ for some t_0 ,
then $\psi(t) \in \mathcal{S} \forall t$, and $\forall t \neq 0$

$$\psi(x, t) := \psi(t)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy \mathcal{K}(x, y; t) \psi(y, 0)$$

where \mathcal{K} is called the free propagator

and is given by

$$\mathcal{K}(x, y; t) = \frac{1}{(-i2\pi t)^{d/2}} e^{i \frac{1}{2t} (x-y)^2}$$

In addition, $\psi(x, t)$ solves the free Schrödinger differential equation:

$$i \partial_t \psi(x, t) = -\frac{1}{2} \Delta_x \psi(x, t) \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

b) If $\psi(0) \in L^1 \cap L^2$; $t \neq 0$, then

$$\psi(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} dy \mathcal{K}(x, y; t) \psi(y, 0) \quad \text{a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^d$$

c) In general, $\forall \psi \in L^2$, $t \neq 0$, $\exists R_n > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}$,

s.t. $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ and for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\psi(x, t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{|y| \leq R_n} dy \mathcal{K}(x, y; t) \psi(y, 0).$$

Proof.

a) Assume $\psi(t_0) \in \mathcal{S}$, $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. By the group property of U_t , then $\forall t$

$$\psi(t) = U_t \psi(0) = U_{t-t_0} U_{t_0} \psi(0) = U_{t-t_0} \psi(t_0).$$

$$= \mathcal{F}_{L^2}^{-1} M_{F_{t-t_0}} \mathcal{F}_{L^2} \psi(t_0) = \mathcal{F}_S^{-1} M_{F_{t-t_0}} \mathcal{F}_S \psi(t_0)$$

$$= \tilde{U}_{t-t_0} \psi(t_0), \quad \text{where } \tilde{U}_t \text{ denotes}$$