

Metric Geometry  
 Fall 2013  
 HW 10 (JK)

Given  $a, b, c > 0$  a tripod  $T = T(a, b, c)$  is a metric tree consisting of three edges of lengths  $a, b, c$  meeting at a common vertex (of valence 3). For convenience, we extend the notion of a tripod to the case where  $a, b$ , and  $c$  are allowed to be zero.

**Exercise 1.** *Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $x, y, z \in X$ . Prove that there exists a tripod  $T(a, b, c)$  and an isometric embedding  $f: \{x, y, z\} \rightarrow T$  such that  $(y|z)_x = a$ , the length of the edge with  $f(x)$  as an endpoint.*

*Proof.* Let  $\bar{\Delta}$  be the 0-comparison triangle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  for the triple  $(x, y, z)$  with vertices  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z}$ . Bisect each vertex angle, and inscribe a maximal circle, called the incircle, with centre at the intersection of the bisectors. The incircle is unique and has three unique points with  $\bar{\Delta}$ , called inpoints, dividing each of its sides into two segments of non-zero length  $a, b$  or  $c$  so that  $d(x, y) = d(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = a + b$ ,  $d(x, z) = d(\bar{x}, \bar{z}) = a + c$ , and  $d(y, z) = d(\bar{y}, \bar{z}) = b + c$ . Now,

$$(y|z)_x = \frac{1}{2} (d(y, x) + d(z, x) - d(y, z)) = \frac{1}{2} (a + b + a + c - (b + c)) = a.$$

Thus, the Gromov product  $(y|z)_x$  is the distance from the comparison point of  $x$  to the adjacent inpoints, of which there are two,  $\bar{i}_z \in [\bar{x}, \bar{y}]$  and  $\bar{i}_y \in [\bar{x}, \bar{z}]$ . Construct the tripod  $T(a, b, c)$ , and let  $\{v_x, v_y, v_z\}$  be its vertices of valence one, and  $O_\Delta$  its central vertex of valence three. Define  $f: \{x, y, z\} \rightarrow T(a, b, c)$  by  $x \mapsto v_x$ ,  $y \mapsto v_y$ , and  $z \mapsto v_z$ . Now  $f$  is an isometry from  $\{x, y, z\}$  with induced metric from  $X$  to the metric tree  $T(a, b, c)$ : simply observe that by construction  $d(f(x), f(y)) = d(v_x, v_y) = d(v_x, O_\Delta) + d(O_\Delta, v_y) = a + b = d(x, y)$  and similarly for the other points.  $\square$

We say that a metric space  $X$  is (Gromov)  $\delta$ -hyperbolic if it is  $(\delta)$ -hyperbolic in the sense of Bridson-Haefliger, c.f. III.H 1.19.

**Exercise 2.** *Suppose that  $X$  is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic. Prove that,*

$$d(w, y) + d(x, z) \leq \max\{d(x, y) + d(w, z), d(x, w) + d(y, z)\} + 2\delta,$$

for every  $w, x, y, z \in X$ .

*Proof.* For  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ , write  $s \wedge t = \min\{s, t\}$  and  $s \vee t = \max\{s, t\}$ . In other words,  $X$  is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic if and only if for all  $w, x, y, z \in X$  there exists a  $0 \leq \delta < \infty$  such that

$$(x|z)_w \geq (x|y)_w \wedge (y|z)_w - \delta.$$

First, assume  $(x|y)_w \leq (y|z)_w$ , multiply the  $\delta$ -hyperbolicity condition by 2 and simplify:

$$d(x, z) + d(y, w) \leq d(x, y) + d(z, w) + 2\delta.$$

Similarly for  $(x|y)_w \geq (y|z)_w$ . Combining the two proves the claim.  $\square$

**Exercise 3.** *Suppose  $X$  is a 0-hyperbolic geodesic metric space. Prove that  $X$  is uniquely geodesic.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $[w, y], [w, y]'$  are two geodesics segments joining  $w$  and  $y$  in  $X$ . Let  $x \in [w, y]$  and  $z \in [w, y]'$  such that  $d(x, y) = d(z, y) = b$ . Now,  $d(x, w) = d(z, w) = a$ ,  $c = d(w, y) = d(w, x) + d(x, y) = a + b$  and  $c' = d(x, z) \geq 0$ . By 0-hyperbolicity, it follows from Exercise 2 that

$$\begin{aligned} a + b &\leq a + b + c' = c + c' = d(w, y) + d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(z, w) \\ &= d(x, y) + d(w, x) = a + b. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $c' = 0$ , in other words  $d(x, z) = 0$  and it follows that  $[w, y] = [w, y]'$ .  $\square$

N.B.  $X$  is a 0-hyperbolic geodesic metric space if and only if it is an  $\mathbb{R}$ -tree, in which case all geodesic triangles are tripods.

**Exercise 4.** *It is known that every geodesic triangle in  $\mathbb{H}^2$  has an area at most  $\pi$  (follows from the Gauss-Bonnet theorem). Use this knowledge to verify that each  $CAT(\kappa)$ -space  $X$  satisfies the Rips condition for some  $\delta \geq 0$  if  $\kappa < 0$ .*

*Proof.* By the Gauss-Bonnet theorem  $\mathbb{H}^2$  satisfies the Rips condition for some  $\delta$  which is the radius of the maximal incircle that exists. Since the triangle area and hence incircle area is bounded above by  $\pi$ ,  $\delta$  is finite. As the metric on  $M_\kappa^2$  is obtained by rescaling the metric of  $\mathbb{H}^2$ , it follows that  $M_\kappa^2$  satisfies the Rips condition whenever  $\kappa < 0$ . By the  $CAT(\kappa)$ -inequality,  $X$  satisfies the Rips condition.  $\square$

**Exercise 5.** *Let  $X$  be a  $\delta$ -hyperbolic geodesic space. Prove that  $X$  satisfies the Rips condition with  $4\delta$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta(x, y, z)$  be a geodesic triangle in  $X$  and let  $T(a, b, c)$  be the corresponding tripod constructed as in Exercise 1. By Exercise 1, there exists an isometric embedding

$$f: \{x, y, z\} \rightarrow T(a, b, c).$$

If  $u \in [x, y] \subseteq \Delta$  define  $f(u)$  to be the point on  $[v_x, v_y]$  at a distance  $d(x, u)$  from the vertex  $v_x$ . Thus,  $f$  extends to a map

$$\bar{f}: \Delta \rightarrow T(a, b, c),$$

which is an isometry on the sides of  $\Delta$ . Now, let  $u, v \in \Delta$  be two distinct points. Without loss of generality, assume that  $\bar{f}(u) = \bar{f}(v)$  and  $u \in [x, y]$  and  $v \in [x, z]$ . We claim that  $d(u, v) \leq 4\delta$ . Set  $t = d(x, u)$ . Now,

$$\begin{aligned} t &= d(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(u)) = d(\bar{f}(x), \bar{f}(v)) = d(x, v) \leq (y|z)_x, \\ (u|y)_x &= \frac{1}{2}(d(u, x) + d(y, x) - (d(x, y) - d(x, u))) = t, \end{aligned}$$

and similarly  $(v|z)_x = t$ . Now, since  $X$  is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic it follows using the above that

$$(y|v)_x \geq (y|z)_x \wedge (v|z)_x - \delta = t - \delta,$$

and so

$$(u|v)_x \geq (u|y)_x \wedge (v|y)_x - \delta \geq t - \delta - \delta = t - 2\delta.$$

On the other hand,  $2(u|v)_x = d(u, x) + d(v, x) - d(u, v) = 2t - d(u, v)$ , so

$$2t - 4\delta \leq 2t - d(u, v),$$

so  $d(u, v) \leq 4\delta$ .  $\square$

N.B. If on the other hand,  $X$  satisfies the Rips condition for  $\delta$ , then  $X$  is  $2\delta$ -hyperbolic. For other equivalent definitions, c.f. Bridson-Haefliger III.H Propositions 11.7 and 1.22. In particular, every  $CAT(\kappa)$ -space is  $\delta$ -hyperbolic since they satisfy the Rips condition, Exercise 4.