## Integral equations Solutions to the second problem set

**1.** Give a detailed proof for the convergence of the series defining the resolvent kernel of a Volterra equation of the second kind with a weakly singular kernel.

Solution. So we need to prove that the series

$$\sum_{n=n_0}^{\infty} \lambda^{n-1} K^{(n)}(s,t)$$

converges absolutely and uniformly. This will follow from the estimate

$$\left|K^{(n)}(s,t)\right| \leqslant \frac{C^n \Gamma^n(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(n(1-\alpha))} \left|s-t\right|^{n(1-\alpha)-1},$$

which holds for all s and t and for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , and where  $C \in \mathbb{R}_+$  is such that

$$|K(s,t)| \leqslant \frac{C}{|s-t|^{\alpha}}.$$

We shall prove this by induction on n. The case n = 1 is certainly true, so assume we know the estimate for some  $K^{(n)}$ , and let us consider  $K^{(n+1)}$ . By mimicking the computations done in the section on weakly singular kernels in the lecture notes, we get, for  $t \leq s$ ,

$$\begin{split} \left| K^{(n+1)}(s,t) \right| &= \left| \int_{t}^{s} K^{(n)}(s,r) \, K(r,t) \, \mathrm{d}r \right| \\ &\leqslant \frac{C^{n+1} \, \Gamma^{n}(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(n(1-\alpha))} \int_{t}^{s} \frac{(s-r)^{n(1-\alpha)-1} \, \mathrm{d}r}{(r-t)^{\alpha}} \\ &= \frac{C^{n+1} \, \Gamma^{n}(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma(n(1-\alpha))} \, (s-t)^{(n+1)(1-\alpha)-1} \int_{0}^{1} (1-w)^{n(1-\alpha)-1} \, w^{1-\alpha-1} \, \mathrm{d}w, \end{split}$$

and the desired estimate follows since

$$\int_{0}^{1} (1-w)^{n(1-\alpha)-1} w^{1-\alpha-1} dw = B(n(1-\alpha), 1-\alpha) = \frac{\Gamma(n(1-\alpha))\Gamma(1-\alpha)}{\Gamma((n+1)(1-\alpha))}.$$

The estimate for  $s \leq t$  is similar.

**2.** Consider the example from mechanics in Section 1.6 of lecture notes: find the solution in the case when f(x) = T, i.e. when a particle is released from height x > 0, it always takes a constant time T > 0 to travel along the curve y = F(x) to zero height. Find the equation of F, or at least a series approximation to it.

**Solution.** So, our task here is to derive a reasonable equation for F(x) from the integral equation

$$\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\sqrt{1 + (F'(t))^2} \,\mathrm{d}t}{\sqrt{2g(x-t)}} = T.$$

We shall use the work done in the lecture notes with the notation

$$f(x) = \sqrt{2g} T$$
,  $G(s,t) = 1$ ,  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ , and  $\varphi(t) = \sqrt{1 + (F'(t))^2}$ .

Then  $\varphi$  satisfies the equation

$$\int_{0}^{x} K_1(x,t) \varphi(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = f_1(x)$$

Here, using the substitution  $t = x \cos^2 u$ ,

$$f_1(x) = \int_0^x \frac{f(t) \, \mathrm{d}t}{(x-t)^{1/2}} = \sqrt{2g} \, T \int_0^x \frac{\mathrm{d}t}{(x-t)^{1/2}} = \sqrt{2g} \, T \sqrt{x} \int_0^{\pi/2} \frac{2\sin u \, \cos u \, \mathrm{d}u}{\sin u}$$
$$= 2\sqrt{2g} \, T \sqrt{x} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos u \, \mathrm{d}u = 2\sqrt{2g} \, T \sqrt{x} \, \sin u \big]_0^{u=\pi/2} = 2\sqrt{2g} \, T \sqrt{x}.$$

In the same vein,

$$K_1(x,t) = \int_0^1 \frac{G(t+r(x-t),t)\,\mathrm{d}r}{(1-r)^{1/2}\,r^{1/2}} = \int_0^1 (1-r)^{-1/2}\,r^{-1/2}\,\mathrm{d}r = \frac{\pi}{\sin\frac{\pi}{2}} = \pi.$$

Thus,  $\varphi$  solves the equation

$$\int_{0}^{x} \varphi(t) \, \mathrm{d}t = \frac{2\sqrt{2g} \, T \sqrt{x}}{\pi}.$$

Differentiating this gives

$$\sqrt{1 + (F'(x))^2} = \varphi(x) = \frac{\sqrt{2g}T}{\pi\sqrt{x}},$$

and squaring gives

$$(F'(x))^2 = \frac{2g T^2}{\pi^2 x} - 1,$$

which is a differential equation for F. The curves y = F(x) which arise from this differential equation turn out to be cycloids.

3. Consider a nonlinear Volterra equation of the second kind,

$$\varphi(s) + \int_{0}^{s} K(s, t, \varphi(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t = f(s). \tag{*}$$

Assume the following: the function K(x, y, z) is continuous in the set D defined by

$$|x|, |y| \leqslant a, \qquad |z| \leqslant b,$$

and that K is uniformly Lipschitz continuous in z,

$$|K(x, y, z_1) - K(x, y, z_2)| \leqslant K |z_1 - z_2|, \qquad \langle x, y, z_i \rangle \in D.$$

Also, assume that  $f \in C([-a, a])$ , f(0) = 0, and that f satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \le k |x_1 - x_2|, \qquad |x_i| \le a.$$

Let

$$M = \sup_{D} |K| \,.$$

Show that the iteration

$$\varphi_0(s) = f(s), \qquad \varphi_n(s) = f(s) - \int_0^s K(s, t, \varphi_{n-1}(t)) dt$$

converges in the set

$$|s| \leqslant a', \qquad a' = \min\left\{a, \frac{b}{k+M}\right\},$$

and that the limit is a solution of (\*) on the interval [-a', a'].

**Solution.** We first have to check that each  $\varphi_n$  takes values only in the interval [-b, b], because its values will be fed into K. First, for  $s \in [-a', a']$ , the Lipschitz property of f implies that

$$|\varphi_0(s)| = |f(s) - f(0)| \le k |s - 0| \le k a' \le \frac{k b}{k + M} \le b.$$

Next, assume that  $-b \leq \varphi_n(s) \leq b$  for all  $s \in [-a', a']$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{0\}$ . Then we may estimate for  $s \in [-a', a']$  that

$$|\varphi_{n+1}(s)| \leq |f(s)| + \left| \int_{0}^{s} \left| K\left(s, t, \varphi_{n}(t)\right) \right| \mathrm{d}t \right| \leq k \, a' + M \, a' \leq \frac{k \, b}{k+M} + \frac{M \, b}{k+M} = b$$

Thus the sequence  $\langle \varphi_n \rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a well-defined sequence of continuous functions defined in [-a', a'] and taking values in [-b, b].

We shall prove by induction on n that

$$\left|\varphi_{n}(s)-\varphi_{n-1}(s)\right| \leqslant \frac{M K^{n-1} \left|s\right|^{n}}{n!}$$

for all  $s \in [-a', a']$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . First we observe that

$$|\varphi_1(s) - \varphi_0(s)| = \left| \int_0^s K(s, t, \varphi_0(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t \right| \leq |s| \, M.$$

Next, assuming that we have proved the inequality for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi_{n+1}(s) - \varphi_n(s)| &\leq \left| \int_0^s \left| K\left(s, t, \varphi_n(t)\right) - K\left(s, t, \varphi_{n-1}(t)\right) \right| dt \right| \\ &\leq K \left| \int_0^s \left| \varphi_n(t) - \varphi_{n-1}(t) \right| dt \right| \leq K \left| \int_0^s \frac{M K^{n-1} \left| s \right|^n}{n!} dt \right| = \frac{M K^n \left| s \right|^{n+1}}{(n+1)!}. \end{aligned}$$

Now the series

$$\varphi_0 + (\varphi_1 - \varphi_0) + (\varphi_2 - \varphi_1) + \dots$$

converges absolutely and uniformly in [-a', a'], and so we know that the sequence  $\langle \varphi_n \rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$  converges uniformly in the interval [-a', a'] to a continuous function, which we shall call  $\varphi$ . We note that  $\varphi$  can only take values in the interval [-b, b] as each of the functions  $\varphi_n$  does.

We also have

$$\int_{0}^{s} K(s,t,\varphi_{n}(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t \longrightarrow \int_{0}^{s} K(s,t,\varphi(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t$$

uniformly in  $s \in [-a', a']$  as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ . This follows from the estimates

$$\left|\int_{0}^{s} K(s,t,\varphi_{n}(t)) \,\mathrm{d}t - \int_{0}^{s} K(s,t,\varphi(t)) \,\mathrm{d}t\right| \leq K \left|s\right| \max_{|t| \leq |s|} \left|\varphi_{n}(t) - \varphi(t)\right|,$$

and the fact that  $\varphi_n(t)$  tends uniformly to  $\varphi(t)$ .

Thus, in the limit  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ , the equation which defined  $\varphi_n$  in terms of  $\varphi_{n-1}$  becomes

$$\varphi(s) = f(s) - \int_{0}^{s} K(s, t, \varphi(t)) dt$$

and so  $\varphi$  is indeed a solution.

An alternative approach. Let us also show another way of approaching this kind of a problem. The following solution is not strictly speaking a solution unless a' K < 1, or unless we set

$$a' = \min\left\{a, \frac{b}{k+M}, \frac{1}{K+1}\right\},\$$

or so, but it is nonetheless worth giving here. Let us first recall the following important fact from the topology of metric spaces:

**The contraction principle.** Let X be a closed metric space with metric d, and let  $A: X \longrightarrow X$  be a contraction, i.e. assume that there exists a constant  $c \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$d(A(x), A(y)) \leq c \, d(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ . Then there exists a unique point  $y \in X$  such that A(y) = y, and furthermore, given any point  $x \in X$ , the sequence

$$x, \quad A(x), \quad A(A(x)), \quad A(A(A(x))), \quad \dots$$

converges to y.

**Proof.** Let  $y, z \in X$  be such that A(y) = y and A(z) = z. Then

$$d(y,z) = d(A(y), A(z)) \leqslant c \, d(y,z),$$

which is only possible if d(y, z) = 0. Thus the fixed point y, if it exists, must be unique.

Next, let  $x \in X$  be arbitrary, and let us consider the sequence

$$y_0 = x$$
,  $y_1 = A(x)$ ,  $y_2 = A(A(x))$ ,  $y_3 = A(A(A(x)))$ , .

If the sequence  $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy in X, then it converges to some  $y \in X$ , and in view of the manifest continuity of A, the relation  $y_n = A(y_{n-1})$ , which holds for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , becomes y = A(y) in the limit  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ , thereby establishing the existence of a fixed point.

Therefore, we only have to prove that  $\langle y_n \rangle_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is Cauchy. To see this, let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ . Then

$$d(y_n, y_{n+k}) \leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{n+k-1}, y_{n+k})$$
  
$$\leq c^n d(y_0, y_1) + c^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) + \dots + c^{n+k-1} d(y_0, y_1)$$
  
$$\leq \left(c^n + c^{n+1} + \dots\right) d(y_0, y_1) = \frac{c^n}{1 - c} d(y_0, y_1),$$

and since the last expression is independent of k and tends to zero as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ , we are done.

Now, the idea of the solution is to apply the contraction principle to the space

$$X = \left\{ \varphi \in C\left( \left[ -a', a' \right] \right) \middle| \varphi \left[ \left[ -a', a' \right] \right] \subseteq \left[ -b, b \right] \right\},\$$

which we shall equip with the metric  $d(\varphi, \psi) = \|\varphi - \psi\|_{\infty}$ , defined for  $\varphi, \psi \in X$ . A Cauchy sequence  $\langle \varphi_n \rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$  in X is a Cauchy sequence in the Banach space C([-a', a']), and therefore converges to some  $\varphi \in C([-a', a'])$ . Since  $|\varphi_n(x)| \leq b$  for all  $s \in [-a', a']$  and for each  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , we clearly must also have  $|\varphi(s)| \leq b$  for all  $s \in [-a', a']$ , so that  $\varphi \in X$  and X is complete.

Let us next observe that  $f \in X$ . We know that f is continuous in [-a', a'], so we only have to check that the image of f is contained in [-b, b]. This is so because, for  $s \in [-a', a']$ , the Lipschitz property of f implies that

$$|f(s)| = |f(s) - f(0)| \leqslant k |s - 0| \leqslant k a' \leqslant \frac{k b}{k + M} \leqslant b.$$

We shall define for  $\varphi \in X$  an operator A by the formula

$$(A\varphi)(s) = f(s) - \int_{0}^{s} K(s, t, \varphi(t)) dt$$

for all  $s \in [-a', a']$ . At first we only know that A maps X into C([-a', a']), but, for  $s \in [-a', a']$ , we may estimate

$$\left| \left( A\varphi \right)(s) \right| \leqslant |f(s)| + \left| \int_{0}^{s} \left| K\left(s, t, \varphi(t)\right) \right| \mathrm{d}t \right| \leqslant k \, a' + M \, a' \leqslant \frac{k \, b}{k + M} + \frac{M \, b}{k + M} = b,$$

and so we have  $A\varphi \in X$  and  $A: X \longrightarrow X$ .

Finally, we only have to prove that A is a contraction. Let  $\varphi, \psi \in X$  be arbitrary. Then, for all  $s \in [-a', a']$ , we have

$$\left| \left( A\varphi \right)(s) - \left( A\psi \right)(s) \right| \leq \left| \int_{0}^{s} \left| K\left(s, t, \psi(t)\right) - K\left(s, t, \varphi(t)\right) \right| dt \right|$$
$$\leq \left| \int_{0}^{s} K \left| \psi(t) - \varphi(t) \right| dt \right| \leq a' K d(\psi, \varphi).$$

and so

$$d(A\varphi, A\psi) \leqslant a' \, K \, d(\varphi, \psi),$$

and A is a contraction, given that a'K < 1.

**4.** Let  $\langle X, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle \rangle$  be an inner product space and  $\| \cdot \|$  the induced norm. Prove that an inner product satisfies the so called parallelogram identity

$$||x + y||^{2} + ||x - y||^{2} = 2 ||x||^{2} + 2 ||y||^{2}, \quad x, y \in X$$

Solution. We write the norms in terms of the inner product:

$$\begin{split} \|x+y\|^{2} + \|x-y\|^{2} &= \langle x+y|x+y \rangle + \langle x-y|x-y \rangle \\ &= \langle x|x \rangle + \langle x|y \rangle + \langle y|x \rangle + \langle y|y \rangle \\ &+ \langle x|x \rangle - \langle x|y \rangle - \langle y|x \rangle + \langle y|y \rangle \\ &= 2 \langle x|x \rangle + 2 \langle y|y \rangle = 2 \|x\|^{2} + 2 \|y\|^{2} \,. \end{split}$$

**5.** Consider the space C([a, b]). Show that the sup-norm

$$\left\|f\right\|_{\sup} = \sup_{x \in [a,b]} \left|f(x)\right|$$

is not determined by any inner product.

**Solution.** The idea is to pick some functions  $f, g \in C([a, b])$  for which the parallelogram identity is not satisfied. One choice could be to choose the function f so that  $||f||_{\sup} = 1$  and that f vanishes in  $\left[\frac{a+b}{2}, b\right]$ , and the function g so that  $||g||_{\sup} = 1$  and that g vanishes in  $\left[a, \frac{a+b}{2}\right]$ . Then

$$||f + g||_{\sup} = ||f - g||_{\sup} = ||f||_{\sup} = ||g||_{\sup} = 1,$$

and the parallelogram identity clearly can not hold as  $1 + 1 \neq 2 + 2$ .

**6.** Similarly, consider the  $L^p$ -norms

$$\|f\|_p = \left(\int_a^b |f(x)|^p \,\mathrm{d}x\right)^{1/p},$$

where  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Prove that if  $p \neq 2$  then this norm is not induced by any inner product.

**Solution.** We proceed as in the previous solution: we pick two functions  $f, g \in L^2([a, b])$  for which the parallelogram identity fails. We write  $\ell = \frac{b-a}{2}$ , and choose f to be 1 in  $[a, a + \ell[$ , and 0 in  $[b - \ell, b]$ . We also choose g = 1 - f. Now

$$|f+g||^{2/p} + ||f-g||^{2/p} = 2(2\ell)^{2/p} = 2 \cdot 2^{2/p} \ell^{2/p},$$

whereas

$$2 \left\| f \right\|^{2/p} + 2 \left\| g \right\|^{2/p} = 4\ell^{2/p},$$

and  $2 \cdot 2^{2/p} \neq 4$  unless p = 2.

**7.** Let  $\langle X_i, \| \cdot \| \rangle$  be normed spaces, i = 1, 2, 3. Show that, for the norm of a linear operator  $A: X_1 \longrightarrow X_2$ , we have

$$||A|| = \sup_{0 < ||x||_1 \le 1} \frac{||Ax||_2}{||x||_1} = \sup_{||x||_1 = 1} \frac{||Ax||_2}{||x||_1}.$$

Also, let  $B: X_2 \longrightarrow X_3$  be linear. Prove that

$$||BA|| \leq ||B|| \cdot ||A||.$$

**Solution.** The first assertion follows from the fact that, for any  $x \in X_1$  with  $0 < ||x||_1 \leq 1$ ,

$$\frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|} = \frac{\|A\frac{x}{\|x\|_1}\|_2}{\left\|\frac{x}{\|x\|_1}\right\|_1},$$

and  $\left\|\frac{x}{\|x\|_1}\right\|_1 = 1$ , so that the supremum over those x with  $0 < \|x\|_1 \le 1$  is really over the same set of values as the supremum over x satisfying  $\|x\|_1 = 1$ .

The second assertion follows from the estimates

$$||BAx|| \leq ||B|| \cdot ||Ax|| \leq ||B|| \cdot ||A|| \cdot ||x||,$$

which hold for all  $x \in X_1$ .

8. Consider the integral equation

$$f(x) + \frac{1}{20} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-|xy|^{2}} \sin(x^{2} + y^{2}) f(y) \, \mathrm{d}y = \sin x.$$

Prove that this has a unique solution  $L^2([0,1])$ , and that in fact this solution is also continuous.

**Solution.** Let K be the linear operator  $L^2([0,1]) \longrightarrow L^2([0,1])$  defined for a given  $f \in L^2([0,1])$  by the formula

$$(Kf)(x) = \frac{1}{20} \int_{0}^{1} e^{-|xy|^2} \sin(x^2 + y^2) f(y) dy$$

for all  $x \in [0, 1]$ . This is an integral operator with the kernel function

$$K(x,y) = \frac{1}{20}e^{-|xy|^2}\sin\left(x^2 + y^2\right).$$

Since clearly

$$\sup_{x \in [0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} |K(x,y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \leqslant \frac{1}{20} \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{y \in [0,1]} \int_{0}^{1} |K(x,y)|| \, \mathrm{d}x \leqslant \frac{1}{20},$$

Schur's lemma tells us that K is a bounded linear operator of  $L^2([0,1])$  with operator norm  $||K|| \leq \frac{1}{20}$ . Thus, the operator I - K is invertible by Neumann series and the equation

$$f - Kf = g$$

has a unique solution in  $L^2([0,1])$  for any given  $g \in L^2([0,1])$ . To satisfy the second demand of the exercise, we will prove that Kf is continuous for any  $f \in L^2([0,1])$ . For this purpose, let  $x, x' \in [0,1]$  be arbitrary. Since K is infinitely smooth, the supremum

$$M = \sup_{\substack{x \in [0,1], \\ y \in [0,1]}} \left| \left( \partial_1 K \right)(x,y) \right|$$

is finite. Now the continuity of Kf follows from the estimates

$$\begin{split} \left| \left( Kf \right)(x) - \left( Kf \right)(x') \right| &= \left| \int_{0}^{1} \left( K(x,y) - K(x',y) \right) f(y) \, \mathrm{d}y \right| \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \left| \int_{x'}^{x} (\partial_{1}K)(\xi,y) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \right| \cdot |f(y)| \, \mathrm{d}y \\ &\leq \sqrt{\int_{0}^{1} \left| \int_{x'}^{x} (\partial_{1}K)(\xi,y) \, \mathrm{d}\xi \right|^{2} \, \mathrm{d}y} \, \|f\|_{L^{2}} \leqslant M \, |x - x'| \, \|f\|_{L^{2}} \end{split}$$

**9.** Let *H* be a Hilbert space, and *A*:  $H \longrightarrow H$  a bounded linear map for which  $||A^{n_0}|| < 1$  for some positive integer  $n_0$ . Prove that I - A is invertible and determine its inverse.

**Solution.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be arbitrary and divide it by  $n_0$  to get a representation  $n = qn_0 + r$  with  $q \in \mathbb{Z}_+ \cup \{0\}$  and  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, n_0 - 1\}$ . Then, writing

$$C = \max \left\{ 1, \left\| A \right\|, \left\| A^2 \right\|, \dots, \left\| A^{n_0 - 1} \right\| \right\},\$$

we may estimate

$$||A^{n}|| \leq ||A^{n_{0}}||^{q} ||A^{r}|| \leq C ||A^{n_{0}}||^{q}.$$

By this and the triangle inequality we may estimate

$$\left\|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^n\right\| \leqslant \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|A^n\| \leqslant C n_0 \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} \|A^{n_0}\|^q < \infty,$$

so that the series  $1 + A + A^2 + \ldots$  converges absolutely to a bounded operator  $S \colon H \longrightarrow H$ . Also, we have  $||A^n|| \longrightarrow 0$  as  $n \longrightarrow \infty$ .

Let us observe next that

$$(1-A) S = \lim_{N \to \infty} (1-A) \sum_{n=0}^{N} A^n = \lim_{N \to \infty} (1-A^{N+1}) = 1,$$

as well as

$$S(1-A) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \sum_{n=0}^{N} A^n (1-A) = \lim_{N \to \infty} (1-A^{N+1}) = 1,$$

and therefore 1 - A is invertible with inverse S.