# Lecture 07: Invariant manifold theorem

### **Introduction and notation**

The expounded material can be found in

- Chapter 2 of [1]
- Chapter 3 of [3]

As usual we suppose that

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{\phi}}_t = \boldsymbol{f} \circ \boldsymbol{\phi}_t \tag{0.1}$$

is driven by a vector field **sufficiently smooth** to guarantee the existence of a flow  $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{D} \mapsto \mathcal{D}$  ( $\mathcal{D}$  stand here as a generic symbol for the state space e.g.  $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{R}^n$ ) in terms of which we express the solution of (0.1) starting from x at time t = 0:

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}_t = \boldsymbol{\Phi}_t \circ \boldsymbol{x} \tag{0.2}$$

**Remark 0.1.** Since we are dealing with time autonomous systems by a time translation we can always assign the initial condition at time t = 0 and identify t as the time elapsed from the moment when the state of the system is given.

### 1 Local stable and unstable manifold theorem for hyperbolic fixed points

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $x_{\star}$  be a singular hyperbolic point of  $f \in C^r(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$  for  $r \geq 2$ , in a neighborhood of  $x_{\star}$ . We suppose that

$$\mathsf{A} = (\partial_{\boldsymbol{x}} \otimes \boldsymbol{f})(\boldsymbol{x}_{\star}) \in \operatorname{End}(\mathbb{R}^n)$$
(1.1)

admits

1.  $n_+$  eigenvalues with strictly positive real part associated to the invariant subspace  $E_+$ ;

2.  $n_{-}$  eigenvalues with strictly negative real part associated to the invariant subspace  $E_{-}$ 

so that

$$n_{+} + n_{-} = n \tag{1.2}$$

Then, in a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $x_{\star}$  there exist

- a unique local invariant unstable manifold  $W^u_{loc.}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\star})$  of class  $C^r$  of dimension  $n_+$ 
  - *1. tangent in*  $x_{\star}$  *to*  $E_+$ *,*

2. admitting for a suitable coordinate choice the graph representation

$$W_{\text{loc}}^{u}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\star}) = \left\{ \boldsymbol{x}_{u} \oplus \boldsymbol{x}_{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{+}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{-}} \mid \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{x}_{s} = \boldsymbol{h}^{(s|u)}(\boldsymbol{x}_{u}) \\ (\partial_{\boldsymbol{x}_{u}} \otimes \boldsymbol{h}^{(s|u)})(\boldsymbol{x}_{\star}) = 0 \end{array} \right\} \quad (1.3)$$

- a unique local invariant stable manifold  $W^s_{\mathrm{loc.}}(\pmb{x}_{\star})$  with dimension  $n_-$ 
  - 1. tangent in  $x_{\star}$  to  $E_+$ ,
  - 2. admitting for a suitable coordinate choice the graph representation

$$\begin{aligned}
W_{\text{loc}}^{s}(\boldsymbol{x}_{\star}) &= \\
\left\{ \boldsymbol{x}_{u} \oplus \boldsymbol{x}_{s} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_{+}} \times \mathbb{R}^{n_{-}} \mid \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \boldsymbol{x}_{u} = \boldsymbol{h}^{(u|s)}(\boldsymbol{x}_{s}) \\
(\partial_{\boldsymbol{x}_{s}} \otimes \boldsymbol{h}^{(u|s)})(\boldsymbol{x}_{\star}) = 0 \end{array} \right\} & (1.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. By hypothesis we can always find a similarity transformation such that

$$\mathsf{T}^{-1}\mathsf{A}\mathsf{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathsf{A}_u & \mathsf{0} \\ \mathsf{0} & \mathsf{A}_s \end{bmatrix} \tag{1.5}$$

Correspondingly initial data of (0.1) can be written as

$$\boldsymbol{x}_u \oplus \boldsymbol{x}_s = \mathsf{T} \cdot \boldsymbol{x} \tag{1.6}$$

and

$$\mathsf{T}\boldsymbol{\Phi}\circ\boldsymbol{x} = \mathsf{T}\boldsymbol{\Phi}\circ(\mathsf{T}^{-1}\cdot\boldsymbol{x}_u\oplus\boldsymbol{x}_s) = \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t\circ(\boldsymbol{x}_u\oplus\boldsymbol{x}_s) = \boldsymbol{\psi}_{u;t}\oplus\boldsymbol{\psi}_{s;t}$$
(1.7)

such that

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{u;t} &= \mathsf{A}_u \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_{u;t} + \boldsymbol{g}_u(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{u;t}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{s;t}) \\ \dot{\boldsymbol{\psi}}_{s;t} &= \mathsf{A}_s \cdot \boldsymbol{\psi}_{s;t} + \boldsymbol{g}_s(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{u;t}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{s;t}) \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

Note that

$$\boldsymbol{g}_u \oplus \boldsymbol{g}_s := \boldsymbol{g} = \mathsf{T}^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{f} \circ \mathsf{T} - \mathsf{T}^{-1} \cdot \mathsf{A}\mathsf{T} = O(\parallel \boldsymbol{x} \parallel^2)$$
(1.9)

In particular for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  we can find a  $\delta$  such that

$$\| \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}_1) - \boldsymbol{g}(\boldsymbol{x}_2) \| \leq \varepsilon \| \boldsymbol{x}_1 - \boldsymbol{x}_2 \|$$
(1.10)

The integral expression of this coupled system of equation is

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{u;t} = e^{\mathbf{A}_{u}t} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{u} + \int_{0}^{t} dt_{1} e^{\mathbf{A}_{u}(t-t_{1})} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}_{u}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{u;t_{1}}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{s;t_{1}})$$
(1.11a)

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{s;t} = e^{\mathsf{A}_s t} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_s + \int_0^t dt_1 \, e^{\mathsf{A}_s \, (t-t_1)} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}_s(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{u;t_1}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{s;t_1}) \tag{1.11b}$$

where by hypothesis for some  $K_1\,,K_2\,,K_3>0$  and any  $oldsymbol{v}\in\mathbb{R}^{n_-}$ 

$$\| e^{\mathsf{A}_{\mathsf{s}}t} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \|_{\mathbb{R}^{n_{-}}} \leq K_1 e^{-K_2 t} \| \boldsymbol{v} \|_{\mathbb{R}^{n_{-}}}$$

$$(1.12)$$

and any  $oldsymbol{v} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_+}$ 

$$\| e^{\mathsf{A}_{s}t} \cdot \boldsymbol{v} \|_{\mathbb{R}^{n_{+}}} \leq K_{1} e^{K_{3}t} \| \boldsymbol{v} \|_{\mathbb{R}^{n_{+}}}$$

$$(1.13)$$

Let us postulate the existence of a map h relating the initial data of a particular solution of (1.11)

$$\boldsymbol{x}_u = \boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_s \tag{1.14}$$

so that only  $n_{-}$  of them are linearly independent around the origin. Then we write

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{u;t}^{\boldsymbol{h}} = e^{\mathsf{A}_{u}t} \cdot \left[\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{x}_{s}) + \int_{0}^{t} dt_{1} e^{-\mathsf{A}_{u}t_{1}} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}_{u}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{u;t}^{\boldsymbol{h}}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{s;t}^{\boldsymbol{h}})\right]$$
(1.15a)

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{s;t}^{\boldsymbol{h}} = e^{\mathsf{A}_s t} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_s + \int_0^t dt_1 \, e^{\mathsf{A}_s \, (t-t_1)} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}_s(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{u;t}^{\boldsymbol{h}}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{s;t}^{\boldsymbol{h}}) \tag{1.15b}$$

to emphasize the dependence on the particular choice of initial data. Suppose now that the function h solves the integral equation

$$\boldsymbol{h}(\boldsymbol{x}_s) = -\int_0^\infty dt_1 \, e^{-\mathsf{A}_u \, t_1} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}_u(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{u;t}^{\boldsymbol{h}}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{s;t}^{\boldsymbol{h}}) \tag{1.16}$$

then (1.15) can be couched into the form

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{u;t}^{\boldsymbol{h}} = -\int_{t}^{\infty} dt_1 \, e^{\mathsf{A}_u \, (t-t_1)} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}_u(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{u;t}^{\boldsymbol{h}}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{s;t}^{\boldsymbol{h}}) \tag{1.17a}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\psi}_{s;t}^{\boldsymbol{h}} = e^{\mathsf{A}_{s}t} \cdot \boldsymbol{x}_{s} + \int_{0}^{t} dt_{1} e^{\mathsf{A}_{s}(t-t_{1})} \cdot \boldsymbol{g}_{s}(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{u;t}^{\boldsymbol{h}}, \boldsymbol{\psi}_{s;t}^{\boldsymbol{h}})$$
(1.17b)

If we introduce the kernels

$$\mathsf{K}_{u;t} = e^{\mathsf{A}_u t} \oplus \mathsf{0} \qquad \& \qquad \mathsf{K}_{s;t} = \mathsf{0} \oplus e^{\mathsf{A}_s t} \tag{1.18}$$

we can couch (1.17) into the compact form

$$\Psi_t(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_s, \boldsymbol{x}_s) = \mathsf{K}_{s;t} \cdot \boldsymbol{x} + \int_0^t dt_1 \, \mathsf{K}_{s;t-t_1} \cdot \boldsymbol{g} \circ \Psi_{t_1}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_s, \boldsymbol{x}_s) - \int_t^\infty dt_1 \, \mathsf{K}_{s;t-t_1} \cdot \boldsymbol{g} \circ \Psi_{t_1}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_s, \boldsymbol{x}_s)$$
(1.19)

This equation can now be solved by successive approximations. In other words, given the recursion

$$\Psi_{t}^{(n+1)}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_{s}, \boldsymbol{x}_{s}) = \mathsf{K}_{s;t} \cdot \boldsymbol{x} + \int_{0}^{t} dt_{1} \,\mathsf{K}_{s;t-t_{1}} \cdot \boldsymbol{g} \circ \Psi_{t_{1}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_{s}, \boldsymbol{x}_{s}) - \int_{t}^{\infty} dt_{1} \,\mathsf{K}_{s;t-t_{1}} \cdot \boldsymbol{g} \circ \Psi_{t_{1}}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_{s}, \boldsymbol{x}_{s})$$
(1.20a)

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}_{t}^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_{s}, \boldsymbol{x}_{s}) = \boldsymbol{0}$$
(1.20b)

we can show that it converges to a unique fixed point as n tends to infinity. The existence of such fixed point is equivalent to the existence of a unique solution of (1.19). To prove the claim let us proceed by **induction**. Let us hence suppose that for some positive  $\tilde{K}_i > 0$ , i = 1, 2

$$\| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{t}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_{s}, \boldsymbol{x}_{s}) - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{t}^{(n-1)}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_{s}, \boldsymbol{x}_{s}) \| \leq \tilde{K}_{1} \frac{e^{-K_{2}t} \| \boldsymbol{x}_{s} \|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}}}{2^{n-1}}$$
(1.21)

~

then

$$\| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{t}^{(n+1)}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_{s}, \boldsymbol{x}_{s}) - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{t}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_{s}, \boldsymbol{x}_{s}) \|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon K_{1} \int_{0}^{t} dt_{1} e^{-K_{2}(t-t_{1})} \| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{t_{1}}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{t_{1}}^{(n-1)} \| (\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_{s}, \boldsymbol{x}_{s})$$

$$-\varepsilon K_{1} \int_{t}^{\infty} dt_{1} e^{K_{3}(t-t_{1})} \| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{t_{1}}^{(n)} - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{t_{1}}^{(n-1)} \| (\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_{s}, \boldsymbol{x}_{s})$$

$$(1.22)$$

We obtain

$$\| \Psi_{t}^{(n+1)}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_{s}, \boldsymbol{x}_{s}) - \Psi_{t}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_{s}, \boldsymbol{x}_{s}) \|$$

$$\leq \varepsilon K_{1} \tilde{K}_{1} \frac{\| \boldsymbol{x}_{s} \|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-}}}{2^{n-1}} \left[ e^{-K_{2}t} \frac{e^{(K_{2}-\tilde{K}_{2})t} - 1}{K_{2} - \tilde{K}_{2}} + e^{K_{3}t} \frac{e^{-(K_{3}+\tilde{K}_{2})t}}{K_{3} + \tilde{K}_{2}} \right]$$

$$\leq \varepsilon K_{1} \tilde{K}_{1} \frac{e^{-\tilde{K}_{2}t} \| \boldsymbol{x}_{s} \|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-}}}{2^{n-1}} \left[ \frac{1 - e^{-(K_{2}-\tilde{K}_{2})t}}{K_{2} - \tilde{K}_{2}} + \frac{1}{K_{3} + \tilde{K}_{2}} \right]$$

$$(1.23)$$

For suitable choices of  $\varepsilon$  and  $\tilde{K}_2$  we arrive to

$$\| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{t}^{(n+1)}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_{s}, \boldsymbol{x}_{s}) - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_{t}^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_{s}, \boldsymbol{x}_{s}) \| \leq \tilde{K}_{1} \frac{e^{-K_{2}t} \| \boldsymbol{x}_{s} \|_{\mathbb{R}^{n}-}}{2^{n}}$$
(1.24)

Since

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \| \Psi_t^{(n+1)}(h \circ x_s, x_s) - \Psi_t^{(n)}(h \circ x_s, x_s) \| \le \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{K}_1 \frac{e^{-\tilde{K}_2 t} \| x_s \|_{\mathbb{R}^{n-}}}{2^n} < \infty$$
(1.25)

it follows that

$$\lim_{n\uparrow\infty} \| \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^{(n+1)}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_s, \boldsymbol{x}_s) - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_t^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_s, \boldsymbol{x}_s) \| = 0$$
(1.26)

which proves that  $\left\{\Psi_t^{(n+1)}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_s, \boldsymbol{x}_s)\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a Cauchy sequence of continuous functions. The space of continuous functions on a closed interval with supremum norm is complete. This means that every Cauchy sequence is convergent. Hence it is possible to prove that

$$\lim_{n \uparrow \infty} \Psi_t^{(n+1)}(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_s, \boldsymbol{x}_s) = \Psi_t(\boldsymbol{h} \circ \boldsymbol{x}_s, \boldsymbol{x}_s)$$
(1.27)

uniformly with  $\Psi_t(\mathbf{h} \circ \mathbf{x}_s, \mathbf{x}_s)$  differentiable and bounded by a decreasing exponential in t. As a consequence we can also prove that (1.16) is well posed and admits a unique solution specifying the local stable manifold. The existence of the local unstable manifold is obtained similarly by a time reversal operation  $t \mapsto -t$  (see e.g. [2] for more details).

#### 1.1 Example

Let us consider the system



We can determine the local stable manifold of the fixed point in the origin (plotted in blue in the figure above) in two ways.

### **1.1.1 Iteration method**

We define the iteration map

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1;t}^{(n+1)} \\ \phi_{2;t}^{(n+1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t} x_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \int_0^t dt_1 \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{2;t}^{(n)^2} \\ \phi_{1;t}^{(n)^2} \end{bmatrix} - \int_t^\infty dt_1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^t \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \phi_{2;t}^{(n)^2} \\ \phi_{1;t}^{(n)^2} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.29)

with

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1;t}^{(0)} \\ \phi_{2;t}^{(0)} \end{bmatrix} = 0 \tag{1.30}$$

The goal is to determine the unstable manifold using an approximate expression of the flow and the definition (1.16).

1. Order zero

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1;t}^{(1)} \\ \phi_{2;t}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t} x_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.31)

2. Order one:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1,t}^{(1)} \\ \phi_{2;t}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t} x_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \int_0^t dt_1 \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t+t_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_1^2 e^{-2t_1} \end{bmatrix} - \int_t^\infty dt_1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{t-t_1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ x_1^2 e^{-2t_1} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.32)

whence

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1:t}^{(1)} \\ \phi_{2:t}^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t} x_1 \\ -\frac{x_1^2}{3} e^{-2t} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.33)

We see that (1.16) yields

$$h(x_1) = -\frac{x_1^2}{3} + \dots$$
 (1.34)

3. Order two:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1;t}^{(2)} \\ \phi_{2;t}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t} x_1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \int_0^t dt_1 \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t+t_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1^4}{9} e^{-4t_1} \\ x_1^2 e^{-2t_1} \end{bmatrix} - \int_t^\infty dt_1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{t-t_1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1^4}{9} e^{-4t_1} \\ x_1^2 e^{-2t_1} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.35)

yields

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1;t}^{(2)} \\ \phi_{2;t}^{(2)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 e^{-t} + x_1^4 \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-4t}}{27} \\ -\frac{x_1^2}{3} e^{-2t} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.36)

We see that (1.16) does not receive any new contribution at this order.

4. Order three:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \phi_{1;t}^{(3)} \\ \phi_{2;t}^{(3)} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 e^{-t} \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} + \int_0^t dt_1 \begin{bmatrix} e^{-t+t_1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1^2 e^{-2t} + x_1^8 \frac{e^{-2t} + e^{-8t} - 2e^{-5t}}{9} + 2x_1^5 \frac{e^{-2t} - e^{-5t}}{27} \end{bmatrix} - \int_t^\infty dt_1 \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{t-t_1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{x_1^4 e^{-4t_1}}{x_1^2 e^{-2t} + x_1^8 \frac{e^{-2t} + e^{-8t} - 2e^{-5t}}{729} + 2x_1^5 \frac{e^{-2t} - e^{-5t}}{27} \end{bmatrix}$$
(1.37)

whence

$$\phi_{1;t}^{(3)} = e^{-t} x_1 + x_1^4 \frac{e^{-t} - e^{-4t}}{27}$$
(1.38)

$$\phi_{2;t}^{(3)} = -\frac{x_1^2}{3} \left( 1 + \frac{2x_1^3}{27} \right) e^{-2t} + \frac{x_1^5}{81} e^{-5t} + \frac{x_1^8}{729} \left( \frac{e^{-2t}}{3} + \frac{e^{-8t}}{9} - \frac{e^{-5t}}{3} \right)$$
(1.39)

The expression of the stable manifold at this accuracy is

$$h(x) = \phi_{2;0}^{(3)} = -\frac{x_1^2}{3} \left( 1 + \frac{x_1^3}{27} \right) + O(x_1^8)$$
(1.40)

Note that it is not legitimate to write the explicit expression of the  ${\cal O}(x_1^8)$  monomial.

### 1.1.2 Graph method

If posit

$$\phi_{2;t} = h(\phi_{1;t}) \tag{1.41}$$

we obtain the equation

$$\dot{\phi}_{1;t}\partial_{\phi_{1;t}}h(\phi_{1;t}) = h(\phi_{1;t}) + \phi_{1;t}^2$$
(1.42)

Since (1.42) must hold independently of time we arrive to

$$(-x+h^2)\partial_x h = h + x^2 \tag{1.43}$$

If we postulate

$$h(x) = c_2 x^2 + c_3 x^3 + \dots$$
(1.44)

we obtain

$$(-x + c_2^2 x^4 + 2 c_2 c_3 x^5 + \dots)(2 c_2 x + 3 c_3 x^2 + 4 c_4 x^3 + 5 c_5 x^4 + \dots)$$
  
= (c\_2 + 1) x<sup>2</sup> + c\_3 x<sup>3</sup> + c\_4 x<sup>4</sup> + c\_5 x<sup>5</sup> + \dots (1.45)

whence

$$c_2 = -\frac{1}{3} \tag{1.46}$$

and

$$c_3 = c_4 = 0 \tag{1.47}$$

Finally we recover

$$-3c_5 + c_2^3 = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad c_5 = -\frac{1}{81}$$
 (1.48)

## A Gronwall's Lemma

**Lemma A.1.** Let  $\phi$ ,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  continuous and real valued on  $[t_1, t_2]$  with

$$\beta \ge 0 \tag{A.1}$$

and

$$\phi_t \le \alpha_t + \int_{t_1}^t ds \,\beta_t \,\phi_t \tag{A.2}$$

Then

$$\phi_t \le \alpha_t + \int_{t_1}^t ds \,\beta_s \,\alpha_s \, e^{\int_s^t ds_1 \,\beta_{s_1}} \tag{A.3}$$

*Proof.* Let us observe that (A.2) implies for  $t \downarrow t_1$ 

$$\phi_{t_1} \le \alpha_{t_1} \tag{A.4}$$

• Let us first suppose that  $\phi_t$  and  $\alpha_t$  are differentiable. Then we can integrate the inequality

$$\phi_t \le \dot{\alpha}_t + \beta_t \,\phi_t \tag{A.5}$$

to obtain

$$\phi_{t} \leq e^{\int_{t_{1}}^{t} ds \,\beta_{s}} \phi_{t_{1}} + \int_{t_{1}}^{t} ds \,\dot{\alpha}_{s} e^{\int_{s}^{t} ds_{1} \,\beta_{s_{1}}} = e^{\int_{t_{1}}^{t} ds \,\beta_{s}} \phi_{t_{1}} + \alpha_{t} - \alpha_{t_{1}} e^{\int_{t_{1}}^{t} ds_{1} \,\beta_{s_{1}}} + \int_{t_{1}}^{t} ds \,\beta_{s} \,\alpha_{s} e^{\int_{s}^{t} ds_{1} \,\beta_{s_{1}}}$$
(A.6)

whence using (A.4) the claim follows. The general proof proceeds as follows.

• Let us introduce

$$F_t = \int_{t_1}^t ds \,\beta_s \,\phi_s \ge \phi_t - \alpha_t \tag{A.7}$$

then we must have

$$\dot{F}_t = \beta_t \,\phi_s \le \beta_t \,\alpha_t + \beta_t \,F_t \tag{A.8}$$

Applying the result of the differentiable case we get into

$$\int_{t_1}^t dt_2 \,\beta_{t_2} \,\alpha_{t_2} \,e^{\int_{t_2}^t dt_3 \,\beta_{t_3}} \geq F_t \geq \phi_t - \alpha_t \tag{A.9}$$

which is the claim of the proposition.

### References

- [1] N. Berglund. Geometrical theory of dynamical systems, 2007, arXiv:math/0111177.
- [2] L. Perko. Differential Equations and Dynamical Systems. Springer, 3rd edition, 2006.
- [3] S. Wiggins. Introduction to applied nonlinear dynamical systems and chaos, volume 2 of Texts in applied mathematics. Springer, 2 edition, 2003.