## Stochastic analysis, autumn 2011, Exercises-1, 13.09.11

1. A random vector  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  is jointly gaussian if there is a vector  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and a  $m \times n$  matrix A such that in matrix notation

$$X(\omega) = \mu + Y(\omega)A$$

where  $Y = (Y_1, \ldots, Y_m)$  are independent standard gaussian variables, with  $E(Y_i) = 0, E(Y_iY_j) = \delta_{ij}$ .

- Compute the probability density of X.
- Compute the covariance  $E(X_iX_j)$ .

You can assume that m = n and the matrix A is invertible.

## Solutions

For a measurable test function  $f(x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ ,

$$E_P(f(X_1, \dots, X_n)) = E_P(f(\mu_1 + A_{1, \cdots} Y, \dots, \mu_1 + A_{1, \cdots})) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\mu_1 + \sum_i A_{1i}y_i, \dots, \mu_d + \sum_i A_{di}y_i) \times \exp(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d y_i^2) dy_1 \dots dy_d$$

by the change of variable formula,

with  $x = \mu + Ay$  with inverse  $y = A^{-1}(x - \mu)$ 

$$\begin{split} &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x_1, \dots, x_d) \\ &\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\sum_{j=1}^d (A^{-1})_{ij}(x_j - \mu_j)\right)^2\right) |J(x_1, \dots, x_d)| dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_d \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x_1, \dots, x_d) \\ &\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \left(\sum_{k=1}^d (A^{-1})_{ik}(x_k - \mu_k) \left(\sum_{j=1}^d (A^{-1})_{ij}(x_j - \mu_j)\right)^2\right) |\det(J(x_1, \dots, x_d))| dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_d \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x_1, \dots, x_d) \\ &\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (A^{-1})_{ik}(A^{-1})_{ij}\right) (x_k - \mu_k)(x_j - \mu_j) |\det(J(x_1, \dots, x_d))| dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_d \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x_1, \dots, x_d) \\ &\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu) \left(A^{-1} (A^{-1})^\top\right) (x - \mu)^\top\right) |J(x_1, \dots, x_d)| dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_d \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x_1, \dots, x_d) \\ &\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu) \left(AA^\top\right)^{-1} (x - \mu)^\top\right) |\det(J(x_1, \dots, x_d))| dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_d \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x_1, \dots, x_d) \\ &\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu) \left(AA^\top\right)^{-1} (x - \mu)^\top\right) |\det(J(x_1, \dots, x_d))| dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_d \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x_1, \dots, x_d) \\ &\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu) \left(AA^\top\right)^{-1} (x - \mu)^\top\right) |\det(J(x_1, \dots, x_d))| dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_d \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x_1, \dots, x_d) \\ &\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{d} (x_i - \mu)^\top\right) |\det(J(x_1, \dots, x_d))| dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_d \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x_1, \dots, x_d) \\ &\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{d} (x_i - \mu)^\top\right) |\det(J(x_1, \dots, x_d))| dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_d \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x_1, \dots, x_d) \\ &\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{d} (x_i - \mu)^\top\right) |\det(J(x_1, \dots, x_d))| dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_d \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x_i, \dots, x_d) \\ &\times \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} (x - \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{d} (x_i - \mu)^\top\right) |\det(J(x_i, \dots, x_d))| dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_d \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x_i - \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{d} (x_i - \mu)^\top\right) |\det(J(x_i, \dots, x_d))| dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_d \\ &= (2\pi)^{-d/2} \sum_{i=1}^{d} (x_i - \mu) \sum_{i=1}^{d} (x_i - \mu)^\top\right) |\det(X_i - \mu)^\top\right) |\det(X_$$

where  $\Sigma = AA^{\top}$  is the covariance matrix of  $(X_1, \ldots, X_d)$  since

$$E((X_i - \mu_i)(X_j - \mu_j)) = E(A_i \cdot Y A_{j \text{ cot}} Y) = \sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{h=1}^d A_{ik} A_{jh} E(Y_k Y_h) = \sum_{k=1}^d A_{ik} A_{jk} = (AA^\top)_{ij}$$

where  $E(Y_k Y_h) = \delta_{hk}$ .

J(x) is the Jacobian matrix of the linear transformation  $x\mapsto y$ 

$$J_{ik}(x) = \left(\frac{\partial y_i}{\partial x_k}\right) = (A^{-1})_{hk}$$

which does not depend on  $(x_1, \ldots, x_d)$ . Note that  $\det(A) = \det(A^{\top})$  and  $\det(AA^{\top}) = \det(A) \det(A^T) = \det(A)^2$ . Also  $\det(A^{-1}) = \det(A)^{-1}$ . Therefore

$$|\det(A^{-1})| = |\det(A)^{-1}| = \det(AA^{\top})^{-1/2} = \det(\Sigma)^{-1/2}$$

It follows that  $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_d)$  has density

$$p_X(x_1, \dots, x_d) = (2\pi)^{-d/2} \det(\Sigma)^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)\Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)^{\top}\right) \quad (1)$$

- 2. Recall the definition: a standard Brownian motion  $(B_t : t \ge 0)$  is a stochatic process with
  - $B_0 = 0$
  - the increments are independent gaussian with  $(B_t B_s) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, t s)$ , for  $s \leq t$ .
  - the trajectories are continuous.

Show that the Brownian motion is a gaussian process, that is for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  $0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_n$ ,

 $(B_{t_1},\ldots,B_{t_n})$  is a jointly gaussian random vector.

Hint: use the chain rule to write the joint density.

**Solution** Instead we use the independence of increments: for  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \cdots \leq t_d$  denote  $\Delta B_i = B_{t_i} - B_{t_{i-1}}$ . Then

$$(B_{t_1},\ldots,B_{t_d}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \\ & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Delta B_1 \\ \vdots \\ \Delta B_d \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $(\Delta B_1, \ldots, \Delta B_d)$  are independent.  $(B_{t_1}, \ldots, B_{t_d})$  is a jointly gaussian vector since it is the linear transformation of independent gaussian variables.

We write the point density of  $(B_{t_1}, \ldots, B_{t_d})$  using the Markov property (to be shown in one of the following exercises) and the chain rule:

$$p(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_1}} \phi\left(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{t_1}}\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_2 - t_1}} \phi\left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{\sqrt{t_2 - t_1}}\right) \dots \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_d - t_{d-1}}} \phi\left(\frac{x_d - x_{d-1}}{\sqrt{t_d - t_{d-1}}}\right)$$

where  $\phi(x)$  is the standard gaussian density.

Alternatively compute first the covariance matrix: for  $0 \le s \le t$ 

$$E(B_sB_t) = E(B_s^2) + E(B_s(B_t - B_s)) = s + E(B_s)E(B_t - B_s) = s \wedge t$$

by the independence of the increments. Therefore the joint density of  $(B_{t_1}, \ldots, B_{t_d})$  is given by the expression (1) with  $\mu = 0$  and  $\Sigma_{ij} = t_i \wedge t_j$ .

3. Let  $(B_t : t \ge 0)$  a standard Brownian motion.

For  $t \in (0, 1)$  use Bayes formula to write the regular conditional density of  $B_t$  conditionally on  $B_1$ 

For  $0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n < 1$  compute the finite dimensional conditional distribution of  $(B_{t_1}, \ldots, B_{t_n})$  given  $\{B_1 = y\}$ .

For  $s \leq t$  compute  $E(B_t B_s | B_1 = 0)$ 

Solution By Bayes formula the conditional density is

$$p_{B_{t_1},\dots,B_{t_d}|B_1}(x_1,\dots,x_d|y) = \frac{p_{B_{t_1},\dots,B_{t_d},B_1}(x_1,\dots,x_d,y)}{p_{B_1}(y)} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_1}}\phi\bigg(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{t_1}}\bigg)\frac{1}{\sqrt{t_2-t_1}}\phi\bigg(\frac{x_2-x_1}{\sqrt{t_2-t_1}}\bigg)\dots\frac{1}{\sqrt{t_d-t_{d-1}}}\phi\bigg(\frac{x_d-x_{d-1}}{\sqrt{t_d-t_{d-1}}}\bigg)\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t_d}}\phi\bigg(\frac{y-x_d}{\sqrt{1-t_d}}\bigg)\phi(y)^{-1} = \frac{1}{p_{B_{t_1}|B_1}(x_1,y)p_{B_{t_2}|B_{t_1},B_1}(x_2|x_1,y)\dots p_{B_{t_d}|B_{t_{d-1}}B_1}(x_d|x_{d-1},y)}$$

where in the last expression we applied the chain rule to the conditional probability density and used Markov property. We comÃěute the terms in the last product:

$$\begin{split} p_{B_{t_1}|B_1}(x_1,y) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{t_1}} \phi\bigg(\frac{x_1}{\sqrt{t_1}}\bigg) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t_1}} \phi\bigg(\frac{y-x_1}{\sqrt{1-t_1}}\bigg) \phi(y)^{-1} \\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t_1(1-t_1)}} \exp\bigg(-\frac{1}{2}\bigg(\frac{x_1^2}{t_1} + \frac{(y-x_1)^2}{1-t_1} - y^2\bigg)\bigg) = \\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t_1(1-t_1)}} \exp\big(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{x_1^2(1-t_1+t_1) + y^2t_1(1-1+t_1)) - 2yx_1t_1}{t_1(1-t_1)}\bigg) = \\ &\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t_1(1-t_1)}} \exp\bigg(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x_1-yt_1)^2}{t_1(1-t_1)}\bigg) \end{split}$$

which means  $B_{t_1}$  given  $B_1 = y$  is conditionally gaussian with conditional mean  $yt_1$  and conditional variance  $t_1(1-t_1)$  and

$$p_{B_{t_d}|B_{t_{d-1}},B_1}(x_d|x_{d-1},y) = p_{B_{t_d}-B_{t_{d-1}}|B_1-B_{t_{d-1}}}(x_d - x_{d-1}|y - x_{d-1}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(t_d - t_{d-1})(1 - t_d)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x_d - x_{d-1} - (y - x_{d-1})(t_d - t_{d-1}))^2}{(t_d - t_{d-1})(1 - t_d)}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(t_d - t_{d-1})(1 - t_d)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{(x_d - x_{d-1} - (y - x_{d-1})(t_d - t_{d-1}))^2}{(t_d - t_{d-1})(1 - t_d)}\right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(t_d - t_{d-1})(1 - t_d)}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\frac{\left(x_d - (x_{d-1}(1 - t_d + t_{d-1}) + y(t_d - t_{d-1}))\right)^2}{(t_d - t_{d-1})(1 - t_d)}\right)$$

which means  $B_{t_d}$  given  $B_{t_{d-1}} = x_{d-1}$  and  $B_1 = y$  is conditionally gaussian with conditional mean  $(y(t_d - t_{d-1}) + x_{d-1}(1 - t_d + t_{d-1}))$  and conditional variance  $(t_d - t_{d-1})(1 - t_d)$ .

4. When  $f \in C^2$ , from Ito Föllmer we get the semimartingale decomposition of the process  $f(B_t(\omega))$  as an Ito integral plus a process with finite variation of on compacts. Write the semimartingale decomposition in the following cases  $f(x) = x^n$ ;  $f(x) = \sin(x)$ ;  $f(x) = \exp(x)$ .

$$B_t^n = n \int_0^t B_s^{n-1} dB_s + \frac{n(n-1)}{2} \int_0^t B_s^{n-2} ds$$
$$\sin(B_t) = \int_0^t \cos(B_s) dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \sin(B_s) ds$$
$$\exp(B_t) = \int_0^t \exp(B_s) dB_s + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \exp(B_s) ds$$

5. Use Ito formula to express  $\exp(B_t - \frac{1}{2}t)$  as an Ito-Föllmer integral:

**Solution** The process  $X_t = B_t - \frac{1}{2}t$  has quadratic variation  $[X]_t = [B]_t = t$ , since the difference  $(X_t - B_t) = \frac{1}{2}t$  has finite total variation and therefore zero quadratic variation. By Ito formula

$$\exp(B_t - \frac{1}{2}t) - 1 = \int_0^t \exp(B_s - \frac{1}{2}s)(dB_s - \frac{1}{2}ds) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \exp(B_s - \frac{1}{2}s)ds$$
$$= \int_0^t \exp(B_s - \frac{1}{2}s)dB_s$$

Alternatively we could use the multivariate Ito formula for  $f(x,t) = \exp(x - \frac{1}{2}t)$ 

$$f(B_t,t) - 1 = \int_0^t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(B_s,s) dB_s + \int_0^t \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(B_s,s) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} f(B_s,s) \right) ds$$

which gives the same result.

6. Let  $(B_t(\omega))_{t\geq 0}$  and  $(W_t(\omega))_{t\geq 0}$  two independent Brownian motions defined on the same probability space. Adapt the proof of lemma ?? to show that quadratic covariation

$$[W, B]_t = \lim_{\Delta(\Pi) \to 0} \sum_i (W_{t_{i+1}} - W_{t_i}) (B_{t_{i+1}} - B_{t_i}) \xrightarrow{P} 0$$
(2)

where we take the limit over partitions  $\Pi = (0 = t_0 \le t_1 \le \cdots \le t_n = t)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  as  $\Delta(\Pi) \to 0$  Hint: take the limit in  $L^2(P)$  and use independence.

The process  $[W, B]_t$  is called *quadratic covariation*.

## Solution

We first show convergence in  $L^2(P)$  (which implies convergence in probability) as  $\Delta(\Pi_n)$ . For the dyadic sequence of partitions  $\Pi_n = D_n$  we have also almost sure convergence in (2).

The since W and B are independent, the variance of the sum approximating sums is

$$E\left(\left\{\sum_{0 < t_k^n \le 1} (B_{t_{k+1}^n} - B_{t_k}^n)(W_{t_{k+1}^n} - W_{t_k}^n)\right\}^2\right) = \sum_{0 < t_k^n \le 1} E\left((B_{t_{k+1}^n} - B_{t_k^n})^2\right) E\left((W_{t_{k+1}^n} - W_{t_k^n})^2\right) = 2^n 2^{-2n} E\left((W_{t_{k+1}^n} - W_{t_k^n})^2\right) = 2^n 2^$$

(since increments over disjoint intervals are independent the cross-product terms have zero expectation). This shows convergence in  $L^2(P)$  and in probabiliy.

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  and

$$A_n^{\varepsilon} = \left\{ \omega : \left| \sum_{t_k^n \le 1} (B_{t_{k+1}}^n(\omega) - B_{t_k}^n(\omega)) (W_{t_{k+1}}^n(\omega) - W_{t_k}^n(\omega)) \right| > \varepsilon \right\}$$

by Chebychev inequality

 $P(A_n^{\varepsilon}) \le 2^{-n} \varepsilon^{-2}$ 

Therefore

$$\sum_{n} P(A_n^{\varepsilon}) \le \varepsilon^{-2} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{-n} < \infty$$

Applying Borel Cantelli lemma,  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$ 

$$P\left(\limsup_{n} A_{n}^{\varepsilon}\right) = 0$$

Taking  $\varepsilon=1/m,\;m\in\mathbb{N}$  and countable intersection of the complements

$$P\left(\bigcap_{m\geq 0}\bigcup_{k\geq 0}\bigcap_{n\geq k}A_n^{1/m}\right) = 1$$

which is the probability that exists  $[W, B]_1 = 0$  by taking limits among the dyadic sequence.