

9. Self-adjoint extensions of symmetric operators

* In this section we assume that S is a densely defined, symmetric operator
 \Leftrightarrow

9.1. Assumption: S is an operator on \mathcal{H} for which

a) $D(S) = \mathcal{H}$

b) $\forall \phi, \psi \in D(S) : (\phi, S\psi) = (S\phi, \psi)$

* If S satisfies a) and b), then by Thm 5.10.c)
 $\Rightarrow S$ is closable, and \bar{S} satisfies Assumption 9.1.

* If $V \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R})$, then $S = (H_0 + V)|_D$ is symmetric with the domain $D := C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

(Proof: $\psi, \phi \in D \Rightarrow (\phi, S\psi) = (\phi, -\frac{1}{2}\Delta\psi) + (\phi, V\psi) = (S\phi, \psi)$)
 $\xrightarrow{\text{part. int.}} = (-\frac{1}{2}\Delta\phi, \psi) \xrightarrow{V \text{ is real}} = (V\phi, \psi)$

* The goal of this section is to find out if such operators S have self-adjoint extensions, and what these might be. This can be done via the following tool:

9.2. Proposition: Let T be a symmetric operator: $\forall \phi, \psi \in D(T)$,
 $(\phi, T\psi) = (T\phi, \psi)$.

Then there exists a unique mapping

$$\mathcal{E}_T : R(T+i) \rightarrow R(T-i) \text{ for which}$$

$$\mathcal{E}_T(T\psi + i\psi) = T\psi - i\psi \quad \forall \psi \in D(T).$$

In addition, \mathcal{E}_T is an operator, bijective, and an isometry.

Proof: Let $R_\pm := R(T \pm i) := \{T\psi \pm i\psi \mid \psi \in D(T)\}$.

Clearly, R_+ and R_- are subspaces of \mathcal{H} .

Also, for any $\psi \in D(T)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T\psi \pm i\psi\|^2 &= (T\psi, T\psi) + (T\psi, \pm i\psi) \\ &\quad + (\pm i\psi, T\psi) + (\pm i\psi, \pm i\psi) \\ &= \|T\psi\|^2 + \|\psi\|^2 \pm i \underbrace{(T\psi, \psi) \mp i(\psi, T\psi)}_{= (\psi, T\psi)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, as T is symmetric,

$$(*) \quad \|T\psi \pm i\psi\|^2 = \|T\psi\|^2 + \|\psi\|^2 \quad \forall \psi \in D(T).$$

Thus, if $(T+i)\psi = 0 \Rightarrow 0 = \|T\psi\|^2 + \|\psi\|^2$
 $\Rightarrow \psi = 0$. Therefore, $T+i$ is one-to-one,
 and there is a mapping $(T+i)^{-1}: R(T+i)$
 $\rightarrow D(T+i)$. Since $D(T+i) = D(T) = D(T-i)$,
 we can then define $\mathcal{E}_T := (T-i)(T+i)^{-1}$
 for which $D(\mathcal{E}_T) = R(T+i)$ and
 $R(\mathcal{E}_T) = R(T-i)$. But as also $T-i$
 is one-to-one by $(*)$,

\mathcal{E}_T is invertible, and $\mathcal{E}_T^{-1} = (T+i)(T-i)^{-1}$.

Clearly, then $\forall \psi \in D(T): \mathcal{E}_T(T\psi + i\psi)$

$$= \mathcal{E}_T(T+i)\psi = (T-i)\psi = T\psi - i\psi.$$

$$D(T+i) = D(T)$$

If \mathcal{E}'_T is another mapping $R(T+i) \rightarrow R(T-i)$

with this property, then $\forall \phi \in R(T+i)$

$$\mathcal{E}'_T \phi = \mathcal{E}'_T (T+i)(T+i)^{-1} \phi = \mathcal{E}'_T (T\psi + i\psi)$$

$$=: \psi$$

$$= T\psi - i\psi = (T-i)(T+i)^{-1} \phi = \mathcal{E}_T \phi$$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{E}'_T = \mathcal{E}_T$. This proves the stated uniqueness.

\mathcal{E}_T is bijective, and it is linear, since the
 inverse of an operator is always linear.

(A operator, one-to-one $\Rightarrow \exists A^{-1}: R(A) \rightarrow D(A)$,

and $\forall \psi_1, \psi_2 \in R(A)$, $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, let

$$\phi_1 = A^{-1}\psi_1, \phi_2 = A^{-1}\psi_2 \text{ when } \alpha_1\psi_1 + \alpha_2\psi_2 \in R(A)$$

$$\text{and } \alpha_1\psi_1 + \alpha_2\psi_2 = \alpha_1 A\phi_1 + \alpha_2 A\phi_2$$

$$= A(\alpha_1\phi_1 + \alpha_2\phi_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow A^{-1}(\alpha_1\psi_1 + \alpha_2\psi_2) = \alpha_1\phi_1 + \alpha_2\phi_2 = \alpha_1 A^{-1}\psi_1 + \alpha_2 A^{-1}\psi_2.$$

Thus \mathcal{E}_T is an operator. Now, if $\psi \in R(T+i)$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \phi = (T+i)^{-1}\psi \in D(T), \text{ and}$$

$$\| \mathcal{E}_T \psi \|^2 = \| (T-i)\phi \|^2 \stackrel{(*)}{=} \| T\phi \|^2 + \|\phi\|^2$$

$$\stackrel{(*)}{=} \| (T+i)\phi \|^2 = \|\psi\|^2.$$

$\Rightarrow \| \mathcal{E}_T \psi \| = \|\psi\| \quad \forall \psi \in D(\mathcal{E}_T)$, and

\mathcal{E}_T is an isometry. \square

9.3. Definition For a symmetric operator

T on \mathcal{H} , the linear isometry \mathcal{C}_T defined in Prop. 9.2. is called the Cayley-transform of T .

9.4. Theorem Let T and T' be symmetric operators. Then

- a) $R(1 - \mathcal{C}_T) = D(T)$ and $1 - \mathcal{C}_T$ is 1-1.
- a) $\mathcal{C}_{T'} = \mathcal{C}_T \Rightarrow T' = T$
- b) $T \subset T' \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}_T \subset \mathcal{C}_{T'}$
- c) T is closed $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{C}_T$ is closed
- d) If T is densely defined, T is closable and $\mathcal{C}_T = \overline{\mathcal{C}_T}$.

Conversely, if V is an operator on \mathcal{H} for which $\|V\psi\| = \|\psi\| \forall \psi \in D(V)$ ($\Leftrightarrow V$ is an isometry) and $1 - V$ is one-to-one, then the mapping

$$T = i(1 + V)(1 - V)^{-1} : R(1 - V) \rightarrow R(1 + V)$$

is a symmetric operator, and $\mathcal{C}_T = V$.

* In summary: Taking a closure or finding symmetric extensions of a symmetric operator can be done by closure or isometric extensions of its Cayley-transform.

Proof: Let us first prove "a)" and then the "converse". Suppose $\psi \in R(1 - \mathcal{C}_T)$.
 $\Rightarrow \exists \phi \in D(\mathcal{C}_T) = R(T + i)$ s.t. $\psi = \phi - \mathcal{C}_T \phi$.
 But then $\exists \phi_0 \in D(T)$ s.t. $\phi = T\phi_0 + i\phi_0$
 $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_T \phi = \mathcal{C}_T(T\phi_0 + i\phi_0) = T\phi_0 - i\phi_0$
 $\Rightarrow \psi = T\phi_0 + i\phi_0 - T\phi_0 + i\phi_0 = 2i\phi_0 \in D(T)$.

Conversely, if $\psi_0 \in D(T)$, then
 $\phi := T\psi_0 + i\psi_0 \in D(\mathcal{E}_T)$ and

$$\mathcal{E}_T \phi = \mathcal{E}_T(T\psi_0 + i\psi_0) = T\psi_0 - i\psi_0.$$

\Rightarrow

$$\phi - \mathcal{E}_T \phi = T\psi_0 + i\psi_0 - T\psi_0 + i\psi_0 = 2i\psi_0$$

\Rightarrow

$$\psi_0 = \frac{1}{2i}(\phi - \mathcal{E}_T \phi) = (1 - \mathcal{E}_T)\left(\frac{1}{2i}\phi\right) \in \mathcal{R}(1 - \mathcal{E}_T).$$

This proves $\mathcal{R}(1 - \mathcal{E}_T) = D(T)$.

Also, if $(1 - \mathcal{E}_T)\phi = 0$ for some $\phi \in D(\mathcal{E}_T)$,

$\Rightarrow 0 = \psi = 2i\psi_0$ in the above.

$\Rightarrow \psi_0 = 0 \Rightarrow \phi = T\psi_0 + i\psi_0 = 0$.

Thus $1 - \mathcal{E}_T$ is 1-1, and we have proven "o)".

To prove the "converse", let us assume
 V is an operator and an isometry,
 for which $1 - V$ is 1-1. Then

$$(1 - V)^{-1}: \mathcal{R}(1 - V) \rightarrow D(1 - V) = D(-V) = D(V) \\ = D(1 + V).$$

Thus we can define

$$T := i(1 + V)(1 - V)^{-1}: \mathcal{R}(1 - V) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(1 + V).$$

Since $\mathcal{R}(1 \pm V)$ are subspaces and

T is linear, (see the proof of Prop. 9.2.),

T is an operator. Suppose $\psi, \phi \in D(T)$.

$$\Rightarrow \exists \psi', \phi' \in D(V) \text{ s.t. } \begin{aligned} \psi &= \psi' - V\psi' \\ \phi &= \phi' - V\phi' \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{In addition, } T\psi = i(\psi' + V\psi'),$$

$$T\phi = i(\phi' + V\phi').$$

$$\Rightarrow (\phi, T\psi) = (\phi' - V\phi', i(\psi' + V\psi')) \\ = i[(\phi', \psi') + (\phi', V\psi') - (V\phi', \psi') \\ - (V\phi', V\psi')]$$

$$\text{and } (T\phi, \psi) = -i(\phi' + V\phi', \psi' - V\psi') \\ = -i[(\phi', \psi') - (\phi', V\psi') + (V\phi', \psi') \\ - (V\phi', V\psi')]$$

Therefore,

$$(\phi, T\psi) - (T\phi, \psi) \\ = 2i[(\phi', \psi') - (V\phi', V\psi')].$$

However, by the polarization identity (Exercise 3.1.),

$$(\phi', \psi') = \frac{1}{4} (\|\phi' + \psi'\|^2 - \|\phi' - \psi'\|^2 - i\|\phi' + i\psi'\|^2 + i\|\phi' - i\psi'\|^2)$$

Since V is an isometric operator, we have $\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C} : \phi' + \alpha\psi' \in D(V)$ and $\|\phi' + \alpha\psi'\| = \|V(\phi' + \alpha\psi')\| = \|V\phi' + \alpha V\psi'\|$. Then a second application of the polar. identity shows that $(\phi', \psi') = (V\phi', V\psi')$.

Therefore, $(\phi, T\psi) = (T\phi, \psi) \forall \phi, \psi \in D(T)$, and T is a symmetric operator.

For any $\psi \in D(T)$, $\exists \phi \in D(V)$ s.t.

$$\psi = \phi - V\phi \text{ and } T\psi = i(\phi + V\phi).$$

$$\Rightarrow T\psi + i\psi = i(\phi + V\phi + \phi - V\phi) = 2i\phi \in D(V)$$

$$T\psi - i\psi = i(\phi + V\phi - \phi + V\phi) = 2iV\phi \in R(V)$$

Thus $T\psi - i\psi = V(2i\phi) = V(T\psi + i\psi) \forall \psi \in D(T)$,

and $R(T+i) \subset D(V)$. Also $\phi \in D(V) \Rightarrow \psi := (1-V)\phi \in D(T)$

and $\phi = (T+i)(\frac{1}{2i}\psi) \Rightarrow \phi \in R(T+i)$. Thus $R(T+i) = D(V)$

and we can conclude that $V = \mathcal{E}_T$. This proves the "converse".

Let then T', T be symmetric operators.

By a), we can apply the converse to the isometrics \mathcal{E}_T and $\mathcal{E}_{T'}$. If $\mathcal{E}_{T'} = \mathcal{E}_T$, by a), $D(T') = R(1 - \mathcal{E}_{T'}) = R(1 - \mathcal{E}_T) = D(T)$, and

$$T' = i(1 + \mathcal{E}_{T'}) (1 - \mathcal{E}_{T'})^{-1} = i(1 + \mathcal{E}_T) (1 - \mathcal{E}_T)^{-1} = T.$$

This proves a).

For b), assume first $T \subset T'$. Then

$\psi \in D(\mathcal{E}_T) \Rightarrow \exists \phi \in D(T) \subset D(T')$ s.t.

$$\psi = T\phi + i\phi = T'\phi + i\phi \Rightarrow \psi \in D(\mathcal{E}_{T'}).$$

$$\text{Also } \mathcal{E}_{T'}\psi = \mathcal{E}_{T'}(T'\phi + i\phi) = T'\phi - i\phi$$

$$= T\phi - i\phi = \mathcal{E}_T(T\phi + i\phi) = \mathcal{E}_T\psi.$$

Therefore, $\mathcal{E}_T \subset \mathcal{E}_{T'}$. For the converse,

assume $\mathcal{E}_T \subset \mathcal{E}_{T'}$. Then $\psi \in D(T) = R(1 - \mathcal{E}_T)$

$$\Rightarrow \exists \phi \in D(\mathcal{E}_T) \subset D(\mathcal{E}_{T'}) \text{ s.t. } \psi = \phi - \mathcal{E}_T\phi$$

$$= \phi - \mathcal{E}_{T'}\phi \Rightarrow \psi \in R(1 - \mathcal{E}_{T'}) = D(T').$$

In addition, by the "Converse", then

$$\begin{aligned} T'\psi &= i(1 + \mathcal{E}_T)(1 - \mathcal{E}_T)^{-1}\psi \\ &= i(1 + \mathcal{E}_T)\phi = i(1 + \mathcal{E}_T)\phi \\ &= i(1 + \mathcal{E}_T)(1 - \mathcal{E}_T)^{-1}\psi = T\psi. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, then $T \subset T'$. This concludes the proof of b).

For c) and d), let us first note that, since \mathcal{E}_T is an isometric operator, by Exercise 7.2.a) it has unique continuous extension $V: \overline{D(\mathcal{E}_T)} \rightarrow \overline{R(\mathcal{E}_T)}$ which is also an isometry. Clearly, $g(V) = g(\mathcal{E}_T)$, and thus \mathcal{E}_T is always closable, and $V = \overline{\mathcal{E}_T}$.

Assume first that \mathcal{E}_T is closed $\Rightarrow V = \mathcal{E}_T$. As in (cc) on p. 43, let $\psi_n \in D(T)$ be a sequence for which $\psi_n \rightarrow \psi$ and $T\psi_n \rightarrow \phi$. Then $\phi_n := T\psi_n + i\psi_n \in D(\mathcal{E}_T)$ and $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi + i\psi$, and thus $\phi + i\psi \in \overline{D(\mathcal{E}_T)} = D(\mathcal{E}_T)$ and $\mathcal{E}_T\phi_n \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_T(\phi + i\psi)$. But $\mathcal{E}_T\phi_n = \mathcal{E}_T(T\psi_n + i\psi_n) = T\psi_n - i\psi_n \rightarrow \phi - i\psi$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{E}_T(\phi + i\psi) = \phi - i\psi.$$

$$\Rightarrow 2i\psi = (1 - \mathcal{E}_T)(\phi + i\psi) \in R(1 - \mathcal{E}_T) \stackrel{d)}{=} D(T)$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi \in D(T) \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T\psi &= i(1 + \mathcal{E}_T)(1 - \mathcal{E}_T)^{-1}\psi \\ &= \frac{i}{2i}(1 + \mathcal{E}_T)(\phi + i\psi) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi + i\psi + \phi - i\psi) \\ &= \phi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus T satisfies (cc), and is closed.

For the converse, suppose T is closed.

Consider $\phi \in \overline{D(\mathcal{E}_T)}$. Then there are $\phi_n \in D(\mathcal{E}_T)$ s.t. $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi \Rightarrow \exists \psi_n \in D(T)$ s.t. $\phi_n = T\psi_n + i\psi_n$ and $\mathcal{E}_T\phi_n = T\psi_n - i\psi_n$. Thus

$$\psi_n = \frac{1}{2i}(\phi_n - \mathcal{E}_T\phi_n) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2i}(\phi - V\phi)$$

$$\text{and } T\psi_n = \frac{1}{2}(\phi_n + \mathcal{E}_T\phi_n) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}(\phi + V\phi).$$

Since T is closed, (cc) implies that

$$\frac{1}{2i}(\phi - V\phi) \in D(T) \ \& \ \frac{1}{2i}T(\phi - V\phi) = \frac{1}{2}(\phi + V\phi).$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi - V\phi \in D(T) \ \& \ T(\phi - V\phi) = i(\phi + V\phi).$$

$$\Rightarrow (T+i)(\phi - V\phi) = i(\phi + V\phi + \phi - V\phi) = 2i\phi$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi \in R(T+i) = D(C_T).$$

therefore, then $D(C_T) = D(C_T) \Rightarrow V = C_T$ and C_T is closed. This proves c).

For d), assume T is symmetric and densely def. $\Rightarrow T$ is closable, and \bar{T} is symmetric (Thm. 5.10. c).

Thus by the above results $C_{\bar{T}}$ is closed and by b) : $T \subset \bar{T} \Rightarrow C_T \subset C_{\bar{T}} \Rightarrow \bar{C}_T \subset C_{\bar{T}}$.

On the other hand, $V = \bar{C}_T$ is an isometry, and if $\psi \in D(V)$ s.t. $(1-V)\psi = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \psi \in D(C_{\bar{T}}) \text{ and } (1 - C_{\bar{T}})\psi = 0 \Rightarrow \psi = 0.$$

Thus $\exists \tilde{T} := i(1+V)(1-V)^{-1}$ which is a closed symmetric operator. Since $C_T \subset C_{\bar{T}} = C_{\tilde{T}} \subset C_{\bar{T}}$

$$\stackrel{b)}{\Rightarrow} T \subset \tilde{T} \subset \bar{T}. \text{ Therefore, } \tilde{T} = \bar{T}$$

$$\Rightarrow \bar{C}_T = C_{\tilde{T}} = C_{\bar{T}}. \text{ This proves d). } \square$$

9.5. Definition Let S be densely

defined and symmetric. Its deficiency spaces are \mathcal{K}_+ and \mathcal{K}_- , defined by

$$\mathcal{K}_+ := R(S+i)^\perp, \quad \mathcal{K}_- := R(S-i)^\perp.$$

The deficiency indices of S are

$$n_+ := \dim \mathcal{K}_+, \quad n_- := \dim \mathcal{K}_-$$

(Reminder : For a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , $\dim \mathcal{H} = \text{card}(\text{ONB})$.)

9.6. Theorem Let S be a densely defined symmetric operator, and let n_{\pm} denote its deficiency indices, and \bar{S} its closure. Then one and only one of the following alternatives holds:

- a) If $n_{+} = n_{-} = 0$, S is essentially self-adjoint, (i.e. \bar{S} is self-adjoint)
- b) If $n_{+} = 0, n_{-} \neq 0$ or $n_{-} = 0, n_{+} \neq 0$, \bar{S} is maximally symmetric, but not self-adjoint. Thus S has no self-adjoint extensions
- c) If $n_{+} \neq n_{-}$, and $n_{+}, n_{-} \neq 0$, then S has symmetric extensions, but no self-adjoint ones.
- d) If $n_{+} = n_{-} \neq 0$, S is not essentially self-adjoint, but it has infinitely many self-adjoint extensions. If $W: \mathcal{K}_{+} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{-}$ is Hilbert space isomorphism, then there is a unique self-adj. extension A of S such that

$$\mathcal{L}_A = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_S \oplus W \quad (\text{Notation: see Ex. 7.2})$$

In addition, every self-adjoint extension of S can be obtained this way.

9.7. Corollary \checkmark S has self-adjoint extensions if and only if $n_{+} = n_{-}$.
A densely def. symmetric operator

If A is a self-adjoint extension, with Cayley's transf. $\mathcal{L}_A = \bar{\mathcal{L}}_S \oplus W$, then $D(A) = \{ \mathcal{N} + \varphi_{+} - W\varphi_{+} \mid \mathcal{N} \in D(\bar{S}), \varphi_{+} \in \mathcal{K}_{+} \}$ and $\forall \mathcal{N} \in D(\bar{S}), \varphi_{+} \in \mathcal{K}_{+}$ we have

$$A(\mathcal{N} + \varphi_{+} - W\varphi_{+}) = \bar{S}\mathcal{N} + i\varphi_{+} + iW\varphi_{+} .$$

For Theorem 9.6, we need the following Lemma:

9.8. Lemma Suppose T is a densely defined closed operator on \mathcal{H} .

Then for any $\phi, \phi' \in \mathcal{H}$

there are unique $\psi \in D(T)$, $\psi' \in D(T^*)$ s.t.

$$(*) \quad \begin{cases} -T\psi + \psi' = \phi \\ \psi + T^*\psi' = \phi' \end{cases}$$

Proof: Consider the proof of Theorem 5.7.

There we defined the map $\mathcal{V}((\psi, \phi)) = ((-\phi, \psi))$, which was unitary on $\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$, and showed that $\mathcal{G}(T^*) = \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G}(T))^\perp$. Also since now $\mathcal{G}(T)$ is closed, also $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G}(T))$ is a closed subspace, and thus by Ex. 3.2. $\Rightarrow \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G}(T)) = [\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G}(T))^\perp]^\perp = \mathcal{G}(T^*)^\perp$. Since $\mathcal{G}(T^*)$ is also a closed subspace, this implies (Theorem 2.11.) that

$$\mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H} = \mathcal{G}(T^*) \oplus \mathcal{G}(T^*)^\perp = \mathcal{G}(T^*) \oplus \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G}(T)).$$

Thus for any $((\phi, \phi')) \in \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{H}$

there are unique $a \in \mathcal{G}(T^*)$, $b \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G}(T))$ s.t. $((\phi, \phi')) = a + b$.

$\Rightarrow \exists \psi \in D(T)$ and $\psi' \in D(T^*)$ s.t.

$$a = ((\psi', T^*\psi')), \quad b = ((-T\psi, \psi)).$$

$\Rightarrow (*)$ holds. To see uniqueness, assume also $\tilde{\psi}$, and $\tilde{\psi}'$ satisfy $(*)$, and def.

$$\tilde{a} = ((\tilde{\psi}', T^*\tilde{\psi}')), \quad \tilde{b} = ((-T\tilde{\psi}, \tilde{\psi})) = \mathcal{V}((\tilde{\psi}, T\tilde{\psi}))$$

Then $\tilde{a} \in \mathcal{G}(T^*)$, $\tilde{b} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{G}(T))$ and

$$\tilde{a} + \tilde{b} = ((\phi, \phi')). \Rightarrow \tilde{a} = a, \quad \tilde{b} = b \quad \square$$

9.9. Corollary Suppose T is densely defined and closed operator. Then

$$R(1 + T^*T) = \mathcal{H}.$$

Proof: Suppose $\phi' \in \mathcal{H}$ is given, and apply the lemma with $\phi = 0$. $\Rightarrow \exists \psi \in D(T)$,

$\psi' \in D(T^*)$ s.t. $\psi' = T\psi$ and

$$\phi' = \psi + T^*\psi' = \psi + T^*T\psi$$

$\Rightarrow \psi \in D(1 + T^*T)$ and $\phi' = (1 + T^*T)\psi \quad \square$

9.10. Lemma: Suppose A is a symmetric op. (104)

Then A is self-adjoint $\Leftrightarrow e_A$ is unitary.

Proof: Suppose first A is self-adjoint. By

Corollary 9.9., $D(1+A^*A) = D(A^2)$

$$\text{and } R(1+A^2) = \mathcal{H}.$$

For any $\psi \in D(A^2)$ we have

$$(1+A^2)\psi = (A+i)(A-i)\psi = (A-i)(A+i)\psi$$

$$\text{and thus } R(A+i) = \mathcal{H} = R(A-i).$$

This implies that e_A is an isometry with $R(e_A) = \mathcal{H} = D(e_A)$

$\Rightarrow e_A$ is unitary (Ex. 3.4.)

Conversely, assume A is symmetric and e_A is unitary. Then if $\phi \perp R(1-e_A)$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \forall \psi \in \mathcal{H} : (\phi, (1-e_A)\psi) &= 0 \\ &= (\phi, \psi) - (\phi, e_A\psi) = (\phi, \psi) - (e_A^*\phi, \psi) \\ &= (\phi - e_A^*\phi, \psi) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi = e_A^*\phi \Rightarrow e_A\phi = \phi. \text{ But since}$$

$1-e_A$ is injective (Thm. 9.4.0)

$$\Rightarrow \phi = 0. \text{ Thus } R(1-e_A)^\perp = D(A)^\perp = \{0\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \overline{D(A)} = (D(A)^\perp)^\perp = \mathcal{H}. \text{ Therefore,}$$

A is then densely defined, $\Rightarrow \exists A^*$.

Since A is symmetric, then $A \subset A^*$.

(Ex. 5.4.) Let $\phi \in D(A^*) \supset D(A)$.

Since $R(A+i) = D(e_A) = \mathcal{H}$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \exists \tilde{\phi} \in D(A) \text{ s.t. } (A^*+i)\phi &= (A+i)\tilde{\phi} \\ &= \underset{ACA^*}{(A^*+i)\tilde{\phi}}. \text{ Let } \psi_0 := \phi - \tilde{\phi} \in D(A^*). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\forall \psi \in D(A)$:

$$(\psi_0, (A-i)\psi) = \overbrace{((A^*+i)\psi_0, \psi)} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi_0 \in R(A-i)^\perp = R(e_A)^\perp = \mathcal{H}^\perp = \{0\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi_0 = 0 \text{ and } \phi = \tilde{\phi} \Rightarrow \phi \in D(A)$$

$$\Rightarrow A^*\phi = A\phi.$$

Therefore, also $A^* \subset A \Rightarrow A^* = A$

and A is self-adjoint. \square

Proof of Theorem 9.6.

a) If $n_+ = n_- = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{K}_+ = \{0\} = \mathcal{K}_-$
 $\Rightarrow \overline{R(S+i)} = \mathcal{H} = \overline{R(S-i)}$.

By Thm. 9.4, $\overline{C_S} = \overline{C_S}$
 $\Rightarrow D(\overline{C_S}) = \overline{R(S+i)} = \mathcal{H}$
 $R(\overline{C_S}) = \overline{R(S-i)} = \mathcal{H}$.

Thus $\overline{C_S}$ is unitary (Ex. 3.4.)
 $\Rightarrow \overline{S}$ is self-adjoint. (Lemma 9.10.)

Suppose then, that $A \supset S$, and A is self-adjoint. By Thm. 9.4. & Lemma 9.10.

$\Rightarrow C_S \subset C_A = \text{unitary}$. Thus C_A is then a unitary extension of the isometry C_S .

Exercise 7.2. b) $\Rightarrow W = (C_A - (\overline{C_S} \oplus 0)) |_{D(C_S)^\perp}$
is an isomorphism $\frac{D(C_S)^\perp}{= R(S+i)^\perp} \rightarrow \frac{R(C_S)^\perp}{= R(S-i)^\perp}$
i.e. between \mathcal{K}_+ and \mathcal{K}_- .

If $n_+ \neq n_-$, \mathcal{K}_+ and \mathcal{K}_- are not isomorphic, and thus S then cannot have any self-adjoint extensions.

$\Rightarrow \overline{S}$ is not self-adjoint.

If $n_+ = n_-$ and $W: \mathcal{K}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-$ is an isomorphism, then $U := \overline{C_S} \oplus W = \overline{C_S} \oplus W$ is unitary on \mathcal{H} . Suppose $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$ is such that $(1-U)\eta = 0$. As $\mathcal{H} = D(\overline{C_S}) \oplus \mathcal{K}_+$

$\Rightarrow \exists! \eta_0 \in D(\overline{C_S}), \eta_+ \in \mathcal{K}_+$ s.t.

$\eta = \eta_0 + \eta_+$. In addition, by def., $U\eta = \overline{C_S}\eta_0 + W\eta_+$. Let $\eta_- = W\eta_+ \in \mathcal{K}_-$,

when $0 = \eta - U\eta = (1-\overline{C_S})\eta_0 + \eta_+ - \eta_-$

$\Rightarrow \eta_- = \eta_+ + \phi_0$ where $\phi_0 := (1-\overline{C_S})\eta_0$

$\in R(1-\overline{C_S}) = D(\overline{S})$. Since $\eta_- \in \mathcal{K}_-$
 $= R(S-i)^\perp = \overline{R(S-i)}^\perp = R(\overline{C_S})^\perp = R(\overline{S-i})^\perp$

$\Rightarrow \forall \phi \in D(\overline{S}): 0 = ((\overline{S-i})\phi, \eta_-)$

\overline{S} symmetric
 $= ((\overline{S-i})\phi, \phi_0 + \eta_+) =$
 $= (\phi, (\overline{S+i})\phi_0) + \underbrace{((\overline{S+i})\phi - 2i\phi, \eta_+)}_{\in R(\overline{S+i})} \underbrace{\in \mathcal{K}_+ = \overline{R(S+i)}^\perp = R(\overline{S+i})^\perp}$
 $= (\phi, (\overline{S+i})\phi_0) + (\phi, 2i\eta_+)$
 $= (\phi, (\overline{S+i})\phi_0 + 2i\eta_+)$

$D(\bar{s})$ is dense!

(106)

$$\Rightarrow (\bar{s}+i)\phi_0 + 2i\psi_+ = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi_+ = \frac{1}{-2i}(\bar{s}+i)\phi_0 \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{s}+i)$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi_+ \in \mathcal{R}(\bar{s}+i) \cap \mathcal{K}_+ = \mathcal{R}(\bar{s}+i) \cap \mathcal{R}(\bar{s}+i)^\perp$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi_+ = 0 \Rightarrow \psi_- = W\psi_+ = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow 0 = \psi - U\psi = (1 - C_{\bar{s}})\psi_0$$

Since \bar{s} is symm. op. $\Rightarrow 1 - C_{\bar{s}}$ is 1-1.

\Rightarrow also $\psi_0 = 0$. Thus $\psi = 0$.

This proves that $1-U$ is 1-1.

Thm. 9.4,

$$\Rightarrow A = i(1+U)(1-U)^{-1} \text{ is symm. oper.}$$

and $U = CA$.

Since U is unitary, Lemma 9.10.

$\Rightarrow A$ is self-adjoint.

We have thus proven d). (Note that \exists inf. many maps W ,
to prove b) & c), assume $n_+ \neq n_-$, see p. 107)

and suppose s' is a symm. extension

of \bar{s} . $\Rightarrow C_{\bar{s}} \subset C_{s'}$. If $n_+ = 0$, we
have $\mathcal{K}_+ = \{0\} \Rightarrow \mathcal{R}(\bar{s}+i) = \mathcal{K} = D(C_{\bar{s}})$

$\Rightarrow C_{s'} = C_{\bar{s}} \Rightarrow s' = \bar{s}$. If $n_- = 0 \Rightarrow \mathcal{K}_- = \{0\}$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{R}(C_{\bar{s}}) = \mathcal{K}$. Suppose $\psi \in D(C_{s'})$ is

such that $\psi \in \mathcal{K}_+ = D(C_{\bar{s}})^\perp \Rightarrow$

$C_{s'}\psi \in \mathcal{R}(C_{\bar{s}}) \Rightarrow \exists \psi' \in D(C_{\bar{s}})$ s.t.

$$C_{s'}\psi = C_{\bar{s}}\psi' = C_{\bar{s}}\psi' \Rightarrow C_{s'}(\psi - \psi') = 0$$

$$\stackrel{C_{s'} \text{ is } 1-1}{\Rightarrow} \psi - \psi' = 0 \Rightarrow \psi' = \psi$$

$$\Rightarrow \psi \in D(C_{\bar{s}})^\perp \cap D(C_{\bar{s}}) \Rightarrow \psi = 0$$

Thus $D(C_{s'}) = \overline{D(C_{\bar{s}})} = D(C_{\bar{s}}) \Rightarrow C_{s'} = C_{\bar{s}} \Rightarrow s' = \bar{s}$.

This proves that \bar{s} is maximally symmetric,
and concludes the proof b).

If $n_+ \neq n_-$, $n_+, n_- \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists e_+ \in \mathcal{K}_+$, $e_- \in \mathcal{K}_-$

s.t. $\|e_\pm\| = 1$. Let $\mathcal{R}_+ := \mathcal{R}(\bar{s}+i) \oplus \text{span}(e_+)$,

$\mathcal{R}_- := \mathcal{R}(\bar{s}-i) \oplus \text{span}(e_-)$ and define

$V: \mathcal{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_-$ by $V(\psi + \alpha e_+) = C_{\bar{s}}\psi + \alpha e_-$

$\forall \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, $\psi \in D(C_{\bar{s}}) = \mathcal{R}(\bar{s}+i)$. Then V

is an isometry, and a similar argument

to the above case proves that $1-V$ is

injective. $\Rightarrow \exists s'$ s.t. $V = C_{s'}$. Since

$C_{\bar{s}} \neq V \Rightarrow \bar{s} \neq s'$. \square

Proof of Corollary 9.7: Suppose S is dens. def. and symmetric.

By Thrm 9.6, it has self-adj. extensions iff $n_+ = n_-$. Moreover, if A is self-adj. and $S \subset A$, then $\mathcal{E}_A = \overline{\mathcal{E}_S} \oplus W$. (Set $W=0$, if $n_+ = n_- = 0$), where $W: \mathcal{K}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-$ is a unitary map.

For simplicity, denote $U := \mathcal{E}_A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{K})$ and $V := \overline{\mathcal{E}_S}$. By Theorem 9.4, then $V = \mathcal{E}_{\bar{S}}$ and

$$A = i(1+U)(1-U)^{-1} \text{ with } D(A) = \mathcal{R}(1-U).$$

Since $D(U) = \mathcal{K} = \overline{D(\mathcal{E}_S)} \oplus \mathcal{K}_+$, if $\eta \in D(A)$ then $\exists \phi_0 \in \overline{D(\mathcal{E}_S)} = D(V)$ and $\varphi_+ \in \mathcal{K}_+$ s.t. $\phi_0 \perp \varphi_+$ and $\eta = (1-U)(\phi_0 + \varphi_+) = \phi_0 - V\phi_0 + \varphi_+ - W\varphi_+ = (1-V)\phi_0 + \varphi_+ - W\varphi_+$. Here $(1-V)\phi_0 \in \mathcal{R}(1-V) = D(\bar{S}) \Rightarrow \eta \in D_0 := \{ \eta_0 + \varphi_+ - W\varphi_+ \mid \eta_0 \in D(\bar{S}), \varphi_+ \in \mathcal{K}_+ \}$. If $\eta \in D_0 \Rightarrow \exists \eta_0 \in D(\bar{S}) = \mathcal{R}(1-V), \varphi_+ \in \mathcal{K}_+$ s.t.

$$\eta = \eta_0 + \varphi_+ - W\varphi_+ \Rightarrow \exists \phi_0 \in D(V) \text{ s.t. } \eta = (1-V)\phi_0 + \varphi_+ - W\varphi_+ = \phi_0 + \varphi_+ - V\phi_0 - W\varphi_+ = (1-U)(\phi_0 + \varphi_+) \in \mathcal{R}(1-U) = D(A).$$

Then also $A\eta = i(1+U)(\phi_0 + \varphi_+) = i(1+U)\phi_0 + i\varphi_+ + iU\varphi_+ = i(1+V)\phi_0 + i\varphi_+ + iW\varphi_+$, where $i(1+V)\phi_0 = i(1+V)(1-V)^{-1}(1-V)\phi_0 = \bar{S}(1-V)\phi_0 = \bar{S}(1-U)\phi_0 = \bar{S}\eta_0$

Therefore, $D(A) = D_0$ and $\eta \in D(A) \Rightarrow \exists \eta_0 \in D(\bar{S}), \varphi_+ \in \mathcal{K}_+$ s.t. $\eta = \eta_0 + \varphi_+ - W\varphi_+$. Whatever the choice of η_0, φ_+ , then also

$$A\eta = A(\eta_0 + \varphi_+ - W\varphi_+) = \bar{S}\eta_0 + i\varphi_+ + iW\varphi_+ \quad \square$$

* For instance, if $n_+ = n_- = n < \infty$, then $W: \mathcal{K}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_-$ are in one-to-one correspondence with matrices $w \in U(n)$ (= set of unitary $n \times n$ matrices.) Explicitly, if $\{e_-^i\}$ is an ONB for \mathcal{K}_- and $\{e_+^j\}$ for \mathcal{K}_+ , then (proof left as a straightforward exercise)

$$W: \mathcal{K}_+ \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_- \text{ is a unitary map } n \Leftrightarrow \exists ! w \in U(n) \text{ s.t. } W\varphi = \sum_{i,j=1}^n e_-^i w_{ij} (e_+^j, \varphi) \forall \varphi \in \mathcal{K}_+.$$

Hence, any parametrization of $U(n)$ yields a parametrization of the self-adjoint extensions $S \Rightarrow$ inf. many, if $n > 0$.