

## SOBOLEV SPACES. (spring 2016)

### MODEL SOLUTIONS FOR SET 3

**Exercise 1.** Show that  $u(x) = \log \log \left(1 + \frac{1}{|x|}\right) \in W^{1,n}(B)$ , where  $B = B(0, 1)$  is the unit ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Solution 1.** We calculate first that

$$\partial_{x_j} u(x) = \frac{1}{\log(1 + |x|^{-1})} \frac{1}{1 + |x|^{-1}} \frac{-1}{|x|^2} \frac{x_j}{|x|}.$$

Thus

$$|\nabla u(x)| = \frac{1}{\log(1 + |x|^{-1})} \frac{1}{1 + |x|} \frac{1}{|x|}.$$

Since this function is radially symmetric, we can use polar coordinates to compute that

$$\int_{B(0,1)} |\nabla u(x)|^n dx = C_1 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\log^n(1 + r^{-1})} \frac{1}{(1 + r)^n} \frac{1}{r^n} r^{n-1} dr \leq C_2 \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\log^n(1 + r^{-1})} \frac{1}{r} dr$$

We will show that the right hand side is finite. The only issue is the singularity at  $r = 0$ . Note that

$$\log^n(1 + r^{-1}) \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . Thus we might as well lower the exponent  $n \geq 2$  and replace  $\log(1 + r^{-1})$  by  $\log(r^{-1}) = -\log(r)$  since both terms are comparable. It is enough to show that

$$\int_0^{\delta_1} \frac{1}{\log^2(r)} \frac{1}{r} dr < \infty$$

for some  $\delta_1 > 0$ . Make a substitution  $r = e^{-t}$ . Thus the integral becomes

$$\int_{\delta_2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{t^2} dt.$$

This is finite so we are done. It is also easy to check that

$$\int_{B(0,1)} |u(x)|^n dx < \infty,$$

since the function  $u$  has a better singularity at  $x = 0$  than its derivatives. This shows that  $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,n}$  for  $n \geq 2$ .

**Exercise 2.** a) Show that  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n) = W_0^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$ .

b) Prove the generalised Hölder's inequality: If  $1 \leq p_1, \dots, p_m \leq \infty$  and  $\frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{p_2} + \dots + \frac{1}{p_m} = 1$ , then

$$\int_{\Omega} |u_1 u_2 \cdots u_m| dx \leq \prod_{j=1}^m \left( \int_{\Omega} |u_j|^{p_j} dx \right)^{1/p_j}.$$

**Solution 2.** a) Let  $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . If  $u$  has compact support, then we can approximate it with smooth functions of compact support by Exercise 3 of set 1 - just choose a slightly larger compact subset where you can approximate it. Thus it is enough to show that we can approximate  $u$  by Sobolev functions of compact support.

Let  $\varphi$  be a smooth function supported in  $B(0,1)$  with  $\varphi(0) = 1$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x) dx = 1$ . Define

$$u_\epsilon(x) = \varphi(\epsilon x)u(x).$$

We claim that  $u_\epsilon \rightarrow u$  in  $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It is easy to see that  $u_\epsilon \rightarrow u$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , so we only check the convergence of the derivatives. For this one can compute that

$$\partial_{x_j}(\varphi(\epsilon x)u(x)) = \epsilon \varphi_{x_j}(\epsilon x)u(x) + \varphi(\epsilon x)u_{x_j}.$$

We can now use dominated convergence to see that the first term goes to zero and the second term goes to  $u_{x_j}$  in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . This finishes the proof.

b) Due to laziness, we refer the reader to Wikipedia -> Hölder's inequality -> Generalized Hölder's inequality -> proof.

**Exercise 3.** If  $\Omega = (a,b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ , how do you define the trace operator  $T$  on  $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ ? If  $u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ , show that  $T(u) = 0$  if and only if the absolutely continuous representative of  $u$  satisfies  $u(a) = u(b) = 0$ .

**Solution 3.** The trace operator in 1-dimensional space is defined as in Evans' book - one just has to interpret the space  $L^p(\partial[a,b])$  correctly. The set  $\partial[a,b] = \{a,b\}$  is a measure space with the counting measure, so we can define  $L^p(\partial[a,b])$  in the usual sense. In this case  $L^p(\{a,b\})$  will be equivalent to  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with the norm  $|(x,y)| = (|x|^p + |y|^p)^{1/p}$ . All norms on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  are equivalent though so we may as well use the  $L^1$ -norm instead.

Let now  $u \in \mathcal{W}^{1,p}([a,b])$ . Denote by  $\tilde{u}$  its absolutely continuous representative. Then we define an operator

$$\tilde{T} : \mathcal{W}^{1,p}([a,b]) \rightarrow L^p(\partial[a,b])$$

by

$$\tilde{T}u = (\tilde{u}(a), \tilde{u}(b)).$$

We first show that this operator is continuous. Let  $\varphi_1$  be a  $C^\infty$ -function on  $[a,b]$ , supported on  $[a, a+\epsilon]$  and such that  $\varphi_1(a) = 1$ . Let similarly  $\varphi_2$  be supported on  $[b-\epsilon, b]$  and  $\varphi_2(b) = 1$ . Then  $\varphi_1\tilde{u}$  and  $\varphi_2\tilde{u}$  are also absolutely continuous on  $[a,b]$  (not difficult to check directly). Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{u}(a)| &= |\varphi_1(b)\tilde{u}(b) - \varphi_1(a)\tilde{u}(a)| \\ &= \left| \int_a^b (\varphi_1\tilde{u})'(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_a^b |\varphi_1'(t)| |\tilde{u}(t)| dt + \int_a^b |\varphi_1(t)| |\tilde{u}'(t)| dt \\ &\leq \|\varphi_1'\|_q \|\tilde{u}\|_p + \|\varphi_1\|_q \|\tilde{u}'\|_p \\ &\leq C \|\tilde{u}\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}([a,b])}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly  $|\tilde{u}(b)| \leq C\|\tilde{u}\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}([a,b])}$ , so

$$\|\tilde{T}(\tilde{u})\|_{L^1(\partial[a,b])} = |\tilde{u}(a)| + |\tilde{u}(b)| \leq 2C\|u\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}([a,b])}.$$

This proves the continuity of  $\tilde{T}$ .

The conclusion now comes from the fact that  $\tilde{T}$  must be the same as the usual trace operator  $T$ . This is because clearly  $\tilde{T}u = Tu$  when  $u \in C^\infty([a,b])$ . In the general case we have some approximating sequence of smooth functions  $u_n$  of  $u$  and

$$\tilde{T}u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{T}u_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tu_n = Tu.$$

This is the unique extension property of continuous linear operators: Every continuous extension of a linear operator from a dense subset is the same. Thus we have found an expression for the trace in the 1-dimensional case:  $Tu = (\tilde{u}(a), \tilde{u}(b))$ . In particular,  $Tu = 0$  iff  $\tilde{u}(a) = \tilde{u}(b) = 0$ .

**Exercise 4.** Let  $0 \leq \eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a standard mollifier, with  $\text{supp}(\eta) \subset B(0,1)$  and  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta(x) dx = 1$ . Set  $\eta_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \eta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right)$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , and let  $e_n := (0, \dots, 0, 1) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

If  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  and  $u_\varepsilon(x) = u(x + 2\varepsilon e_n)$  show that  $w_\varepsilon := \eta_\varepsilon * u_\varepsilon$  is well defined in  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$ , and

$$w_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n}) \quad \text{with} \quad \|u - w_\varepsilon\|_{W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

**Solution 4.** Recall that if  $f$  is in  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x+h) - f(x)|^p dx \rightarrow 0$  as  $|h| \rightarrow 0$ . This can be used to find that

$$u_\varepsilon(x) = u(x + 2\varepsilon e_n) \rightarrow u(x)$$

in  $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . The convolution  $w_\varepsilon = \eta_\varepsilon * u_\varepsilon$  is well-defined since

$$w_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x - y + 2\varepsilon e_n) \eta_\varepsilon(y) dy,$$

and in the integral we have  $\eta_\varepsilon(y) = 0$  unless  $|y| \leq \varepsilon$ , in which case  $x - y + 2\varepsilon e_n \in \mathbb{R}_+^n$  and there is no problem. To see the convergence of  $w_\varepsilon$  to  $u$ , we split:

$$u - \eta_\varepsilon * u_\varepsilon = (u - u_\varepsilon) + (u_\varepsilon - \eta_\varepsilon * u_\varepsilon).$$

The term  $u - u_\varepsilon$  converges to zero in  $\mathcal{W}^{1,p}$ . For the next term, we have to estimate the  $L^p$ -norms of the expressions

$$u_\varepsilon - \eta_\varepsilon * u_\varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{x_j} u_\varepsilon - \eta_\varepsilon * \partial_{x_j} u_\varepsilon.$$

For this we refer to Reaalianalyysi 1, page 31 for the formula

$$\|f - f * g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}^p \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x) - f(x-y)|^p dx \right) dy.$$

In the proof one can choose to only integrate over  $\mathbb{R}_+^n$  to get:

$$\|f - f * g\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^p \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} g(y) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |f(x) - f(x - y)|^p dx \right) dy.$$

Let us apply this for  $f = u_\epsilon$  and  $g = \eta_\epsilon$ , giving that:

$$\|u_\epsilon - \eta_\epsilon * u_\epsilon\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^p \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_\epsilon(y) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |u(x + 2\epsilon e_n) - u(x - y + 2\epsilon e_n)|^p dx \right) dy.$$

Since  $\eta_\epsilon$  is supported in the set  $|y| < \epsilon$ , we also have  $|y| < \epsilon$  in the inner integral. This converges to zero as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  so we can estimate the inner integral as

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |u(x + 2\epsilon e_n) - u(x - y + 2\epsilon e_n)|^p dx \leq \sup_{|h| < \epsilon} \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} |u(x) - u(x + h)|^p dx \right\}.$$

The key point is that we can see now that the right hand side goes to zero as  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ . This shows that

$$\|u_\epsilon - \eta_\epsilon * u_\epsilon\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^p \rightarrow 0.$$

If we put  $\partial_{x_j} u_\epsilon$  in place of  $u_\epsilon$  we also get that

$$\|\partial_{x_j} u_\epsilon - \eta_\epsilon * \partial_{x_j} u_\epsilon\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)}^p \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus

$$\|u_\epsilon - w_\epsilon\|_{\mathcal{W}^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)} \rightarrow 0.$$

**Exercise 5.** Suppose  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$  with weak derivatives  $D^\alpha u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ ,  $|\alpha| = 1$ , and let  $\varphi \in C^1(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ .

Suppose  $\varphi(x) = 0$  if  $x \in \partial\mathbb{R}_+^n = \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$  or if  $|x| > M$ . Show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u(x) D^\alpha \varphi(x) dx = - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} D^\alpha u(x) \varphi(x) dx.$$

[Hint: Use e.g. ideas from proof of Theorem 2/Section 5.5/Evans]

**Solution 5.** Note that  $\varphi \in \mathcal{W}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^n) \cap C(\overline{\mathbb{R}_+^n})$ ,  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$ . Thus we can take the trace of  $\varphi$  and must have that  $T\varphi \equiv 0$ . By Evans,  $\varphi$  can be approximated by  $C_0^\infty$ -functions  $\varphi_m$  in  $\mathcal{W}^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}_+^n)$ . By Hölder's inequality we find that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u(x) D^\alpha \varphi_m(x) dx \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} u(x) D^\alpha \varphi(x) dx$$

and

$$- \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} D^\alpha u(x) \varphi_m(x) dx \rightarrow - \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} D^\alpha u(x) \varphi(x) dx.$$

This proves the result.