

Appendix A

Supplementary material on probability theory

A.1 Basics of probability theory

As the reader of this book very well knows, probability is an assignment of a number between zero and one to an event. This number tells how likely the event is — if it is nearly zero, the event is very unlikely, and if it is almost one, the event is very likely to occur. Mathematically probability is a *measure* defined on the collection of events. In this chapter we list the required results of *measure theory* and *probability theory*.

A.1.1 Measure theory

The basic concepts of measure theory that reader should be aware of are

- (X, \mathcal{A}) a measurable space: X is set, \mathcal{A} its σ -algebra
- measurable function f , (positive) measure μ , integral $\int f d\mu$
- Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^d
- $L^p(\mu)$ space: Measurable f is in $L^p(\mu)$ if $\int |f|^p d\mu < \infty$. Notation: $\|f\|_p = (\int |f|^p d\mu)^{1/p}$.
- Product measures: If (X, \mathcal{A}, μ) and (Y, \mathcal{B}, ν) are measure spaces, then their product space is $(X \times Y, \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}, \mu \times \nu)$ where $X \times Y$ is Cartesian product, $\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$ the σ -algebra generated by $A \times B$, $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $B \in \mathcal{B}$, and $\mu \times \nu$ the unique extension of $A \times B \mapsto \mu(A)\nu(B)$. (Here we have to assume that both measures μ and ν are σ -finite in the sense that X can be written as $X = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k$ where X_k a measurable sets with finite μ -measure and the same holds for Y and ν .)

Here is a summary of some results of measure theory. For the details and proof see any book on measure theory.

- Monotone convergence theorem: If f_n are measurable functions such that $0 \leq f_n \nearrow f$, then $\int f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu$

- Dominated convergence theorem: If f_n are measurable functions and $f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n$ exists almost everywhere and $\exists g \geq 0$ such that $|f_n| \leq g$ for all n and $\int g d\mu < \infty$, then $\int f d\mu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int f_n d\mu$.
- Fubini's theorem: Assume that μ and ν are σ -finite. Let $f \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{B}$. If $f \geq 0$ or $\int |f| d(\mu \times \nu) < \infty$ then $\int_X (\int_Y f d\nu) d\mu = \int_{X \times Y} f d(\mu \times \nu) = \int_Y (\int_X f d\mu) d\nu$.
- Radon–Nikodym theorem: If ν is a σ -finite signed measure and μ is a σ -finite measure on (X, \mathcal{A}) and ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ , then exist $g \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\nu(A) = \int_A g d\mu$. Here ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ , if $\nu(A) = 0$ whenever $\mu(A) = 0$, $A \in \mathcal{F}$. A notation: $f = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$ and it is called Radon–Nikodym derivative.

A notation which sometimes handy: $f \in \mathcal{A}$ where f is a function on X means that f is \mathcal{A} -measurable.

A.1.2 Probability theory

Probability theory is essentially measure theoretical formulation of probability. Therefore the basics of probability are easily accessible to anybody with background in measure theory. Here is a list of basic facts about probability:

- A probability space is a measure space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) such that P is a probability measure, i.e., $P[\Omega] = 1$. Ω “outcomes”, \mathcal{F} “events”
- A random variable is a \mathcal{F} -measurable function $X : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. H -valued random variable is a measurable function $X : \Omega \rightarrow H$ (H is a measurable space).
- The expected value of X is $E[X] = \int X dP \in [-\infty, \infty]$, which makes sense when $X \geq 0$ or when either $\int X^+ dP < \infty$ or $\int X^- dP < \infty$, where $X = X^+ - X^-$ is the decomposition of X into positive and negative part.
- $L^p(P)$ space: $\|X\|_p = (E[|X|^p])^{1/p} < \infty$. By Hölder inequality, $\|X\|_p \leq \|X\|_q$ for $1 \leq p \leq q$ and hence $L^q(P) \subset L^p(P)$ (a fact which isn't necessarily true for general measures).
- Independence: sub- σ -algebras $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_n$ of \mathcal{F} are independent if

$$P[A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_n] = P[A_1] \cdot P[A_2] \cdot \dots \cdot P[A_n] \quad \text{for } A_k \in \mathcal{A}_k.$$

Random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are independent if the σ -algebras $\sigma(X_1), \sigma(X_2), \dots, \sigma(X_n)$ are independent

$$\begin{aligned} &\Leftrightarrow P[\{X_1 \in B_1\} \cap \{X_2 \in B_2\} \cap \dots \cap \{X_n \in B_n\}] \\ &= P[X_1 \in B_1] \cdot P[X_2 \in B_2] \cdot \dots \cdot P[X_n \in B_n] \quad \text{for } B_k \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}. \end{aligned}$$

A couple of useful notations:

- $E[X; E] = \int_E X dP = \int \mathbb{1}_E X dP$.
- A random variable X induces a measure on \mathbb{R} by $\mu_X(B) = P[X^{-1}(B)]$ where $B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is the Borel σ -algebra on \mathbb{R} . The measure μ_X is called *distribution*

(or law) of X . When X and Y induce the same measure, we say that X and Y are equal in distribution and use the notation

$$X \stackrel{d}{=} Y.$$

A.2 Conditional expected value

Definition A.1. Let X be a $L^1(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F})$ random variable and let $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ be a σ -algebra. The *conditional expected value of X given \mathcal{G}* is defined to be any random variable Y such that Y is (i) \mathcal{G} -measurable and (ii) for any $E \in \mathcal{G}$

$$\int_E X d\mathbb{P} = \int_E Y d\mathbb{P}.$$

We then use the notation $E[X|\mathcal{G}]$ for the conditional expected value and any such Y is called a *version* of $E[X|\mathcal{G}]$.

Proposition A.1. *The conditional expected value exists and is unique in the sense that if Y and Y' satisfy (i) and (ii) then $Y = Y'$ almost surely. Also the conditional expected value is integrable.*

Proof. Let $G = \{Y \geq 0\}$ which is \mathcal{G} -measurable. Then

$$E[|Y|] = \int_G Y d\mathbb{P} - \int_{G^c} Y d\mathbb{P} = \int_G X d\mathbb{P} - \int_{G^c} X d\mathbb{P} \leq \|X\|_1,$$

where G^c is the complement $\Omega \setminus G$ of G . Therefore $E[|Y|] < \infty$.

Existence follows from Radon–Nikodym theorem:

$$E \mapsto \int_E X d\mathbb{P}$$

is a signed measure, which is absolutely continuous with respect to \mathbb{P} . Then the Radon–Nikodym derivative Y of that measure satisfies the properties of the conditional expected value.

Uniqueness: If Y and Y' are version of $E[X|\mathcal{G}]$, then let $E = \{Y > Y'\}$. Then if $\mathbb{P}[E] > 0$, $\int_E Y d\mathbb{P} > \int_E Y' d\mathbb{P}$ which is a contradiction. Hence $\mathbb{P}\{Y = Y'\} = 1$. \square

Intuitively $E[X|\mathcal{G}]$ should be thought to the best guess of the value of X given the information contained in \mathcal{G} .

Example A.1. (Perfect information) If X is \mathcal{G} measurable then $E[X|\mathcal{G}] = X$.

Example A.2. (No information) If X is independent of \mathcal{G} then $E[X|\mathcal{G}] = EX$.

Example A.3. (Relation to the usual conditional expected value) Let $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots$ be a finite or countably infinite disjoint partition of Ω into \mathcal{F} -measurable sets, each of which has positive probability. If \mathcal{G} is the σ -algebra generated by $\Omega_1, \Omega_2, \dots$ then

$$\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] = \frac{\mathbb{E}[X; \Omega_k]}{\mathbb{P}[\Omega_k]} \quad \text{on } \Omega_k.$$

Note that $\mathcal{G} = \{\bigcup_{k \in I} \Omega_k : I \subset \mathbb{N}\}$.

We list next some properties of conditional expected value, see Section 5.1 of [1] or Section 9.7 of [3].

Theorem A.1. *Let X, Y be $L^1(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F})$ random variables and $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2 \subset \mathcal{F}$ be σ -algebras. Then*

1. $\mathbb{E}[aX + bY|\mathcal{G}] = a\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}] + b\mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{G}]$
2. $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]] = \mathbb{E}[X]$
3. $\mathbb{E}[XY|\mathcal{G}] = Y\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$ if Y is \mathcal{G} -measurable and $X \cdot Y$ is $L^1(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F})$
4. (Tower property) $\mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}_2]|\mathcal{G}_1] = \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}_1]$ if $\mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}_2$
5. (Jensen's inequality) If $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is convex and $\mathbb{E}[|\phi(X)|] < \infty$ then $\phi(\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]) \leq \mathbb{E}[\phi(X)|\mathcal{G}]$.
6. $|\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]| \leq \mathbb{E}[|X||\mathcal{G}]$ and when $\mathbb{E}[|X|^2] < \infty$, $|\mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]|^2 \leq \mathbb{E}[|X|^2|\mathcal{G}]$
7. If $X_n \rightarrow X$ in $L^p(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F})$ then $\mathbb{E}[X_n|\mathcal{G}] \rightarrow \mathbb{E}[X|\mathcal{G}]$ in $L^p(\mathbb{P}, \mathcal{F})$.

The following notation is sometimes used: if X and Y are random variables and $\sigma(Y)$ is the σ -algebra generated by Y , then $\mathbb{E}[X|Y]$ means the same as $\mathbb{E}[X|\sigma(Y)]$.

A.3 Martingales

Definition A.2. A filtration on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is a collection $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}$ of sub- σ -algebras $\mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that for each $0 \leq s < t$, $\mathcal{F}_s \subset \mathcal{F}_t$.

Recall that a σ -algebra can be thought as information and thus the filtration should be thought as the information that we learn about as t increases. Hence \mathcal{F}_t is the information available at time t . If $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(X_s, s \in [0, T])$ where $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}}$ is a stochastic process, then a random variable Y is \mathcal{F}_t measurable if it is a function of the random variables $X_s, s \in [0, T]$.

The class of processes of the following definition is very important.

Definition A.3. A stochastic process $(M_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is called a (*continuous-time*) *martingale* with respect to a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ if

1. M_t is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable for each $t \geq 0$,
2. $\mathbb{E}[|M_t|] < \infty$ for each $t \geq 0$,
3. $\mathbb{E}[M_t|\mathcal{F}_s] = M_s$ for each $0 \leq s < t$.

If the last property is replaced by $\mathbb{E}[M_t|\mathcal{F}_s] \geq M_s$, the process is called *submartingale*, and if the last property is replaced by $\mathbb{E}[M_t|\mathcal{F}_s] \leq M_s$, the process is called *supermartingale*.

Quite many results for martingales are proved using discrete-time martingales.

Definition A.4. A *discrete-time filtration* on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) is a collection $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ of sub- σ -algebras $\mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{F}$ such that for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathcal{F}_t \subset \mathcal{F}_{t+1}$.

A stochastic process $(M_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ is called a (*discrete-time*) *martingale* with respect to a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ if

1. M_t is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,
2. $E[|M_t|] < \infty$ for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,
3. $E[M_{t+1} | \mathcal{F}_t] = M_t$ for each $t \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

If the last property is replaced by $E(M_{t+1} | \mathcal{F}_t) \geq M_t$, the process is called *submartingale*, and if the last property is replaced by $E(M_{t+1} | \mathcal{F}_t) \leq M_t$, the process is called *supermartingale*.

Example A.4. Let $X \in L^1(P, \mathcal{F})$ and let $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a filtration. Then $M_t = E(X | \mathcal{F}_t)$ is a martingale: 1 holds by the definition of conditional expected value, 2 holds by items 2 and 6 of Theorem A.1 and 3 holds by item 4 of Theorem A.1.

Example A.5. Let X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of independent integrable random variables such that $E(X_k) = 0$ for each k and let $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$. Then $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ defined by

$$M_n = \sum_{k=0}^n X_k$$

is a martingale with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$.

Example A.6 (The name martingale). There is a *gambling strategy* called martingale. Consider a gambler that is playing roulette, where the outcome is either red or black with probability $1/2$ each. After a loss the gambler always doubles his bet and keeps playing until the first time when he wins. After that he stops playing. If the first bet is x , then the gambler is sure to win x by this strategy! Do you see any problem with the martingale strategy? This is related to the previous example when we consider X_0, X_1, \dots such that $X_0 = 0, X_1 = \hat{X}_1$ and

$$X_k = \hat{X}_k \mathbb{1}_{\{\text{no wins during rounds } 1, 2, \dots, k-1\}}$$

for $k \geq 2$, where \hat{X}_k are independent and $P[\hat{X}_k = \pm x 2^k] = 1/2$. Then M_n is the wealth of the gambler after n rounds relative to the wealth at time zero.

Example A.7. Let X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of independent integrable random variables such that $E(X_k) = 1$ for each k and let $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma(X_0, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n)$. Then $(M_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$ defined by

$$M_n = \prod_{k=0}^n X_k$$

is a martingale with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$.

Example A.8. There are many martingales related to Brownian motions. In the main text, we will check the following formulas

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[B_t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= B_s \\ \mathbb{E}[B_t^2 - t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= B_s^2 - s \\ \mathbb{E} \left[\exp \left(\theta B_t - \frac{\theta^2}{2} t \right) \middle| \mathcal{F}_s \right] &= \exp \left(\theta B_s - \frac{\theta^2}{2} s \right). \end{aligned}$$

A result that we need about martingales is the next inequality. Its proof is given in the exercises.

Theorem A.2 (Doob's martingale inequality). *Suppose that $(M_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a martingale, which has a continuous path almost surely. Then for each $p \geq 1$, $T > 0$, $\lambda > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} |M_s| \geq \lambda \right] \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^p} \mathbb{E}[|M_T|^p].$$

This result follows from the following auxiliary results.

Lemma A.1. *If $(M_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a martingale, $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex function and $\mathbb{E}[|\phi(M_t)|] < \infty$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then $(\phi(M_t))_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a submartingale.*

Proof. Follows from the conditional version of Jensen's inequality which is presented in Theorem A.1. \square

Theorem A.3 (Doob's submartingale inequality). *Suppose that $(M_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ is a non-negative submartingale, which has a continuous path almost surely. Then for each $\lambda > 0$,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} M_s \geq \lambda \right] \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathbb{E}[M_T].$$

The proof is left as an exercise.

A.4 Stopping times and optional stopping

Optional stopping is a concept that extends the martingale property to random times.

Definition A.5. If τ is a stopping time with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$, define the *stopping time σ -algebra* as

$$\mathcal{F}_\tau = \{A \in \mathcal{F} : A \cap \{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_+\}$$

In the same way, as \mathcal{F}_t can be thought as the information available at time t , a stopping time σ -algebra \mathcal{F}_τ can be thought as the information available at a random time τ . The following set of results extends the martingale property to random times.

Theorem A.4. *Let $(M_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be a continuous martingale and τ and σ stopping times with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$. Then for each $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$*

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{t \wedge \tau} | \mathcal{F}_\sigma] = M_{t \wedge \sigma \wedge \tau}$$

Remark A.1. As seen below, we have to care about the integrability of quantities such as M_τ . Here the non-random number t in $M_{t \wedge \tau}$ guarantees that $\mathbb{E}|M_{t \wedge \tau}| < \infty$.

Corollary A.1. *Let $(M_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be a continuous martingale and τ be a stopping time with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$. Then the process $(M_t^\tau)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ defined by*

$$M_t^\tau = M_{t \wedge \tau}$$

is a continuous martingale with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$.

Remark A.2. Stopped local martingales are local martingales by the same argument.

Definition A.6. A collection \mathcal{C} of random variables is said to be *uniformly integrable* if

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{X \in \mathcal{C}} \mathbb{E}[|X|; |X| \geq m] = 0.$$

Corollary A.2. *Let $(M_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$ be a continuous martingale and τ and σ almost surely finite stopping times with respect to $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+}$. Assume that $\sigma \leq \tau$. Then*

$$\mathbb{E}[M_\tau | \mathcal{F}_\sigma] = M_\sigma$$

under any of the following conditions:

- *For some constant $C > 0$, $\sigma \leq \tau \leq C$*
- *For some constant $C > 0$, $|M_t| \leq C$ for all t .*
- *The collection of random variables M_t , $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$, is uniformly integrable.*

Remark A.3. In a sense, the first two cases are special cases of the last case.

Remark A.4. In the last case, $M_\sigma = \mathbb{E}[M_\tau | \mathcal{F}_\sigma] = \mathbb{E}[M_\infty | \mathcal{F}_\sigma]$ for some random variable M_∞ and $M_t \rightarrow M_\infty$ in L^1 .

References

1. Durrett, R.: Probability: theory and examples, fourth edn. Cambridge Series in Statistical and Probabilistic Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2010)
2. Kallenberg, O.: Foundations of modern probability. Springer Verlag (2002)
3. Williams, D.: Probability with martingales. Cambridge Mathematical Textbooks. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Cambridge (1991)