

Assume S_* and C_* are chain equivalent chain complexes. Then

- 1) $S_* \otimes G$ and $C_* \otimes G$ are chain equivalent
- 2) $\text{Hom}(S_*, G)$ and $\text{Hom}(C_*, G)$ are chain equivalent, where G is any abelian group.

Theorem 14.8. (Universal Coefficients Theorem for Cohomology)

Let X be a topological space of finite type, and let G be an abelian group. Then, for every $n \geq 0$, there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^n(X) \otimes G \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^n(X; G) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(H^{n+1}(X), G) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\alpha: [Z] \otimes g \mapsto [Zg]$

and $Zg: g \mapsto \underbrace{Z(g)}_{\in Z} g$, for a singular n -simplex g .

The sequence splits:

$$H^n(X; G) \cong (H^n(X) \otimes G) \oplus \text{Tor}(H^{n+1}(X), G).$$

proof. Since X has finite type, Lemma 14.4 \Rightarrow there is a free chain complex C_* of finite type with $H_*(C_*) = H_*(X)$.

Let $A^* = \text{Hom}(C_*, \mathbb{Z})$. Then A^* is a free chain complex: Let $m = \text{rank } C_n$. Let $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ be a basis for C_n . Define

$$F: \text{Hom}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m, \quad \phi \mapsto (z_1, \dots, z_m),$$

$$\text{id } \phi(x_i) = z_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq m.$$

Clearly, F is injective and surjective. Let $f, g \in \text{Hom}(C_n, \mathbb{Z})$, $f(x_i) = z_i$, $g(x_i) = w_i$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $(f+g)(x_i) = z_i + w_i \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

$$F(f+g) = (z_1+w_1, \dots, z_n+w_n) = F(f) + F(g).$$

It follows that F is a homomorphism. Hence F is an isomorphism, and $A^n \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$.

The universal coefficient theorem for homology now applies to A^* . Thus there is an exact split sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^n(A^*) \otimes G \xrightarrow{\alpha} H^n(A^* \otimes G) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(H^{n+1}(A^*), G) \rightarrow 0.$$

$$\Gamma 0 \rightarrow A^0 \xrightarrow{d^0} A^1 \xrightarrow{d^1} A^2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A^{n-1} \xrightarrow{d^{n-1}} A^n \xrightarrow{d^n} A^{n+1} \rightarrow \dots$$

$$H^n(A^*) = \ker d^n / \text{im } d^{n-1} \quad \square$$

Here

$$H^n(A^*) = H^n(\text{Hom}(C_*, \mathbb{Z}))$$

$$= H^n(\text{Hom}(S_*(X), \mathbb{Z})), \quad S_*(X) \text{ chain equiv. to } C_*$$

$$= H^n(X).$$

Lemma 14.7 \Rightarrow

$$A^* \otimes G = \text{Hom}(C_*, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes G \cong \text{Hom}(C_*, G) \cong \text{Hom}(S_*(X), G).$$

Thus

$$H^n(A^* \otimes G) \cong H^n(\text{Hom}(S_*(X), G)) = H^n(X; G).$$

Thus there is a split exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^n(X) \otimes G \rightarrow H^n(X; G) \rightarrow \text{Tor}(H^{n+1}(X), G) \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Recall: $S_i(X) \otimes S_j(Y)$ is a free abelian group with basis all $\sigma_i \otimes \tau_j$, where σ_i is an i -simplex in X and τ_j is a j -simplex in Y .

Definition 14.9. Let R be a commutative ring.
Let $\varphi \in \text{Hom}(S_m(X), R)$ and $\theta \in \text{Hom}(S_n(Y), R)$.
Let

$$\varphi \otimes \theta : S_*(X) \otimes S_*(Y) \rightarrow R,$$

$$\sigma_i \otimes \tau_j \mapsto \begin{cases} \varphi(\sigma_m) \theta(\tau_n), & \text{if } i=m, j=n \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then $\varphi \otimes \theta \in \text{Hom}(S_*(X) \otimes S_*(Y), R)$.

Theorem 14.10. (K nneth Formula for Cohomology)

Let X and Y be topological spaces of finite type.
Then there is a short exact sequence that splits:

$$0 \rightarrow \sum_{i+j=n} H^i(X) \otimes H^j(Y) \xrightarrow{\alpha'} H^n(X \times Y) \rightarrow \sum_{p+q=n+1} \text{Tor}(H^p(X), H^q(Y)) \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\alpha' : [\varphi_i] \otimes [\theta_j] \mapsto [\xi^\#(\varphi_i \otimes \theta_j)]$ and $\xi : S_*(X \times Y) \rightarrow S_*(X) \otimes S_*(Y)$ is the Eilenberg-Zilber chain equivalence.

proof. Since X and Y have finite type, it follows from Lemma 14.6, that there are free chain complexes C_* and E_* of finite type chain equivalent to $S_*(X)$ and $S_*(Y)$, respectively. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^i(X) \otimes H^j(Y) & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & H^{i+j}(X \times Y) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ H^i(C_*) \otimes H^j(E_*) & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & H^{i+j}(\text{Hom}(C_* \otimes E_*, \mathbb{Z})) \end{array} \quad (*)$$

C_* , E_* chain eq. to $S_*(X)$, $S_*(Y)$, respectively
 $\Rightarrow C_* \otimes E_*$ chain eq. to $S_*(X) \otimes S_*(Y)$.

The vertical maps are isomorphisms induced by chain equivalences. Let the chain equivalences be $f_*: C_* \rightarrow S_*(X)$ and $g_*: E_* \rightarrow S_*(Y)$. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\varphi_i] \otimes [\theta_j] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & [f^{\#}(\varphi_i \otimes \theta_j)] \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \leftarrow \text{check this} \\ [\varphi_i] \otimes [\theta_j] & \xrightarrow{\quad} & [(\varphi_i) \otimes (\theta_j)] \end{array}$$

and the diagram $(*)$ commutes.

Since C_* is a free chain complex of finite type, it follows that $\forall n: C_n \cong \mathbb{Z}^{k(n)}$ for some $k(n) \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Then

$$\text{Hom}(C_n, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^{k(n)}, \mathbb{Z}) \stackrel{\text{HW 10}}{\cong} \underbrace{(\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}))}_{\cong \mathbb{Z}}^{k(n)} \cong (\mathbb{Z})^{k(n)}.$$

Then $\text{Hom}(C_*, \mathbb{Z})$ is a free chain complex. Similarly, $\text{Hom}(E_*, \mathbb{Z})$ is a free chain complex. Therefore, Künneth Theorem applies to the bottom sequence, i.e., there is a split short exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \sum_{i+j=n} H^i(C_*) \otimes H^j(E_*) &\xrightarrow{\alpha'} H^n(\text{Hom}(C_* \otimes E_*, \mathbb{Z})) \\ &\rightarrow \sum_{p+q=n+1} \text{Tor}(H^p(C_*), H^q(E_*)) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows, since the diagram $(*)$ commutes. \square

A-modules

Definition 14.11. Let A be a commutative ring with unity. Let M be an abelian group equipped with scalar multiplication

$$A \times M \rightarrow M, (a, m) \mapsto am,$$

such that the following identities hold for all $m, m' \in M$ and for all $a, a' \in A$:

1) $a(m + m') = am + am'$

2) $(a + a')m = am + a'm$

3) $(aa')m = a(a'm)$

4) $1m = m$, where $1 \in A$ is the unity.

Then M is called an A-module.

Then:

1) If $A = \mathbb{Z}$, an A -module is an abelian group, no more structure comes from conditions 1-4.

2) Assume A is a field. Then, by definition, an A -module is a vector space over A .

3) The ring A is an A -module when the multiplication on A is considered as scalar multiplication.

Example: Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function.

The support of f is the closure of the set $\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid f(x) \neq 0\}$. Let A be the set of all continuous functions $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with compact support. Adding and multiplying functions makes A a ring. This ring has no unity, since the function $c: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ has non-compact support, and hence is not in A . ($c =$ the constant function $x \mapsto 1 \forall x$)

Let M_1, \dots, M_n be A -modules. Consider them as abelian groups, and form the direct sum

$$M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n.$$

Defining

$$a(m_1, \dots, m_n) = (am_1, \dots, am_n)$$

makes $M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n$ an A -module called the direct sum of M_1, \dots, M_n . The direct sum

$A^{(n)}$ of n copies of A is called a free A -module. Let $e_i \in A^{(n)}$ be the n -tuple having 1 in the i th position and zeros elsewhere.

Then every $m \in A^{(n)}$ can be uniquely written as

$$m = \sum a_i e_i, \quad \text{where } a_i \in A \forall i.$$

Definition 14.12. Let $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\} \in A^{(n)}$. If $\forall m \in A^{(n)}$ has a unique expression of the form $m = \sum a_i e_i$, where $a_i \in A \forall i$, then $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is called an A -basis of $A^{(n)}$.

Then $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ is an example of an A -basis of $A^{(n)}$.

Definition 14.13. Let M be an A -module, and let $p \geq 0$. The p^{th} exterior power $\Lambda^p M$ of M is the abelian group with the following presentation:

Generators: $A \times \underbrace{M \times \dots \times M}_{p \text{ times}}$

Relations: For all $a, a' \in A$ and for all $m_i, m'_i \in M$,

$$(a, m_1, \dots, m_i + m'_i, \dots, m_p) = (a, m_1, \dots, m_i, \dots, m_p) + (a, m_1, \dots, m'_i, \dots, m_p) \quad \forall i,$$

$$(a + a', m_1, \dots, m_p) = (a, m_1, \dots, m_p) + (a', m_1, \dots, m_p)$$

$$(a a', m_1, \dots, m_i, \dots, m_p) = (a, m_1, \dots, a' m_i, \dots, m_p) \quad \forall i,$$

$$(a, m_1, \dots, m_p) = 0 \quad \text{if } m_i = m_j \text{ for some } i \neq j.$$

Here:

$$p=0: \Lambda^0 M = A$$

$p=1$: Exercise:

Show that $\Lambda^1 M$ is isomorphic to M .

Let F be the free abelian group with basis $A \times M \times \dots \times M$, let S be the subgroup generated by the p relations. Denote

$$a m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_p = (a, m_1, \dots, m_p) + S.$$

Then every element of $\Lambda^p M$ has a (not necessarily unique) expression of the form

$$\sum_j a_j m_1^j \wedge \dots \wedge m_p^j, \text{ where } a_j \in A, m_i^j \in M.$$

The multiplication

$$A \times \Lambda^p M \rightarrow \Lambda^p M, (a, \sum_j a_j m_1^j \wedge \dots \wedge m_p^j) \mapsto \sum_j (a a_j) m_1^j \wedge \dots \wedge m_p^j$$

satisfies conditions 1-4 of Definition 14.11. Then $\Lambda^p M$ is an A -module. It follows from the last relation, that

$$m \wedge m = (1, m, m) + S = 0,$$

for any $m \in M$. Thus, if $m, m' \in M$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (m+m') \wedge (m+m') \\ &= (1, m+m', m+m') + S \\ &= (1, m, m+m') + (1, m', m+m') + S \\ &= (1, m, m) + (1, m, m') + (1, m', m) + (1, m', m') + S \\ &= \underbrace{m \wedge m}_0 + m \wedge m' + m' \wedge m + \underbrace{m' \wedge m'}_0 \\ &= m \wedge m' + m' \wedge m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $m \wedge m' = -m' \wedge m$, $\forall m, m' \in M$.

Similarly: Let $p \geq 2$. Interchange two factors of $m_1 \wedge \dots \wedge m_p$
 \Rightarrow the sign gets reversed.

Let $M = A^{(n)}$ be a free A -module with an A -basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$. Then it can be shown that $\Lambda^p M$ is also a free A -module with an A -basis

$$\{e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \leq n\}.$$

Then every element of $\Lambda^p(A^{(n)})$ has a unique expression

$$\sum a_{i_1, \dots, i_p} e_{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge e_{i_p},$$

where $a_{i_1, \dots, i_p} \in A$ and $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_p \leq n$. If $p > n$, then $\Lambda^p M = 0$. A basis of $\Lambda^p(A^{(n)})$ has $\binom{n}{p}$ elements.

15. Cohomology Rings

Definition 15.1. Let R be a ring, and let $R^n, n \geq 0$, be additive subgroups of R satisfying the following conditions:

1) $R = \sum_{n \geq 0} R^n$ (direct sum of additive groups)

2) for all $n, m \geq 0$, $R^n R^m \subset R^{n+m}$
(i.e., if $x \in R^n$ and $y \in R^m$, then $xy \in R^{n+m}$).

Then R is called a graded ring.

Example: Let A be a commutative ring. The polynomial ring $R = A[X]$ consists of polynomials $\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} p_m X^m$, where $p_m \in A \forall m$ and $p_m \neq 0$ for only finitely many m . Adding and multiplying polynomials makes $A[X]$ a commutative ring. Setting $R^n = \{aX^n \mid a \in A\}$ makes R a graded ring.

Example: Let $R = A[x_1, \dots, x_p]$ be a polynomial ring in several variables. Setting $R^n = \{ \sum a x_1^{e_1} \dots x_p^{e_p} \mid a \in A, \sum e_i = n \}$ makes R a graded ring. Then R^n is generated by monomials whose total degree equals n .

Example: Define

$$\Lambda^p M \times \Lambda^q M \rightarrow \Lambda^{p+q} M, ((m_1, \dots, m_p), (m'_1, \dots, m'_q)) \\ \mapsto m_1, \dots, m_p, m'_1, \dots, m'_q,$$

where M is an A -module. Then $\sum_{p \geq 0} \Lambda^p M$ is a graded ring.

Definition 15.2. Let $R = \sum \mathbb{R}^n$ be a graded ring. If $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we say that x has degree n . Such elements are called homogeneous. An ideal I or a subring S of R is called homogeneous, if it is generated by homogeneous elements.

Recall the following: Let R be a ring and let S be a nonempty subset of R that is closed under the operations of addition and multiplication in R . If S is a ring under these operations, then S is called a subring of R . A subring I of a ring R is a left ideal provided

$$\forall r \in R, x \in I \Rightarrow rx \in I,$$

↑
(assuming ring does not necessarily have an identity, so I is an ideal)

I is a right ideal provided

$$\forall r \in R, x \in I \Rightarrow xr \in I.$$

I is an ideal if it is both a left and right ideal.

Exercise: Let $R = \sum \mathbb{R}^n$ be a graded ring, and let I be an ideal of R . Show that the following are equivalent:

1) I is homogeneous

2) $I = \sum (I \cap \mathbb{R}^n)$.

Notice: The definition of degree in a graded ring is not the same as the usual definition of a degree in a polynomial ring. Usually, the degree of a polynomial $a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0$ is n , if $a_n \neq 0$. However, the degree in the sense of graded rings is only defined for monomials. For graded ring, the zero element has degree n , for all $n \geq 0$.

The degree of $1 \in R = \sum R^n$:

Assume $1 = e_0 + \dots + e_k$, where $e_i \in R^i$. Assume $a_n \in R^n$.

Then

$$a_n = a_n \cdot 1 = 1 \cdot a_n = e_0 a_n + \dots + e_k a_n \in R^n \cap (R^0 \oplus \dots \oplus R^{n+k}) = R^n.$$

Then $e_i a_n = 0 \ \forall i \geq 1$, and $e_0 a_n = a_n$.

Then $a = e_0 a \ \forall a \in R$.

Similarly, $a = a e_0 \ \forall a \in R$.

$\Rightarrow e_0$ is a two-sided identity.

\forall a ring two-sided identities are unique.

Therefore, $e_0 = 1$. Thus $1 = e_0 \in R^0$ and the degree of 1 equals zero.

Lemma 15.3. Let R be a graded ring, and let I be a homogeneous ideal in $R (= \sum R^n)$.

Then

$$R/I = \sum (R^n + I)/I$$

is a graded ring.

proof. I homogeneous $\Rightarrow I = \sum (I \cap R^n)$. As abelian groups,

$$R/I = \sum R^n / \sum (I \cap R^n) \cong \sum (R^n / I \cap R^n) \cong \sum (R^n + I)/I.$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} & (R^n + I)/I \cdot (R^m + I)/I \\ &= (R^n R^m + \underbrace{R^n I + I R^m + I \cdot I}_{\subset I, \text{ since } I \text{ is an ideal}}) / I \subset (R^n R^m + I) / I \\ & \subset (R^{n+m} + I) / I. \end{aligned}$$

□

Every commutative ring is an abelian group under addition. Thus $H^n(X; R)$ makes sense when R is a commutative ring. We will show that $H^*(X; R) = \sum H^n(X; R)$ has a multiplication called cup product that makes it a graded ring.

Definition 15.4. Set $0 \leq i \leq d$. Define affine maps $\lambda_i, \mu_i : \Delta^i \rightarrow \Delta^d$ by

$$\lambda_i : (t_0, \dots, t_i) \mapsto (t_0, \dots, t_i, 0, \dots, 0)$$

and

$$\mu_i : (t_0, \dots, t_i) \mapsto (0, \dots, 0, t_0, \dots, t_i).$$

The maps λ_i and μ_i are called the front face and the back face, respectively.

Often one uses the notation

$$\lambda_i^d = \lambda_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_i^d = \mu_i.$$

Then $\lambda_0^d = \mu_0^d = \text{id} : \Delta^d \rightarrow \Delta^d$,

$$\lambda_0^d(1) = (1, 0, \dots, 0) = e_0.$$

$$\mu_0^d(1) = (0, \dots, 0, 1) = e_d.$$

Recall the face maps $\epsilon_i^{d+1} : \Delta^d \rightarrow \Delta^{d+1}$, $0 \leq i \leq d+1$:

$$\epsilon_0(t_0, \dots, t_d) = (0, t_0, \dots, t_d) = \mu_d^{d+1}(t_0, \dots, t_d).$$

If $1 \leq i \leq d+1$, then

$$\epsilon_i(t_0, \dots, t_d) = (t_0, \dots, t_{i-1}, 0, t_i, \dots, t_d).$$

Lemma 15.5.

1) Let $\varepsilon_p^{d+1} : \Delta^d \rightarrow \Delta^{d+1}$ be the i^{th} face map.
Then

$$\mu_d^{d+1} = \varepsilon_0^{d+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_d^{d+1} = \varepsilon_{d+1}^{d+1}.$$

$$2) \mu_{m+k}^d \mu_k^{m+k} = \mu_k^d, \quad \lambda_{n+m}^d \lambda_n^{n+m} = \lambda_n^d, \quad \mu_{m+k}^{n+m+k} \lambda_m^{n+m+k} = \lambda_{n+m}^{n+m+k} \mu_m^{n+m}.$$

$$3) \varepsilon_i^{d+1} \lambda_p^d = \begin{cases} \lambda_{p+1}^{d+1} \varepsilon_i^{p+1}, & \text{if } i \leq p \\ \lambda_p^{d+1}, & \text{if } i \geq p+1. \end{cases}$$

$$\varepsilon_i^{d+1} \mu_q^d = \begin{cases} \mu_q^{d+1}, & \text{if } i \leq d-q \\ \mu_{q+1}^{d+1} \varepsilon_{i+q-d}^{q+1}, & \text{if } i \geq d-q+1. \end{cases}$$

proof. HW \square

Notation: Let X be a topological space, and let G be an abelian group. We have the following notation:

$$S^n(X, G) = \text{Hom}(S_n(X), G)$$

$$S^*(X, G) = \sum_{n \geq 0} S^n(X, G)$$

Let $\varphi \in S^n(X, G)$, and let $c \in S_n(X)$. We write

$$(c, \varphi) = \varphi(c) \in G.$$

$$\text{Let } \delta = \delta^n = \delta_{n+1}^\# : \text{Hom}(S_n(X), G) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(S_{n+1}(X), G) \\ \varphi \mapsto \varphi \delta_{n+1}$$

If $c' \in S_{n+1}(X)$, then

$$(c', \delta(\varphi)) = (c', \varphi \delta_{n+1}) = (\varphi \delta_{n+1})(c') \\ = \varphi(\delta_{n+1} c') = (\delta_{n+1} c', \varphi).$$

Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a continuous function, and let $\varphi \in S^n(Y, \mathcal{G})$. Then

$$f^\# : S^n(Y, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow S^n(X, \mathcal{G}), \quad h \mapsto hf_\#$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (c, f^\#(\varphi)) &= (c, \varphi f_\#) = (\varphi f_\#)(c) \\ &= \varphi(f_\#(c)) = (f_\#(c), \varphi), \end{aligned}$$

where $f_\# : S_n(X) \rightarrow S_n(Y)$ is induced by f as usual.

If c is an n -simplex σ , then $f_\#(c) = f_\#(\sigma) = f\sigma$, and

$$(\sigma, f^\#(\varphi)) = (f\sigma, \varphi).$$

The n -simplexes $\sigma : \Delta^n \rightarrow X$ generate $S_n(X)$. Thus every $\varphi \in S^n(X, \mathcal{G})$ is determined by the $(\sigma, \varphi) = \varphi(\sigma)$, where σ is an n -simplex.

Definition 15.6.

Let X be a topological space, and let R be a commutative ring.

Let $\varphi \in S^n(X, R)$, and let $\theta \in S^m(X, R)$. The cup product $\varphi \cup \theta \in S^{n+m}(X, R)$ of φ and θ is defined by

$$(\sigma, \varphi \cup \theta) = (\sigma \times_n, \varphi)(\sigma \times_m, \theta),$$

for any $(n+m)$ -simplex $\sigma \in S_{n+m}(X)$. The right side is a product of two elements in R .

The cup product defines a function

$$S^*(X, R) \times S^*(X, R) \rightarrow S^*(X, R),$$

$$(\sum \varphi_i) \cup (\sum \theta_j) = \sum_{i,j} \varphi_i \cup \theta_j,$$

where $\varphi_i \in S^i(X, R)$ and $\theta_j \in S^j(X, R)$.

Lemma 15.7. Let X be a topological space, and let R be a commutative ring. Then $S^*(X, R) = \sum S^n(X, R)$ is a graded ring under cup product.

proof. Every $S^n(X, R)$ is an abelian group, hence also $S^*(X, R)$ is an abelian group. To show that $S^*(X, R)$ is a ring we have to check that the multiplication on $S^*(X, R)$ is associative and that the multiplication is distributive with respect to addition. We also show that there is an element e in $S^0(X, R)$ that is the multiplicative identity.

1. Left distributivity:

Let $\varphi \in S^n(X, R)$ and $\theta, \psi \in S^m(X, R)$. We show that

$$\varphi \cup (\theta + \psi) = (\varphi \cup \theta) + (\varphi \cup \psi).$$

Let σ be an $(n+m)$ -simplex. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sigma, \varphi \cup (\theta + \psi) \rangle &= \langle \sigma \times_n, \varphi \rangle \langle \sigma \times_m, \theta + \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \sigma \times_n, \varphi \rangle (\langle \sigma \times_m, \theta \rangle + \langle \sigma \times_m, \psi \rangle) \\ &= \langle \sigma \times_n, \varphi \rangle \langle \sigma \times_m, \theta \rangle + \langle \sigma \times_n, \varphi \rangle \langle \sigma \times_m, \psi \rangle \\ &= \langle \sigma, \varphi \cup \theta \rangle + \langle \sigma, \varphi \cup \psi \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore \varphi \cup (\theta + \psi) = (\varphi \cup \theta) + (\varphi \cup \psi)$$

Right distributivity can be proved similarly.

2. Associativity:

Let $\varphi \in S^n(X, R)$, $\theta \in S^m(X, R)$ and $\psi \in S^k(X, R)$. We show that

$$\varphi \cup (\theta \cup \psi) = (\varphi \cup \theta) \cup \psi.$$

Let σ be an $(n+m+k)$ -simplex. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta, \varphi \circ (\theta \circ \psi)) &= (\delta \lambda_n, \varphi) (\delta \rho_{m+k}, \theta \circ \psi) \\ &= (\delta \lambda_n, \varphi) (\delta \rho_{m+k} \lambda_m, \theta) (\delta \rho_{m+k} \rho_k, \psi) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta, (\varphi \circ \theta) \circ \psi) &= (\delta \lambda_{n+m}, \varphi \circ \theta) (\delta \rho_k, \psi) \\ &= (\delta \lambda_{n+m} \lambda_n, \varphi) (\delta \lambda_{n+m} \rho_m, \theta) (\delta \rho_k, \psi). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 15.5 2) $\Rightarrow \lambda_{n+m} \lambda_n = \lambda_n$, $\rho_{m+k} \lambda_m = \lambda_{n+m} \rho_m$,
 $\rho_{m+k} \rho_k = \rho_m$.

$$\therefore \varphi \circ (\theta \circ \psi) = (\varphi \circ \theta) \circ \psi.$$

3. Multiplicative identity:

Define $e \in S^0(X, \mathbb{R}) = \text{Hom}(S_0(X), \mathbb{R})$ by

$$(x, e) = 1, \text{ for all } x \in X,$$

where the points of X are identified with 0-simplices in X . Let $\varphi \in S^n(X, \mathbb{R})$, and let σ be an n -simplex. Then

$$(\delta, e \circ \varphi) = \underbrace{(\delta \lambda_0, e)}_1 \underbrace{(\delta \rho_n, \varphi)}_{\delta} = (\delta, \varphi).$$

Then $e \circ \varphi = \varphi$. Similarly, $\varphi \circ e = \varphi$. Thus e is the multiplicative identity for $S^*(X, \mathbb{R})$.

□

Lemma 15.8. Let $f: X \rightarrow X'$ be a continuous map. Then

$$f^\#(\varphi \circ \theta) = f^\#(\varphi) \circ f^\#(\theta).$$

Let $e \in S^0(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $e' \in S^0(X', \mathbb{R})$ be the multiplicative identities of $S^*(X, \mathbb{R})$ and $S^*(X', \mathbb{R})$, respectively. Then

$$f^\#(e') = e.$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in S^p(X', R) = \text{Hom}(S_p(X'), R)$, and let $\theta \in S^q(X', R) = \text{Hom}(S_q(X'), R)$. Let σ be a $(p+q)$ -simplex in X . Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma, \varphi \# (\varphi \cup \theta)) &= (\varphi \sigma, \varphi \cup \theta) \\ &= (\varphi \sigma \lambda_p, \varphi) (\varphi \sigma \mu_q, \theta) \\ &= (\sigma \lambda_p, \varphi \# \varphi) (\sigma \mu_q, \varphi \# \theta) \\ &= (\sigma, \varphi \# \varphi \cup \varphi \# \theta). \end{aligned}$$

Let $x \in X$. Then $(x, \varphi \# e') = (\varphi(x), e') = 1$. Since this holds for every $x \in X$, it follows that $\varphi \# e' = e$.

□

The category of graded rings

- Objects : graded rings

- Morphisms : Let $R = \sum_{n \geq 0} R^n$ and $S = \sum_{n \geq 0} S^n$ be

graded rings. Morphisms $R \rightarrow S$ are ring homomorphisms $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ s.t. $\varphi(R^n) \subset S^n \forall n$.

($\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is a ring homomorphism, if

$$1) \varphi(a+b) = \varphi(a) + \varphi(b) \quad \forall a, b \in R$$

$$2) \varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) \quad \forall a, b \in R$$

$$3) \varphi(1_R) = 1_S, \text{ where } 1_R \text{ and } 1_S \text{ are the mult. identities of } R \text{ and } S, \text{ respectively.}$$

Denote this category by Graded Rings.