Topology II Spring 2015

NB: The first course exam is planned for Thursday, March 5th, at 13:00–15:00. Please send an e-mail to the lecturer as soon as possible if you wish to take the exam but cannot come at the above time. (Let me then also know about which times on the same week, 2.–6.3., would be possible for you.)

NB: Exercise 6* is again a voluntary bonus exercise.

Exercise 1

A family of functions $f_j : X \to Y_j$, $j \in J$, separates points in X if every $x, y \in X$, $x \neq y$, has some $j \in J$ for which $f_j(x) \neq f_j(y)$.

Suppose that X is a set and $J \neq \emptyset$ is an indexing set for *Hausdorff* spaces Y_j and functions $f_j : X \to Y_j, j \in J$. Show that the topology induced by the family $(f_j)_{j \in J}$ on X is Hausdorff if and only the family separates points on X

Exercise 2

Let $\mathcal{T}_{f,g}$ denote the topology induced on \mathbb{R} by two given functions $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ where the target space has the ordinary topology. Is $\mathcal{T}_{f,g}$ Hausdorff when $f(x) := x^2, x \in \mathbb{R}$, and

(a) $g(x) := -x^2, x \in \mathbb{R}$? (b) $g(x) := (x - 1)^2, x \in \mathbb{R}$?

(Remember to prove your answer.)

Exercise 3

The graph of a function $f: X \to Y$ is defined as the following subset of $X \times Y$

$$\mathcal{G}(f) := \{ (x, f(x)) \mid x \in X \} .$$

Suppose that $f: X \to Y$ is continuous and let $\mathcal{G}(f)$ have the relative topology inherited from the product space $X \times Y$. Show that $\mathcal{G}(f)$ is homeomorphic to X.

Exercise 4

Let X, Y and Z be topological spaces. Show that $X \times Y \times Z \approx (X \times Y) \times Z$.

(Alternative, more general, version of the exercise: An analogous result holds for general product spaces $X = \prod_{j \in J} X_j$ and partitions K_{α} , $\alpha \in A$, of J into disjoint nonempty subsets such that $\bigcup_{\alpha \in A} K_{\alpha} = J$. Formulate and prove this result.)

(Continues...)

Exercise 5

Let X be a topological space. Show that the collection of all continuous functions $f: X \to X$ induces the original topology on X.

Exercise 6* (bonus exercise)

Weak-* topology

Let E be a real normed space and denote its dual space by E^* . $(E^*$ is defined as the collection of all continuous linear maps $E \to \mathbb{R}$.) For any $x \in E$, the formula $f_x(\varphi) := \varphi(x), \varphi \in E^*$, defines a function $f_x : E^* \to \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, the family of functions $(f_x)_{x \in E}$ induces a topology on E^* from the ordinary topology on \mathbb{R} . This induced topology is called the *weak-* topology* (suom. *heikko tähtitopologia*).

- (a) Show that the weak-* topology is always Hausdorff. (*Hint:* Exercise 1.)
- (b) Consider some topological space X and a map g : X → E*. Show that g is weak-* continuous if and only if the functions t → (g(t))(x) from X to ℝ are continuous in the ordinary topology for all x ∈ E. (*Hint:* Universality.)
- (c) If $r > 0, F \subset E$, and $h: F \to \mathbb{R}$, define

$$B_F(h,r) := \{\varphi \in E^* \mid |\varphi(x) - h(x)| < r \text{ for all } x \in F\},\$$

and $\mathcal{B} := \{B_F(h,r) | r > 0, F \subset E, F \text{ finite, } h : F \to \mathbb{R}\}$. Show that the collection \mathcal{B} is a base for the weak-* topology on E^* . (*Hint:* Theorems 2.4 and 6.8.)

Remark 1: Despite the similarities in (c) to the definition of metric topologies, the weak-* topology is typically *not* metrizable.

Remark 2: It has been proven in the Topology I textbook (Lause 15.21) that

$$\|\varphi\|_{E^*} := \sup_{x \in E, \|x\| \le 1} |\varphi(x)|$$

defines a norm on the vector space E^* . The metric topology determined by this norm is called the *norm topology* on E^* . As explained in the course textbook at 6.4.3, each of the functions $f_x, x \in E$, is actually linear and bounded in the above norm. Thus every f_x is continuous when E^* is endowed with the norm topology. This implies that the weak-* topology is always coarser than the norm topology on E^* .