

Logic I

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Helsinki

Spring 2015

Exercises 5

Read chapters 1.10–1.11 on the soundness of natural deduction and on semantic trees.

1.
 - (a) How would you prove that a given deduction exists?
 - (b) How would you prove that a given deduction does not exist?
 - (c) Prove that $\{(p_0 \wedge p_1) \rightarrow p_2\} \not\vdash (p_0 \rightarrow p_2) \wedge (p_1 \rightarrow p_2)$.
 - (d) Prove that $\{(p_0 \rightarrow p_2) \vee (p_1 \rightarrow p_2)\} \vdash (p_0 \wedge p_1) \rightarrow p_2$.

2. Is it possible to deduce the formula $((p_0 \wedge p_1) \rightarrow \neg p_0) \rightarrow (\neg p_0 \vee p_1)$ with natural deduction? Give a proof for your answer.

3. Is it possible to deduce the formula $\neg p_0 \vee p_1$ from the formula $p_0 \rightarrow (p_1 \vee \neg p_0)$ with natural deduction? Give a proof for your answer.

4. Is it possible to deduce the formula $p_2 \rightarrow \neg(p_0 \wedge p_1)$ from the formula $(p_0 \rightarrow \neg p_2) \vee (\neg p_1 \rightarrow \neg p_2)$ with natural deduction? Give a proof for your answer.

5. Give a semantic proof for the formulas
 - (a) $(A \vee (B \rightarrow C)) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow (\neg A \rightarrow C))$ and
 - (b) $(A \wedge (B \vee C)) \rightarrow ((A \wedge B) \vee C)$.

6. Use a semantic tree to find a valuation v for which $v((p_0 \wedge p_1) \rightarrow p_2) \rightarrow ((p_0 \rightarrow p_2) \wedge (p_1 \rightarrow p_2)) = 1$. Why does this not contradict 1(c) above?