

# Semigroups of Delay eq, Solutions of

① Let  $f \in \mathcal{X} = C_0, BUC$  or  $L^p, 1 \leq p < \infty$ ,  
and  $\{\mu_t\} \subseteq C_0^*(\mathbb{R}) = \mathcal{M}$ , and define

$$T(t)f := f * \mu_t \quad (t \geq 0)$$

Firstly, when is  $f \mapsto f * \mu$  a bounded operator?

Lemma:  $\|f * \mu\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \|\mu\|$ ,  $f \in L^p, 1 \leq p < \infty$ .

Pf:  $p = \infty$  is clear. For  $1 \leq p < \infty$  we can assume  $\|\mu\| = 1$ , and then use Jensen's ineq.:

$$\begin{aligned} \int dx | \int d\mu_t(y) f(x-y) |^p &\leq \int dx ( \int d\mu_t(y) |f(x-y)| )^p \\ &\stackrel{(J)}{\leq} \int dx ( \int d\mu_t(y) |f(x-y)|^p ) \\ &= \|f\|_p^p \|\mu_t\| = \|f\|_p^p \quad \text{Done.} \end{aligned}$$

Lemma instantly implies  $T(t) \in \mathcal{B}(L^p)$  for all  $p$ .

It's also easy to see that  $T(t)BUC \subseteq BUC$  so  $T(t) \in \mathcal{B}(BUC)$ .

Finally one can check that  $T(t)C_c \subseteq C_c$ , so that

$T(t)C_0 = T(t)\overline{C_c} \subseteq C_0$  too, and thus  $T(t) \in \mathcal{B}(C_0)$ .

Next, when does  $T(t+s) = T(t)T(s)$  hold?

Note that the Schwartz class  $\mathcal{S}$  is a subset of  $\mathcal{E}$  for each choice of  $\mathcal{E}$ . Therefore if  $T$  is a semigroup, then for all  $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}$  holds

$$\varphi * \mu_{t+s} = \varphi * \mu_t * \mu_s \quad \|\cdot\| = \hat{\cdot}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \hat{\varphi} \hat{\mu}_{t+s} = \hat{\varphi} \hat{\mu}_t \hat{\mu}_s$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \hat{\mu}_{t+s} = \hat{\mu}_t \hat{\mu}_s, \quad (t, s \geq 0).$$

Then if we assume measurability of mappings

$t \mapsto \hat{\mu}_t(p)$ , for each fixed  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ , it's well known

fact that  $\hat{\mu}_t(p) = e^{+tw(p)}$  for some measurable  $w: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

But note that Fourier-T of a finite measure is a

bounded func. so  $\sup_p \operatorname{Re} w(p) < \infty$ .

Hence, a necessary cond. for the family  $\{\mu_t\}$  is

$$\boxed{\mu_t = (e^{+w})^{\vee} \quad t \geq 0}$$

for a measurable  $w: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\sup \operatorname{Re} w < \infty$ .

But once we have this explicit candidate it's easy to check that the above cond. is also sufficient:

$$\mu_t * \mu_s = (e^{+w})^{\vee} * (e^{+w})^{\vee} = \underbrace{(e^{+tw} \cdot e^{+sw})^{\vee}}_{e^{+(t+s)w}} = \mu_{t+s}. \quad \text{Done}$$

Ex 2: When is  $T$  strongly cont. on  $\mathcal{X}$ ?

As always, S.C. is enough to prove on a dense set.

Schwartz class  $\mathcal{S}$  is dense in  $L^p$ ,  $p < \infty$ , and on  $(C_0, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ , but not on  $L^\infty$  nor  $BUC(\mathbb{R})$ .

Case  $L^p$ ,  $2 \leq p < \infty$ :

Riesz-Thorin Theorem implies that for  $p \in [1, 2]$   $\exists M_p$  s.t.

$$\|F\|_q \leq M_p \|f\|_p \quad (f \in L^p).$$

Now let  $f \in \mathcal{S}$  so that  $f * u_t \in \mathcal{S}$  too, meaning we can take it's  $\widehat{F}$ -transform  $\widehat{F}u_t \in \mathcal{S}$  and apply R-T. to it in the following way: Let  $p \in [2, \infty]$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f * u_t - f\|_p &\leq M_p \|\widehat{F}u_t - f\|_q \\ &= M_p \|\widehat{F}(u_t - 1)\|_q \\ &= M_p \|\widehat{f} |e^{tw} - 1|\|_q \quad (t \geq 0). \end{aligned}$$

Now, as  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,  $|e^{tw} - 1| \rightarrow 0$  pointwise, and is bounded  $|e^{tw} - 1| \leq e^{t \sup \operatorname{Re} w} + 1$ . Since  $\widehat{f} \in L^q$ , we can use DCT to infer

$$\exists \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|f * u_t - f\|_p = 0,$$

proving strong cont. on the set  $\mathcal{S}$ .

... Ex 2. As  $T$  is dense in spaces  $L^p$ ,  $p < \infty$  and  $(C_0, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ , we have proved

$T$  is S.C. on  $L^p$ ,  $p \in [2, \infty)$  and on  $(C_0, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ .

As for the spaces  $L^\infty$  and BUC, I must admit I don't know. For what it's worth, I say I suspect strong cont. on BUC but not on  $L^\infty$ .

Case  $L^p$ ,  $1 < p \leq 2$

For  $2 \leq p < \infty$ , space  $L^p$  is  $\ast$ -reflexive.

Thus we know by general theory that

$$(L^p)^{\circ} = (L^p)^{\ast} = L^q,$$

and  $T^{\circ} = T^{\ast}$  is strongly cont. Since, it's easy to check,  $T^{\ast}$  acts like

$$T^{\ast}g(x) = \int_{x+y}^x g(y) dy \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}, g \in L^q),$$

the original  $T(\ast)$  must also be S.C. on  $L^q$ .

$\therefore T$  is S.C. on  $L^p$ ,  $1 < p \leq 2$ .

We also note that  $(L^p)^{\circ} = L^q$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ .

## Case $L^1$

By well known fundamental results, S.C. will follow from weak cont.

Let, then  $f \in L^1$  and  $g \in L^{1*} = L^\infty$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f * \mu_t - f \mid g \rangle &:= \int dx g(x) \left( \int dy \mu_t(y) (f(x-y) - f(x)) \right) \\ &= \int dy \mu_t(y) \underbrace{\int dx g(x) (f(x-y) - f(x))}_{g(\tau_y f - f)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g \in L^\infty$  is viewed as a distribution acting like

$$g(\varphi) := \int g(x) \varphi(x), \quad \varphi \in L^1.$$

It's sufficiently clear that  $y \mapsto \underline{g(\tau_y f - f)} =: \phi(y)$  is cont. and 0 at  $y=0$ .

Now, since  $\hat{\mu}_t = e^{tw} \rightarrow 1$  pointwise, we expect that  $\mu_t \rightarrow * \delta$  in some sense. But for that we need to

assume the family  $\{\mu_t\}$  is tight, meaning that

$\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists K_\varepsilon$  compact s.t.  $\mu_t(K_\varepsilon^c) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $t \geq 0$ .

This extra assumption then allows us to assume the func.  $f$  is compactly supported (which it is not, but we may now assume that, for simplicity)

Then both  $\mu_t$  and also  $\phi$  have Fourier transforms which are functions and we can manipulate

$$\int d\mu_t(y) \phi(y) = \int d\hat{\mu}_t \hat{\phi}$$
$$= \int dp e^{i\omega(p)} \hat{\phi}(p)$$

$$\xrightarrow{\text{OCT}} \int dp \hat{\phi}(p)$$
$$= \hat{\phi}(0)$$
$$= \phi(0) = 0.$$

Thus  $T$  is weakly, and therefore strongly, continuous. Done.

Ex 8  $\mathcal{X}^0$  for  $C_0$  and  $L^1$ .

$C_0^* = \mathcal{M} =$  "finite measures"

$$\cong \text{NBV}(\mathbb{R}) = \{f \in \text{BV}(\mathbb{R}) : \lim_{x \rightarrow -\infty} f(x) = 0\}.$$

I'm fairly confident that  $\mathcal{X}^0 \cong L^1 \text{d}\mu = \text{AC}$ ,  
but all I can really prove is that  $T^*$  is S.C. on  $L^1 \text{d}\mu$ .

$T^*$  is S.C. on  $L^1 \text{d}\mu \cong L^1$ .

We regard  $C_0^*$  as NBV so that  $T^*$  acts on functions:

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(g) &= g \tilde{\mu}_+ \\ &= (d\mu_+)_g (x + g). \quad (g \in \text{NBV}). \end{aligned}$$

It's quick to check that  $g \tilde{\mu}_+ \in \text{NBV}$  and that

$$\begin{aligned} d(T^*(g)) &= d(g \tilde{\mu}_+) \\ &= (g \tilde{\mu}_+) dx. \end{aligned}$$

If  $g \in \text{AC}$  ( $d\mu = g' dx$ ).

Therefore, since  $\|F\|_{\text{NBV}} = \|F'\|_{L^1}$  whenever  $F \in \text{AC}$ ,

we see

$$\|T^*(g) - g\|_{\text{NBV}} = \|g \tilde{\mu}_+ - g'\|_{L^1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0$$

by the S.C. on  $L^1$ .  $\therefore T^*$  is S.C.  $L^1 \cong \text{AC}$

Finally, I suspect  $(L^1)^0 = BUC$ , but, once again, I fail to give rigorous justification.

It seems, at least when  $w$  is not bounded, that  $T^*$  is not S.C. on  $L^\infty \setminus BUC$  but on  $BUC$  we indeed have:

$T_*$  and  $T_*$  is S.C. on  $BUC$  :

Let  $f \in BUC(\mathbb{R})$ . Then

$$\|f * \mu_x - f\|_\infty = \sup_{\|g\|_1 \leq 1} |\langle f * \mu_x - f, g \rangle|$$

$$= \sup \left| \int dx g(x) \int d\mu_x(y) (f(x-y) - f(x)) \right|$$

$$\stackrel{\text{(Fubini)}}{=} \sup \left| \int d\mu_x(y) g(\tau_y f - f) \right|$$

$$\leq \int d\mu_x(y) \|g\|_1 \|\tau_y f - f\|_\infty$$

$$\leq \int d\mu_x(y) \|\tau_y f - f\|_\infty$$

Now, translation is cont on  $BUC$  (contrast with  $L^0$ !), so that, by the arguments identical to above, we get

$$\exists \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \int d\mu_x(y) \|\tau_y f - f\|_\infty = 0. \text{ Done.}$$



Ex 4: Basic key observation is to note that, for each  $x$ ,

$$C_0 \ni f \mapsto Tf(x)$$

defines a bounded linear functional on  $C_0$ .

By Riesz, we know then that

$$\boxed{Tf(x) = \mu_x(f)} \quad (f \in C_0)$$

for some family  $\{\mu_x\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$  of measures.

Now, the fact that

$$T(G(y)f)(x) = (G(y)Tf)(x) = (Tf)(x+y)$$

translates into

$$\boxed{\mu_x \circ G(y) = \mu_{x+y}} \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R})$$

In particular, if  $x=0$ ,  $\mu_0 \circ G(y) = \mu_y$ , i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} (Tf)(x) &= \mu_x(f) \\ &= \mu_0(G(x)f) \\ &= \int \mu_0(y) f(x+y) \end{aligned}$$

$$= \tilde{\mu}_0 * f(x) \quad , \quad \text{where } \tilde{\mu}(E) := \mu(-E) \text{ . Done.}$$