

[P55]

① Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$  be a sentence defining infinity i.e. for all  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{M} \models \phi \Leftrightarrow |\mathcal{M}|$  is infinite.

Consider now  $\neg\phi$ . We claim that for no  $\psi$  of the form

$$\forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n \exists y_1 \dots \exists y_m (\theta_1 \wedge \theta_2) \quad (1)$$

where  $\theta_1$  is a conjunction of dep.atoms and  $\theta_2 \in \mathcal{FO}$ ,

it holds that  $\neg\phi \equiv^* \psi$ . Assume to the contrary that such

$\psi$  exists. Then  $\mathcal{M} \models \neg\psi \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \models \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \theta_1 \wedge \forall y_1 \dots \forall y_m$

$(\neg\theta_1 \vee \neg\theta_2) \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \models_{x'} \neg\theta_1 \vee \neg\theta_2$  where  $X' := \{\phi\} [F/\bar{x}] [M^m/\bar{y}]$

for some  $F: \{\phi\} \rightarrow M^n \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \models_{x'} \neg\theta_2$  where  $X' \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{M} \models \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n$

$\forall y_1 \dots \forall y_m \neg\theta_2$ .

F (For (\*) note that  $\theta_1 = \bigwedge_{i=1}^l d_i$  where each  $d_i$  is a dep.atom. Hence  $\neg\theta_1 = \bigvee_{i=1}^l \neg d_i$  is of type  $X$  iff  $X = \phi$ .)

Now by the counter assumption  $\neg\neg\phi \equiv \neg\psi$  when

$$\phi \equiv \neg\neg\phi \equiv \neg\psi \equiv \exists x_1 \dots \exists x_n \forall y_1 \dots \forall y_m \neg\theta_2 \in \mathcal{FO}.$$

Since infinity is not definable in  $\mathcal{FO}$ , this is a contradiction.

Hence the counter assumption is false and no such  $\psi$  exists.  $\square$

[P55]

in NNF and with no  $\forall$

(2) Let  $\phi$  be a sentence of  $D$  and let  $\phi^* \in FO$  be obtained from  $\phi$  by replacing each dependence atom with  $\perp$ . We show by structural induction that for all assignments  $s$ , and for all formulas  $\phi$  of the above form,

$$M \models_{SS} \phi \iff M \models_{ST} \phi^*$$

(i)  $\phi$  atomic or negated atomic: If  $\phi = \alpha$  or  $\phi = \neg \alpha$  where  $\alpha \in FO$  is atomic, then  $\phi^* = \phi$  and the claim clearly holds.

If  $\phi = d$  where  $d$  is a dep. atom, then  $\phi^* = \perp$  and for all  $s$ ,  $M \not\models_{SS} d$  and  $M \models_{ST} \perp$ . If  $\phi = \neg d$ , then  $\phi^* = \neg \perp$  and for all  $s$ ,  $M \not\models_{SS} \neg d$  and  $M \models_{ST} \neg \perp$ .

(ii)  $\phi = \psi_0 \vee \psi_1$ : Then for all  $s$ ,  $M \models_{SS} \phi \iff M \models_{SS} \psi_0$  or  $M \models_{SS} \psi_1$ .  $\iff M \models_{SS} \psi_0^*$  or  $M \models_{SS} \psi_1^* \iff M \models_{SS} \phi^*$ .

(iii)  $\phi = \psi_0 \wedge \psi_1$ : As case (ii).

(iv)  $\phi = \exists x \psi$ : Then for all  $s$ ,  $M \models_{SS} \phi \iff$  for some  $a \in M$   $M \models_{SS(a/x)} \psi \iff M \models_{SS(a/x)} \psi^* \iff M \models_{SS} \phi^*$ .

This concludes the induction proof. Hence for all  $M$ ,

$$M \models \phi \iff M \models_{ST} \phi \iff M \models_{ST} \phi^* \iff M \models \phi^*$$

[Also then by Locality (L.3.27), for all  $M$  and  $x$ ,  $M \models_x \phi \iff M \models_x \phi^*$ ]

□

$$(3) \quad \models (x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv x_n \frac{1}{x_1 \dots x_{n-1}} \cdot \dots$$

" $\Rightarrow$ " Assume  $M \models_x \equiv (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and let  $s, s' \in K$  be s.t.

$$s(x_1 \dots x_{n-1}) = s'(x_1 \dots x_{n-1}). \text{ By the as. } s(x_n) = s'(x_n),$$

when we can choose  $s'' := s$ .

" $\Leftarrow$ " Assume  $M \models_x x_n \frac{1}{x_1 \dots x_{n-1}} \cdot \dots$  and let  $s, s' \in K$  be s.t.

$$s(x_1 \dots x_{n-1}) = s'(x_1 \dots x_{n-1}). \text{ Then by the as. there is } s'' \in K$$

$$\text{s.t. } s''(x_1 \dots x_n) = s(x_1 \dots x_n) \text{ and } s''(x_1 \dots x_n) = s'(x_1 \dots x_n).$$

$$\text{Then } s(x_n) = s'(x_n). \quad \square$$

(4) Let  $S$  be an  $n$ -ary relation symbol encoding term  $X$

$$\text{where } \text{Dom}(X) = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} = \text{Fr}(\phi).$$

• If  $\phi = x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_l} \equiv x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_l}$ , then we define

$$T_{\text{ind}} := \forall x_1 \dots x_n \exists y_1 \dots y_n \left[ S(x_1 \dots x_n) \rightarrow \left( S(y_1 \dots y_n) \wedge \bigwedge_{k=1}^l x_{i_k} = y_{i_k} \right) \right].$$

• If  $\phi = \bar{b} \frac{1}{\bar{a}} \bar{c}$ , then we define

$$T_{\text{ind}} := \forall x_1 \dots x_n \forall y_1 \dots y_n \exists z_1 \dots z_n \left[ \left( S(\bar{x}) \wedge S(\bar{y}) \wedge \bar{a} = \bar{a}_y \right) \rightarrow \left( S(\bar{z}) \wedge \bar{a}_z = \bar{a} \wedge \bar{b}_z = \bar{b} \wedge \bar{c}_z = \bar{c}_y \right) \right]$$

where  $\bar{a}_y$  is obtained from  $\bar{a}$  by substituting  $x_i \mapsto y_i$ ,

for  $i=1, \dots, n$ . ( $\bar{a}_z, \bar{b}_z, \bar{c}_z$  defined analogously by substituting

$x_i \mapsto z_i$ ).

$\square$

⑤ We claim

$$\bigwedge_{i=1}^{k-1} (t_i) \rightsquigarrow (t_k) \equiv (t_1, \dots, t_k).$$

" $\Rightarrow$ " Assume  $M \not\equiv_X \emptyset$  and let  $s, s' \in X$  be s.t.

$$t_1^M \langle s \rangle = t_1^M \langle s' \rangle, \dots, t_{k-1}^M \langle s \rangle = t_{k-1}^M \langle s' \rangle.$$

Then  $M \not\equiv_{\{s, s'\}} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{k-1} (t_i)$  when by the assumption

$$M \not\equiv_{\{s, s'\}} (t_k). \text{ Hence } t_k^M \langle s \rangle = t_k^M \langle s' \rangle \text{ when}$$

we obtain that  $M \equiv_X (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ .

" $\Leftarrow$ " Assume  $M \equiv_X (t_1, \dots, t_k)$ , and let  $Y \subseteq X$  be s.t.

$$M \not\equiv_Y \bigwedge_{i=1}^{k-1} (t_i). \text{ If } Y = \emptyset, \text{ then } M \not\equiv_Y (t_k).$$

If not, then take  $s \in Y$  and define  $a := t_k^M \langle s \rangle$ .

Let  $s' \in Y$  be arbitrary. Since (by Closure Test)

$$M \not\equiv_{\{s, s'\}} \bigwedge_{i=1}^{k-1} (t_i), \text{ we obtain that } t_1^M \langle s \rangle = t_1^M \langle s' \rangle, \dots,$$

$$t_{k-1}^M \langle s \rangle = t_{k-1}^M \langle s' \rangle. \text{ Then by the assumption, } t_k^M \langle s \rangle = a$$

$$t_k^M \langle s' \rangle = t_k^M \langle s \rangle = a. \text{ Hence for all } s' \in Y,$$

$$t_k^M \langle s' \rangle = a \text{ when we conclude that } M \equiv_Y (t_k). \quad \square$$

⑥ Define  $\psi := \emptyset \rightarrow \perp$ .

Now  $M \Vdash_{\{\emptyset\}} \psi \Leftrightarrow$  for all  $\gamma \subseteq \{\emptyset\}$ : if  $M \Vdash_{\gamma} \emptyset$ , then

$M \Vdash_{\gamma} \perp \Leftrightarrow M \not\Vdash_{\{\emptyset\}} \emptyset$ .

For this, note the empty set property is preserved for

$\mathcal{D}(\rightarrow)$  also, that is, for all  $\theta \in \mathcal{D}(\rightarrow)$ ,  $M \Vdash_{\emptyset} \theta$ .  $\square$

---