

(1) For example,

$$\phi := \exists c \forall x \exists y \forall a \exists b \left[ \underbrace{x=c}_{(1)} \vee \underbrace{(x \neq c \wedge \exists a \exists b)}_{(2)} \wedge \underbrace{a=b}_{(3)} \wedge \underbrace{x=a \rightarrow y=b}_{(4)} \wedge \underbrace{y=a \rightarrow x=b}_{(5)} \wedge \underbrace{x \neq y}_{(6)} \wedge \underbrace{y \neq c}_{(7)} \right]$$

$\phi$  describes that we can choose one element  $c \in M$  such that in  $M \setminus \{c\}$  there is a pairing function i.e. a function  $f: M \setminus \{c\} \rightarrow M \setminus \{c\}$  s.t.  $\forall x \in M \setminus \{c\}: f(x) \neq x$  and  $f(f(x)) = x$ . Now  $x \mapsto y$  is this function. (3) says that  $a \mapsto b$  is also a function. (4) says that  $x \mapsto y$  and  $a \mapsto b$  are the same functions. (5) ensures that  $f(f(x)) = x$  and (6) that  $f(x) \neq x$  and (7) that  $f(x) \in M \setminus \{c\}$ .

□

(2) Let

$$\psi := \exists a \exists b \forall x \exists y \forall x' \exists y' \left( \underbrace{a \neq b}_{(1)} \wedge \underbrace{(y=a \vee y=b)}_{(2)} \wedge \underbrace{(x', y')}_{(3)} \wedge \underbrace{x=x' \rightarrow y=y'}_{(4)} \wedge \underbrace{\exists x x' \rightarrow y \neq y'}_{(5)} \right)$$

set of vertices  
↓

For  $G$  s.t.  $|V| \geq 2$ ,  $G \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow G$  is 2-colorable.

Here (1) says that  $a$  and  $b$  are two distinct elements of  $V$ , intended to encode subsets  $A, B \subseteq V$  s.t.  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ ,  $A \cup B = V$ ,  $\forall x x' \in V: (A \ni x \wedge A \ni x' \rightarrow \exists E \langle x, x' \rangle) \wedge (B \ni x \wedge B \ni x' \rightarrow \exists E \langle x, x' \rangle)$ . (2) now says that  $A \cup B = V$ , (1) ensures that  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , (3) that  $x' \mapsto y'$  is a function and (4) that is the same function that  $x \mapsto y$ . (5) describes that if  $E \langle x, x' \rangle$ , then  $\{x, x'\} \not\subseteq A$  and  $\{x, x'\} \not\subseteq B$ .

Since  $\psi$  works only for graphs with at least 2 vertices, we define

$$\phi := \forall x \forall y \ x=y \vee (\exists x \exists y \ x \neq y \wedge \psi) \quad \text{when for all } G,$$

$G \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow G$  is 2-colorable.

□

③ We show that if  $\models \phi \rightarrow \psi$ , then  $\begin{cases} \phi \Rightarrow \psi, & (i) \\ \neg \psi \Rightarrow \neg \phi. & (ii) \end{cases}$

Assume that  $\models \phi \rightarrow \psi$  (1). Then

(i) Assume that  $M \models_x \phi$ . By (1)  $M \models_x \neg \phi \vee \psi$ . Let  $\forall u, z = x$

be s.t.  $M \models_y \neg \phi$  and  $M \models_z \psi$ . By Closure test  $M \models_y \phi$ .

Since  $\theta \Rightarrow \theta^f$  (P 340),  $M \models_y (\neg \phi)^f$  and  $M \models_y \phi^f$ . Also

$(\neg \phi)^f = \neg \phi^f$ . If now  $\not\models \phi$ , by (3.32) we would find

$s \in Y$  s.t.  $M \models_s \phi^f$  and  $M \models_s \neg \phi^f$ . This cannot be the

case; therefore  $\not\models \phi$  when  $z = x$  when  $M \models_x \psi$ .

(ii) Assume that  $M \models_x \neg \psi$ . By (1) there are  $\forall u, z = x$

s.t.  $M \models_y \neg \phi$  and  $M \models_z \psi$ . Analogously to the previous

case,  $z = \phi$  when  $M \models_x \neg \psi$ .  $\square$

④ Let  $\alpha := P(x_0)$  when  $\phi = \exists x_0 \alpha$ .

Now  $\text{Tr}_{\alpha}(R) = \forall x_0 (R(x_0) \rightarrow P(x_0))$ ,

and  $\text{Tr}_{\alpha} \phi = \exists R (\text{Tr}_{\alpha}(R) \wedge T \rightarrow \exists x_0 R(x_0))$ .  $\square$

Since in  $\text{Tr}_{\alpha}$ ,  $S$  is 0-ary we replace  $S$  with  $T$ .

(Note that now  $M \models_{\{ \emptyset \}} \text{Tr}_{\alpha} \phi \Leftrightarrow M \models \phi$

but  $M \models_{\emptyset} \text{Tr}_{\alpha} \phi \not\Leftrightarrow M \models \phi$  since  $M \models_{\emptyset} \text{Tr}_{\alpha} \phi$

always.)

⑤ We assume that  $x_n$  appears free in  $\psi$ . Otherwise

$$\forall x_n \phi \equiv \phi \in \Sigma'.$$

Then  $\forall x_n \phi \equiv \exists P \forall x_n \psi'$  where  $P$  is  $k+1$ -ary

and  $\psi'$  is obtained from  $\psi$  by replacing each

$$R t_1 \dots t_k \text{ with } P t_1 \dots t_k x_n.$$

Proof:

$$\forall x_n \phi \Rightarrow \exists P \forall x_n \psi'$$

Assume that  $M \not\models \forall x_n \phi$ . Then for all  $a \in M$  there is  $R_a \subseteq M^k$

$$\text{s.t. } (M, R_a) \not\models_{(a/x_n)} \psi. \text{ We let } P^M := \bigcup_{a \in M} R_a \times \{a\}.$$

when for all  $a \in M$ ,  $(M, P^M) \not\models_{(a/x_n)} \psi'$ . For this, it

suffices to prove by structural induction that for all

$a \in M$ , for all  $s$  and for all  $\theta \in FO^{(*)}$ ,

$$(M, R_a) \not\models_{s(a/x_n)} \theta \Leftrightarrow (M, P^M) \not\models_{s(a/x_n)} \theta'$$

where  $\theta'$  is obtained from  $\theta$  as  $\psi'$  is from  $\psi$ .

(other cases are straight forward)

In the atomic case where  $\theta = R t_1 \dots t_k$ , we have

$$\left( t_i \langle s(a/x_n) \rangle, \dots, t_k \langle s(a/x_n) \rangle \right) \in R_a \Leftrightarrow \left( t_i \langle s(a/x_n) \rangle, \dots, t_k \langle s(a/x_n) \rangle, a \right) \in P^M.$$

By the induction proof we obtain that  $(M, P^M) \not\models_{(a/x_n)} \psi'$

for all  $a \in M$ . Hence  $M \not\models \exists P \forall x_n \psi'$ .

(\*) Here we assume that  $\theta$  is such that  $x_n$  does not occur bound in  $\theta$ , (since wlog this holds for  $\psi$ ).

⑤ " $\exists P \forall x_n \psi' \Rightarrow \forall x_n \phi$ "

contra

Assume that  $M \models \exists P \forall x_n \psi'$ . Then there is  $P^* \subseteq M^{k+1}$

s.t. for all  $a \in M$ ,  $(M, P^*) \models_{(a/k_n)} \psi'$ . Now for a

$a \in M$ , we choose  $R_a := \{(b_1, \dots, b_k) \in M^k : (b_1, \dots, b_k, a) \in P^*\}$ .

We need to show that for all  $a \in M$ ,  $(M, R_a) \models_{(a/k_n)} \psi$ .

This follows from the induction proof of the previous

page.  $\square$

⑥ Let  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$  and assume that  $\phi$  is true in

arbitrarily large finite models or in a model of infinite

cardinality. Let  $\exists P_1 \dots \exists P_k \psi \in \Sigma_1'$  be equivalent to  $\phi$ .

Then (1) is true for  $\exists P_1 \dots \exists P_k \psi$  and also for  $\psi$ .

Then <sup>by FO L-S,</sup> for all cardinalities  $\kappa$ , there exists  $M \models$

$(M, P_1^M, \dots, P_k^M) \models \psi$  s.t.  $|M| = \kappa$  when

$M \models \exists P_1 \dots \exists P_k \psi$  and  $M \models \phi$ .  $\square$