

Stochastic analysis, 10. exercises

Janne Junnila

April 11, 2013

Exercise 1 Consider a Brownian motion $(B_t : t \geq 0)$ in the filtration $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}$, which means that B_t is \mathbb{F} -adapted, time continuous, and for each $0 \leq s \leq t$, the conditional distribution of the increment $(B_t - B_s)$ given \mathcal{F}_s is a Gaussian with zero mean and variance $(t - s)$.

(a) Show that the Brownian motion has the Markov property: $\forall s \leq t$ and bounded Borel function $f(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_P(f(B_t) | \mathcal{F}_s)(\omega) &= E_P(f(B_t) | \sigma(B_s))(\omega) = E_P(f(x + B_t - B_s)) \Big|_{x=B_s(\omega)} \\ &= E_P(f(x + B_{t-s})) \Big|_{x=B_s(\omega)} = \varphi(B_s(\omega)) \end{aligned}$$

for some bounded Borel-measurable function $\varphi(x)$.

(b) Show also that for $0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_d$ and $f(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ bounded and Borel measurable,

$$\begin{aligned} E_P(f(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_d}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_0})(\omega) &= E_P(f(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_d}) | \sigma(B_{t_0}))(\omega) \\ &= E_P(f(x + B_{t_1-t_0}, \dots, x + B_{t_d-t_0})) \Big|_{x=B_{t_0}(\omega)} = \psi(B_{t_0}(\omega)) \end{aligned}$$

for some Borel measurable function $\psi(x)$.

(c) Let $\tau(\omega)$ be an \mathbb{F} -stopping time taking finitely many values. Show first the **strong Markov property** of Brownian motion: for $f(x)$ bounded measurable function,

$$E_P(f(B_{\tau+t}) | \mathcal{F}_\tau)(\omega) = E_P(f(B_{\tau+t}) | \sigma(B_\tau))(\omega) = E_P(f(x + B_t)) \Big|_{x=B_\tau(\omega)} = \varphi(B_\tau(\omega)).$$

(d) Show that $(B_{\tau+t} - B_\tau) \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathcal{F}_\tau$, and the conditional distribution of $(B_{\tau+t} - B_\tau)$ given \mathcal{F}_τ is Gaussian with zero mean and variance t . This means that at every stopping time the Brownian motion restarts from the position B_τ independently of the past.

(e) Show the strong Markov property for a general \mathbb{F} -stopping time τ . Assume that the filtration \mathbb{F} is right continuous. We have shown that there is a sequence of \mathbb{F} -stopping times $\tau_n(\omega) \downarrow \tau$ approximating τ from above, with each τ_n taking only finitely many values. Note also that $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_n} \supset \mathcal{F}_\tau$.

(f) Show that if τ is an \mathbb{F} -stopping time $0 \leq t_0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_d$ and $f(x_1, \dots, x_d)$ bounded and Borel measurable,

$$\begin{aligned} E_P(f(B_{\tau+t_1}, \dots, B_{\tau+t_d}) | \mathcal{F}_\tau)(\omega) &= E_P(f(B_{\tau+t_1}, \dots, B_{\tau+t_d}) | \sigma(B_{\tau+t_0}))(\omega) \\ &= E_P(f(x + B_{t_1-t_0}, \dots, x + B_{t_d-t_0}) \Big|_{x=B_\tau(\omega)}) = \psi(B_\tau(\omega)) \end{aligned}$$

for some Borel measurable function $\psi(x)$.

Solution 1 (a) Because $B_t - B_s$ has conditional distribution $N(0, t - s)$ w.r.t. \mathcal{F}_s, B_t has conditional distribution $N(B_s(\omega), t - s)$. Therefore

$$E(f(B_t) | \mathcal{F}_s)(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-s)}} e^{-\frac{(x-B_s(\omega))^2}{t-s}} dx.$$

Similarly for a fixed ω ,

$$\begin{aligned} E(f(B_s(\omega) + B_t - B_s)) &= E(E(f(B_s(\omega) + B_t - B_s) | \mathcal{F}_s)) \\ &= E\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(B_s(\omega) + x) \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi(t-s)}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{t-s}} dx\right) \\ &= E(f(B_t) | \mathcal{F}_s)(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$E(f(B_s(\omega) + B_{t-s})) = E(E(f(B_s(\omega) + B_{t-s} - B_0) | \mathcal{F}_0)) = E(f(B_t) | \mathcal{F}_s)(\omega).$$

In particular we see that $E(f(B_t) | \mathcal{F}_s)$ is a $\sigma(B_s)$ measurable function, so that

$$E(f(B_t) | \sigma(B_s)) = E(E(f(B_t) | \mathcal{F}_s) | \sigma(B_s)) = E(f(B_t) | \mathcal{F}_s).$$

(b) We have proven the result in the case $d = 1$. Assume that we have proven the result for some $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E(f(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2}, \dots, B_{t_{d+1}}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_0})(\omega) &= E(E(f(B_{t_1}, B_{t_2}, \dots, B_{t_d}, B_{t_{d+1}}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_d}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_0})(\omega) \\ &= E(E(f(B_{t_1}(\omega'), B_{t_2}(\omega'), \dots, B_{t_d}(\omega'), B_{t_d}(\omega') + B_{t_{d+1}-t_d}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_0})(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

Letting $g(x_1, \dots, x_d) = E(f(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_d, x_d + B_{t_{d+1}-t_d}))$ we have by induction that

$$\begin{aligned} E(f(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_{d+1}}) | \mathcal{F}_{t_0})(\omega) &= E(g(B_{t_0}(\omega) + B_{t_1-t_0}, \dots, B_{t_0}(\omega) + B_{t_d-t_0})) \\ &= E(f(B_{t_0}(\omega) + B_{t_1-t_0}, \dots, B_{t_0}(\omega) + B_{t_d-t_0}, B_{t_0}(\omega) + B_{t_d-t_0} + B_{t_{d+1}-t_d})) \\ &= E(f(B_{t_0}(\omega) + B_{t_1-t_0}, \dots, B_{t_0}(\omega) + B_{t_d-t_0}, B_{t_0}(\omega) + B_{t_{d+1}-t_0})) \end{aligned}$$

(c) Assume that $A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$. We have to show that

$$\int_A f(B_{\tau+t}(\omega)) dP(\omega) = \int_A E(f(B_\tau(\omega) + B_t)) dP(\omega).$$

Let $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ be the values that τ takes on. Then we can write

$$\int_A f(B_{\tau+t}(\omega)) dP(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^n \int_{A \cap \{\tau = \tau_k\}} f(B_{\tau+t}(\omega)) dP(\omega).$$

Now $A \cap \{\tau = \tau_k\} \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau_k}$, so by (a) we get

$$\int_{A \cap \{\tau = \tau_k\}} f(B_{\tau+t}(\omega)) dP(\omega) = \int_{A \cap \{\tau = \tau_k\}} E(f(B_{\tau}(\omega) + B_t)) dP(\omega)$$

and the result follows.

(d) Suppose that $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau}$. Then for any Borel set $A \subset \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$P(F \cap \{\tau = \tau_k\} \cap \{B_{\tau+t} - B_{\tau} \in A\}) = P(F \cap \{\tau = \tau_k\})P(\{B_{\tau_k+t} - B_{\tau_k} \in A\}).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P(F \cap \{B_{\tau+t} - B_{\tau} \in A\}) &= \sum_{k=1}^n P(F \cap \{\tau = \tau_k\})P(\{B_{\tau_k+t} - B_{\tau_k} \in A\}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n P(F \cap \{\tau = \tau_k\})P(\{B_t \in A\}) \\ &= P(F)P(\{B_t \in A\}). \end{aligned}$$

Finally

$$\begin{aligned} P(\{B_{\tau+t} - B_{\tau} \in A\}) &= \sum_{k=1}^n P(\{\tau = \tau_k\} \cap \{B_{\tau_k+t} - B_{\tau_k} \in A\}) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^n P(\{\tau = \tau_k\})P(\{B_t \in A\}) \\ &= P(\{B_t \in A\}). \end{aligned}$$

The above calculation shows the independence and that $P(\{B_{\tau+t} - B_{\tau} \in A\} | \mathcal{F}_{\tau}) = P(\{B_t \in A\})$, so the conditional distribution is Gaussian with zero mean and variance t .

(e) Let now τ be a general stopping time and τ_n a sequence of stopping times approximating τ from above, with each τ_n taking only finitely many distinct values. Then for all n ,

$$E(f(B_{\tau_n+t}) | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_n})(\omega) = E(f(B_{\tau_n}(\omega) + B_t)).$$

Since τ_n approximate τ from above, $\mathcal{F}_{\tau_n} \supset \mathcal{F}_{\tau}$. Thus for any $F \in \mathcal{F}_{\tau}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_F f(B_{\tau+t}(\omega)) dP(\omega) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_F f(B_{\tau_n+t}(\omega)) dP(\omega) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_F E(f(B_{\tau_n+t}) | \mathcal{F}_{\tau_n})(\omega) dP(\omega) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_F E(f(B_{\tau_n}(\omega) + B_t)) dP(\omega) \\ &= \int_F E(f(B_{\tau}(\omega) + B_t)) dP(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $E(f(B_{\tau+t}) | \mathcal{F}_{\tau})(\omega) = E(f(B_{\tau}(\omega) + B_t))$.

(f) Analogous to what we did before...

Exercise 2 Let

$$B_t^* = \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_s$$

be the running maximum of Brownian motion.

We show that for $x > 0$, $P(B_t^* > x) = 2P(B_t > x)$.

Consider the stopping time $\tau_x = \inf\{s : B_s > x\}$ and note that $\{B_t^* > x\} = \{\tau_x < t\}$.

Consider the process

$$\tilde{B}_t = \begin{cases} B_t, & t \leq \tau_x \\ 2x - B_t, & t > \tau_x \end{cases}$$

which is Brownian motion reflected at level x .

(a) Use the strong Markov property to show that \tilde{B}_t is a Brownian motion in the filtration \mathbb{F} .

(b) Note that

$$\{B_t^* > x\} = \{B_t \geq x\} \cup \{\tilde{B}_t > x\}$$

with $\{B_t \geq x\} \cap \{\tilde{B}_t > x\} = \emptyset$, and $P(B_t = x) = 0$. Compute the probability density function of B_t^* .

(c) Compute

$$P(B_t^* > x, B_t > y).$$

(d) Compute the joint probability density of (B_t^*, B_t) .

(e) The running maximum $(B_t^* : t \geq 0)$ is not a Markov process. Show that the pair (B_t^*, B_t) is a strong Markov process.

Solution 2 (a) By the strong Markov property, $W_t = B_{\tau_x+t} - B_{\tau_x} = B_{\tau_x+t} - x$ is a Brownian motion. Similarly $-W_t$ is a Brownian motion. Now

$$\tilde{B}_t = \begin{cases} B_t, & t \leq \tau_x \\ 2x - W_t, & t > \tau_x. \end{cases}$$

This is a Brownian motion since the conditional distribution of $\tilde{B}_t - \tilde{B}_s$ is the same as the conditional distribution of the original process

$$B_t = \begin{cases} B_t, & t \leq \tau_x \\ x + W_t, & t > \tau_x. \end{cases}$$

(b) We have $P(B_t^* > x) = P(B_t > x) + P(\tilde{B}_t > x)$, so

$$P(B_t^* \leq x) = 1 - P(B_t > x) - P(\tilde{B}_t > x) = 1 - 2 \int_x^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{t}} ds.$$

This implies that the probability density function of B_t^* is

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{t}}.$$

(c)

$$\begin{aligned} P(B_t^* > x, B_t > y) &= P(\{B_t > x\} \cap \{B_t > y\}) + P(\{\tilde{B}_t > x\} \cap \{B_t > y\}) \\ &= P(\{B_t > x \vee y\}) + P(\{\tilde{B}_t > x\} \cap \{2x - \tilde{B}_t > y\}) \\ &= P(\{B_t > x \vee y\}) + P(\{x < \tilde{B}_t < 2x - y\}) \\ &= \int_{x \vee y}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2t}} ds + \int_{(x, 2x-y)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2t}} ds. \end{aligned}$$

(d) We wish to find a function $f: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_x^{\infty} \int_y^{\infty} f(u, v) dv du = \int_{x \vee y}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2t}} ds + \int_{(x, 2x-y)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2t}} ds. \quad (1)$$

Assume first that $x > y$. By differentiating (1) w.r.t. y , we get that

$$\int_x^{\infty} f(u, y) du = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(2x-y)^2}{2t}},$$

and after differentiating this w.r.t. x , we have

$$f(x, y) = \frac{2(2x-y)}{t\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(2x-y)^2}{2t}}. \quad (2)$$

Assume then that $x < y$. We can write (1) as

$$\int_y^{\infty} \int_x^v f(u, v) du + \int_v^{\infty} f(u, v) du dv = \int_y^{\infty} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2t}} ds.$$

Now if we use (2), we have

$$\int_v^{\infty} f(u, v) du = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{v^2}{2t}},$$

so it makes sense to define

$$f(x, y) := \begin{cases} \frac{2(2x-y)}{t\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(2x-y)^2}{2t}}, & \text{if } x \geq y \\ 0, & \text{if } x \leq y \end{cases}$$

and check that this satisfies (1) for $x > 0, y \in \mathbb{R}$. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_y^\infty \int_x^\infty f(u, v) du dv &= \int_y^\infty \int_{x \vee v}^\infty f(u, v) du dv \\
&= \int_y^\infty \int_{x \vee v}^\infty \frac{2(2u - v)}{t\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(2u-v)^2}{2t}} du dv \\
&= \int_y^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(v-2(x \vee v))^2}{2t}} dv \\
&= \int_y^{x \vee y} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{(v-2x)^2}{2t}} dv + \int_{x \vee y}^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{v^2}{2t}} dv \\
&= \int_{x \vee y}^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{v^2}{2t}} dv + \int_{y-2x}^{(x \vee y)-2x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2t}} ds \\
&= \int_{x \vee y}^\infty \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2t}} ds + \int_{(x, 2x-y)} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi t}} e^{-\frac{s^2}{2t}} ds.
\end{aligned}$$

(e) Let τ be a stopping time. Notice that

$$\mathbf{1}(B_{\tau+t}^* \geq x) = \mathbf{1}(B_\tau^* \geq x) + \mathbf{1}(B_\tau^* < x) \mathbf{1}(\sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} B_{\tau+s} \geq x).$$

By the strong Markov property of B_t , we thus see that

$$P(B_{\tau+t}^* \geq x, B_{\tau+t} \geq y | \mathcal{F}_\tau) = P(B_{\tau+t}^* \geq x, B_{\tau+t} \geq y | \sigma(B_\tau^*, B_\tau)).$$

Therefore the conditional distribution of $(B_{\tau+t}^*, B_{\tau+t})$ given \mathcal{F}_τ is the same as given $\sigma(B_\tau^*, B_\tau)$, which implies the strong Markov property.

Exercise 3 The same reflection principle holds for a symmetric random walk on \mathbb{Z} . Consider a filtration $\mathbb{F} = (\mathcal{F}_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ in discrete time. Let $(X_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ be an \mathbb{F} -adapted process with

$$P(X_n = 1 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = P(X_n = -1 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = 1/2$$

(which means that X_n is independent from the past) and

$$S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$$

Note that the probability law of S_n is the binomial distribution

$$P(S_n = k) = \binom{n}{k} 2^{-n}$$

Let

$$S_n^* = \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} S_k$$

be the running maximum of the random walk.

(a) Show that $(S_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ is a strong Markov process in the filtration \mathbb{F} .

(b) Compute the joint probability $P(S_n^* = \ell, S_n = k)$.

(c) Show that $(S_n^*, S_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a strong Markov process in the filtration \mathbb{F} .

Solution 3 (a) We will prove the Markov property. The strong Markov property will then follow the same way as in 1.c. Let $s < t$ and f a bounded measurable function. Then

$$P(S_t - S_s = k | \mathcal{F}_s)(\omega) = P(X_{s+1} + \dots + X_t = k | \mathcal{F}_s)(\omega) = P(X_1 + \dots + X_{t-s} = k) = P(S_{t-s} = k)$$

by independence. Hence

$$E(f(S_t) | \mathcal{F}_s)(\omega) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} f(k + S_s(\omega)) P(S_{t-s} = k) = E(f(S_s(\omega) + S_{t-s})).$$

(b) Let \tilde{S}_n be the process reflected after hitting ℓ . Then for $k \leq \ell$ (assuming $n \equiv k$ modulo 2),

$$P(S_n^* \geq \ell, S_n = k) = P(\tilde{S}_n = 2\ell - k) = P(S_n = 2\ell - k) = \binom{n}{2\ell - k} 2^{-n}.$$

Thus

$$P(S_n^* = \ell, S_n = k) = P(S_n^* \geq \ell, S_n = k) - P(S_n^* \geq \ell + 1, S_n = k) = \binom{n}{2\ell - k} 2^{-n} - \binom{n}{2\ell + 2 - k} 2^{-n}$$

(c) Completely analogous to 2.e.