1 Introduction

An example of concrete application of the Karhunen-Loève representation can be found in [3].

2 Summary of properties of the Brownian motion

• Covariance

$$Ew_t w_s = t \wedge s := R(t, s) \tag{2.1}$$

• Stationary increments: for any $t, s \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\mathbf{E} \left(w_t - w_s \right)^2 = \left| t - s \right|$$

• Characteristic function: for $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_3 \leq \cdots \leq t_m$

$$E e^{i \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \lambda_k (w_{t_{k+1}} - w_{t_k})} = \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} e^{i \lambda_k (w_{t_{k+1}} - w_{t_k})} = \prod_{k=1}^{m-1} e^{-\frac{\lambda_k^2}{2} (t_{k+1} - t_k)}$$

3 Karhunen-Loève representation of the Wiener process

The Karhunen-Loève representation provides us with a convenient *deterministic* functional orthonormal basis $\{\psi_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, which will allow us to write the Brownian motion

$$w_t: \Omega \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{R}$$

as a series

$$w_t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \psi_n(t)$$

Randomness is encoded in the coefficients

$$c_n = \int_0^T \frac{dt}{T} \,\psi_n(t) w_t$$

which need to form a sequence $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of independent Gaussian random variable with zero average and variance determined by the Karhunen-Loève representation.

Let us consider the space of the Lebesgue square integrable $\mathbb{L}^2([0,T])$ real functions on [0,T]. This space is an Hilbert space with respect to the scalar product:

$$\langle f,g \rangle := \int_0^T \frac{dt}{T} f(t)g(t) \qquad f,g \in \mathbb{L}^2([0,T])$$

Proposition 3.1. *The covariance* R *of the Brownian motion* (2.1) *defines the* kernel *of an operator mapping* $\mathbb{L}^2([0,T])$ *into itself:*

$$g(t) = \int_0^T \frac{dt}{T} R(t, s) f(s) := R[f](t)$$

i.e.

$$f \in \mathbb{L}^2([0,T]) \to g \equiv R[f] \in \mathbb{L}^2([0,T])$$

Proof. The following chain of equalities holds by definition

$$||g||_{2}^{2} := \langle R[f], R[f] \rangle = \int_{0}^{T} \frac{dt}{T} \left[\int_{0}^{T} \frac{ds}{T} R(t, s) f(s) \right] \left[\int_{0}^{T} \frac{ds'}{T} R(t, s') f(s') \right]$$

where

$$\int_0^T \frac{ds}{T} R(t,s) f(s) = \int_0^t \frac{ds}{T} s f(s) + t \int_t^T \frac{ds}{T} f(s)$$

For the first integral we have the bound

$$\left| \int_0^t \frac{ds}{T} \, s \, f(s) \right| \le \frac{t}{T} \int_0^t ds \, |f(s)| \tag{3.1}$$

using Lyapunov inequality we can then write

$$R[f](t)| \le T \int_0^T \frac{ds}{T} |f(s)| \le T \left(\int_0^T \frac{ds}{T} |f(s)|^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

We conclude

$$||g||_2^2 \le \sigma^4 \, T^2 ||f||_2^2$$

The as an operator kernel R(t, s) enjoys two further properties

• R(t,s) is positive definite: i.e. for any collection of $\{c_i\}_{i=1}^n \in \mathbb{C}$ and sampling of the arguments $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^n$ we have

$$\sum_{ij=1}^{n} c_i R(t_i, s_i) c_j^* \ge 0$$

Namely

$$\sum_{ij=1}^{n} c_i R(t_i, t_j) c_j^* = \sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} w_{t_i} w_{t_j} c_i c_j^* = \mathbb{E} \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i w_{t_i} \right|^2 \ge 0$$

• The kernel is symmetric:

R(t,s) = R(s,t)

These properties of the kernel allows us to invoke

Theorem 3.1 (*Mercer*). Let R be a continuous symmetric non-negative definite kernel. Then there is an orthonormal basis $\{\psi_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ of $\mathbb{L}^2([0,T])$ consisting of eigenfunctions of of the operator

$$R[f] = \int_0^T \frac{ds}{T} R(t,s) f(s)$$

such that the corresponding sequence of eigenvalues $\{r_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is non-negative. The eigenfunctions corresponding to non-zero eigenvalues are continuous on [0, T] and R admit the representation

$$R(t,s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n \,\psi_n(t) \,\psi_n(s)$$

Proof. The theorem is proved in chapter III of [1]. It can also be regarded as a consequence of the Hilbert-Schmidt theorem [2] \Box

In other words the operator specified by R is diagonalizable with discrete spectrum. The idea underlying the Karhunen-Loève representation is to use the orthonormal basis of eigenvectors to write the Brownian motion as the \mathbb{L}^2 -convergent series:

$$w_t = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \,\psi_n(t) \tag{3.2}$$

Namely, if the $\{c_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are Gaussian independent random variables satisfying

$$\mathbf{E} \, c_n = 0 \qquad \& \qquad \mathbf{E} \, c_n^2 = r_n$$

it follows immediately that (3.2) satisfies all the requirements to be a Brownian motion:

i Covariance:

$$\mathbf{E} w_t w_s = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n \psi_n(t) \psi_n(s) = R(t,s)$$

ii Independent increments: for $t_1 \leq t_2 \leq t_3 \leq t_4$

$$E(w_{t_4} - w_{t_3})(w_{t_2} - w_{t_1}) = \sum_n r_n[t_4 \wedge t_2 - t_4 \wedge t_1 - t_3 \wedge t_2 + t_3 \wedge t_1] = 0$$

iii Gaussian structure of the characteristic function :

$$E e^{i \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \lambda_k [\psi_n(t_k) - \psi_n(t_{k-1})]} = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_n r_n \{\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k [\psi_n(t_k) - \psi_n(t_{k-1})]\}^2}$$

We use the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k [\psi_n(t_k) - \psi_n(t_{k-1})] = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} (\lambda_k - \lambda_{k+1}) \psi_n(t_k) + \lambda_m \psi_n(t_m) - \lambda_1 \psi_n(t_0) := \sum_{k=0}^{m} \Delta_k \psi_n(t_k)$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{E} e^{i \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n \lambda_k [\psi_n(t_k) - \psi_n(t_{k-1})]} = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_n r_n [\sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta_k \psi_n(t_k)]^2}$$

Now we observe that

$$\sum_{n} r_{n} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta_{k} \psi_{n}(t_{k})\right]^{2} = \sum_{k,l=1}^{m} \sum_{n} r_{n} \Delta_{k} \Delta_{l} \psi_{n}(t_{k}) \psi_{n}(t_{l}) = \sum_{k,l=1}^{m} \Delta_{k} \Delta_{l} R(t_{k},t_{l}) = \mathbb{E} \left(\sum_{l=0}^{m} \Delta_{l} w_{t_{l}}\right)^{2}$$

Finally using the definition of the Δ_l coefficients

$$\sum_{n} r_{n} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m} \Delta_{k} \psi_{n}(t_{k})\right]^{2} = \mathbf{E} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{k} (w_{t_{k}} - w_{t_{k-1}})\right]^{2} = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \mathbf{E} \lambda_{k}^{2} (w_{t_{k}} - w_{t_{k-1}})^{2}$$

3.1 Explicit construction of the Karhunen-Loève basis

We need to solve:

$$\int_0^T \frac{ds}{T} R(t,s) \,\psi_n(s) = r_n \,\psi_n(t)$$

or equivalently

$$\int_0^t \frac{ds}{T} ds \ s \ \psi_n(s) + t \ \int_t^T \frac{ds}{T} \ \psi_n(s) = r_n \ \psi_n(t)$$

The first derivative is

$$\int_{t}^{T} \frac{ds}{T} \psi_{n}(s) = r_{n} \dot{\psi}_{n}(t) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \dot{\psi}_{n}(T) = 0$$

and the second derivative

$$-\frac{1}{T}\psi_n(t) = r_n \ddot{\psi}_n(t) \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \ddot{\psi}_n(t) + \frac{1}{T r_n}\psi_n(t) = 0$$

Thus the problem is equivalent to solving the differential equation

$$\ddot{\psi}_n(t) + \frac{1}{T r_n} \psi_n(t) = 0$$

with boundary conditions

$$\psi_n(0) = \dot{\psi}_n(T) = 0$$

The boundary condition in zero yields (the factor $\sqrt{2}$ comes from unit normalization)

$$\psi_n(t) = \sqrt{2} \sin\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{T r_n}}\right)$$

Imposing the condition for t = T gives the "quantization" condition for the eigenvalues:

$$\sqrt{\frac{T}{r_n}} = (2n+1)\frac{\pi}{2}$$
 $n = 0, 1, \dots$

The conclusion is that the explicit Karhunen-Loève representation of the covariance of the Wiener process is

$$R(t,s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T \,\psi_n(t)\psi_n(s)}{\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 \,\pi^2}$$

References

- [1] R. Courant and D. Hilbert. Methods of mathematical physics Vol I. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1989.
- [2] A. N. Kolmogorov and S. V. Fomin. *Elements of the Theory of Functions and Functional Analysis*. Dover books on mathematics. Courier Dover Publications, 1999.
- [3] T. P. Sapsis and P. F. Lermusiaux. Dynamically orthogonal field equations for continuous stochastic dynamical systems. *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, 238:23472360, 2009.