Random Walk, Martingales and Markov processes

1 Introduction

Evans discusses conditional expectations in \S H of chapter 2 of his lecture notes [1]. The same topics can be found in \S 2.1 of [4] where form the definitions of section 2 are taken. The definition of martingale follows instead \S I of chapter 2 of [1]. A nice mathematical presentation of martingales in the case of countable state space is given in \S 11 of chapter 1 of [3]. The solution of the master equation for the Poisson process can be also found in \S 3.8.3 of [2].

2 Some definitions

Definition 2.1. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_n, P)$ be a probability space. A (discrete time) filtration is an increasing sequence $\mathcal{F} := \{\mathcal{F}_k\}_{k=0}^n$ of σ -algebras $\mathcal{F}_0 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_1 \subseteq \ldots \mathcal{F}_n$. The quadruple $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{F}, P)$ is called a filtered probability space

Definition 2.2. A stochastic process is just a collection of random variables $\{\xi_t, t \ge 0\}$, indexed by a time parameter *t* discrete or continuous.

Definition 2.3. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a filtered probability space. A stochastic process $\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is called \mathcal{F}_n -adapted $\boldsymbol{\xi}_n$ if is \mathcal{F}_n -measurable for every n, and is called \mathcal{F}_n -predictable if $\boldsymbol{\xi}_n$ is \mathcal{F}_{n-1} -measurable for every n.

Definition 2.4. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and $\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_n\}_n$ be a stochastic process. The filtration generated by $\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_n\}_n$ is defined as $\mathcal{F}_n^{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \sigma(\boldsymbol{\xi}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\xi}_n)$ and the process is $\mathcal{F}_n^{\boldsymbol{\xi}}$ -adapted by construction.

3 Conditional Expectation, Heuristics

Let $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ an integrable random variable

$$\mathbf{E} \parallel \boldsymbol{\xi} \parallel < \infty \tag{3.1}$$

on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) . Let then \mathcal{G} a finite dimensional σ -algebra generated by the atomic decomposition (or partition) $\{A_i\}_{i=1}$ of Ω i.e.

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} A_i \tag{3.2}$$

In such a case we define the *conditional expectation* of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ with respect to \mathcal{G} as the random variable

$$E\left\{\boldsymbol{\xi}|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}\right\} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E\left\{\boldsymbol{\xi}\chi_{A_{i}}\right\}}{P(A_{i})} \chi_{A_{i}}\left(\omega\right)$$
(3.3)

where $\omega \in \Omega$ and

$$\chi_A(\omega) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \omega \in A \\ 0 & \text{if } \omega \notin A \end{cases}$$
(3.4)

Note that if the discrete random variable $\chi_A(\omega)$ is independent of $\boldsymbol{\xi}$ the definition implies immediately

$$E\left\{\boldsymbol{\xi}|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}\right\} = E\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E\left\{\chi_{A_{i}}\right\}}{P(A_{i})} \chi_{A_{i}}\left(\omega\right) \equiv E\boldsymbol{\xi} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{A_{i}}\left(\omega\right)$$
(3.5)

whence

$$E\left\{\boldsymbol{\xi}|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{G}}\right\} = E\boldsymbol{\xi} \tag{3.6}$$

by virtue of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \chi_{A_i}(\omega) = \chi_{\Omega}(\omega) = 1$$
(3.7)

The latter equation just states that $\{A_i\}_{i=1}$ is a partition of Ω and that $\chi_{\Omega}(\omega)$ reduces to the trivial random variable equal to the unity whenever it is sampled i.e. $\forall \omega \in \Omega$.

Definition 3.1. Let (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) be a probability space and suppose \mathcal{F}' is a σ -algebra, $\mathcal{F}' \subseteq \mathcal{F}$. If

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} \colon \boldsymbol{\omega} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d \tag{3.8}$$

is an integrable random variable, we define

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}' := \mathrm{E}\left\{\boldsymbol{\xi}|\mathcal{F}'\right\} \tag{3.9}$$

to be any random variable on Ω such that

- i ξ' is \mathcal{F}' -measurable;
- *ii* For all $F' \in \mathcal{F}'$ the identity

$$E_{P}\left\{\chi_{F'}\boldsymbol{\xi}\right\} = E_{P}\left\{\chi_{F'}\boldsymbol{\xi}'\right\}$$
(3.10)

holds true.

If $\mathcal{F}' = \sigma\left(\boldsymbol{\eta} \right)$ i.e. is the σ -algebra generated by $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ we will write

$$\boldsymbol{\xi}' := \mathrm{E}\left\{\boldsymbol{\xi}|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}'\right\} \equiv \mathrm{E}\left\{\boldsymbol{\xi}|\boldsymbol{\eta}\right\}$$
(3.11)

For more details please read \S H of chapter 2 and/or \S 2.1 of [4].

4 Martingales

Definition 4.1. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a filtered probability space and $\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_i\}_{i=1}^n$ a \mathcal{F}_n -adapted stochastic process such that

$$\mathbf{E}\left|\xi_{i}\right| < \infty \qquad \forall i \tag{4.1}$$

If

 $\xi_k = \mathbf{E}\left\{\xi_j | \mathcal{F}_k\right\} \qquad a.s \qquad \forall j \ge k$

holds true we say that $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a (discrete) martingale.

More generally we will consider stochastic processes $\{\xi_t, t \in T\}$ with T being a subset or coinciding with either \mathbb{R}_+ or \mathbb{N} . Furthermore we can posit that for any fixed $t \in T$ the random variable ξ_t takes values in a *state space* \mathbb{S} which may be finite (as for the random walk), countable or (a subset of) \mathbb{R}^d . In such a case the general definition is

Definition 4.2. Let \mathcal{F}_t be a filtration of the probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_t, P)$ and let $\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_t, t \in T\}$ an \mathbb{S} -valued stochastic process adapted to \mathcal{F}_t satisfying

$$\mathbf{E} \parallel \boldsymbol{\xi}_t \parallel < \infty \tag{4.2}$$

for all $t \in T$. We say that $\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_t, t \in T\}$ is a $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_t$ -martingale if

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t}|\mathcal{F}_{s}\right\} = \boldsymbol{\xi}_{s} \qquad \forall t \geq s \in T$$

$$(4.3)$$

If instead

$$E\left\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_t | \mathcal{F}_s\right\} \le \boldsymbol{\xi}_s \qquad \forall t \ge s \in T$$

$$(4.4)$$

we say that $\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_t, t \in T\}$ is a $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_t$ -super-martingale. Finally, if

$$\mathbb{E}\left\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t} | \mathcal{F}_{s}\right\} \geq \boldsymbol{\xi}_{s} \qquad \forall t \geq s \in T$$

$$(4.5)$$

we say that $\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_t, t \in T\}$ is a $\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_t$ -sub-martingale.

An important consequence of the martingale property is the conservation of the expectation value. Namely we must have

$$\operatorname{EE}\left\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t}|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{s}\right\} = \operatorname{E}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{s} \tag{4.6}$$

but also from the definition of conditional expectation

$$\operatorname{EE}\left\{\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t}|\boldsymbol{\mathcal{F}}_{s}\right\} = \operatorname{E}\boldsymbol{\xi}_{t} \tag{4.7}$$

Hence for any t, s

$$\mathbf{E}\boldsymbol{\xi}_t = \mathbf{E}\boldsymbol{\xi}_s \tag{4.8}$$

5 Random Walk as Martingale

We defined the random walk as

$$\Xi_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i \tag{5.1}$$

with $\{\xi_i\}_{i=1}^N$ i.i.d. random variables with $\xi_i \stackrel{d}{=} \xi$ for all *i*. Furthermore

$$\xi \colon \Omega \mapsto \{-x, x\} \tag{5.2}$$

then

$$|\Xi_n| \le n \qquad \forall n = 1, \dots N \tag{5.3}$$

We have

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\Xi_n \,\middle|\, \Xi_n\right\} = \Xi_n \tag{5.4}$$

by definition of conditional expectation. We have

$$E\{\Xi_{n} | \Xi_{n-1}\} = E\{\Xi_{n-1} + \xi_{n} | \Xi_{n-1}\} = E\{\Xi_{n-1} | \Xi_{n-1}\} + E\{\xi_{n} | \Xi_{n-1}\} = \Xi_{n-1} + E\{\xi_{n} | \Xi_{n-1}\}$$
(5.5)

By definition of conditional expectation

$$E\{\xi_n | \Xi_{n-1}\} = E\xi_n = (2p-1)x$$
(5.6)

if $P(\xi = x) = p$ as ξ_n is independent of Ξ_{n-1} . Repeating for arbitrary $k \leq n$

$$E\{\Xi_{n} | \Xi_{k}\} = \Xi_{k} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} E\{\xi_{i} | \Xi_{k}\} = \Xi_{k} + (n-k)(2p-1)x$$
(5.7)

We verified that $\{\Xi_n, 1 \leq n \leq N\}$ is

- a sub-martingale if p > 1/2;
- a martingale if p = 1/2;
- a super-martingale if p < 1/2.

From Ξ_n it is always possible to construct a martingale by subtracting its *compensator*:

$$\tilde{\Xi}_n = \Xi_n - A_n \tag{5.8}$$

In the case of the random walk

$$A_n = \sum_{i=1}^n E\xi_i = n \, \mathrm{E}\xi = n \, (2 \, p - 1) \, x \tag{5.9}$$

It is straightforward to verify that

$$\tilde{\Xi}_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \tilde{\xi}_i \tag{5.10}$$

is specified by the sum of i.i.d. random variables with zero average. Hence

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\tilde{\Xi}_{n}\,|\,\tilde{\Xi}_{k}\right\} = \tilde{\Xi}_{k} + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \mathbf{E}\left\{\tilde{\xi}_{i}\,|\,\tilde{\Xi}_{k}\right\} = \tilde{\Xi}_{k} \tag{5.11}$$

which proves that $\{\Xi_n, 1 \le n \le N\}$ is a martingale.

6 Markov process

Let us consider a stochastic process $\{\xi_n, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ valued to a countable state space $\mathbb{S} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\xi_n \colon \Omega \times \mathbb{N} \mapsto \mathbb{S} \tag{6.1}$$

We suppose that the evolution law for its probability distribution generalizes the form we found for the random walk

$$P_{n+1}(i) = \sum_{k \in S} P(i, n+1|k, n) P_n(k)$$
(6.2)

or equivalently

$$P_{n+1}(i) - P_n(i) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{S}} [P(i, n+1|k, n) - \delta_{ik}] P_n(k)$$
(6.3)

Using the normalization condition

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{S}} \mathcal{P}(k, n+1|i, n) = 1 \tag{6.4}$$

we can couch (6.3) into the form

$$P_{n+1}(i) - P_n(i) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{S}} [P(i, n+1|k, n) P_n(k) - P(k, n+1|i, n) P_n(i)]$$
(6.5)

The master equation (6.2) states that at any time step we can reconstruct the probability of the stochastic process at the ensuing step if we know its "present" distribution. A more pictorial description is that the "future" depends only upon the "present" but not upon the "past". Such a property is the distinguishing feature of Markov processes.

7 Continuous limit

Up to now we considered a unit time step. We may instead introduce a time unit au and rescale probabilities

$$\mathbf{P}_n(m) = \mathbf{P}_{n\,\tau}(m) \tag{7.1}$$

The aim is to study the limit

$$\tau \downarrow 0 \qquad \& \qquad t = n \,\tau \in \mathbb{R}_+ \tag{7.2}$$

After rescaling we couch (6.5) into the form

$$\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{t+\tau}(i) - \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_t(i) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{S}} [\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(i, t+\tau | k, t) \, \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_t(k) - \tilde{\mathbf{P}}(k, t+\tau | i, t) \, \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_t(i)]$$
(7.3)

The expansion in Taylor series

$$\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_{t+\tau}(i) = \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_t(i) + \tau \,\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_t(i) + O(\tau^2) \tag{7.4}$$

and

$$\tilde{\mathbf{P}}(i,t+\tau|k,t) = \delta_{ik} + \tau \,\mathbf{K}_t(i|k) + O\left(\tau^2\right) \tag{7.5}$$

yields

$$\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_t(i) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{S}} [\mathbf{K}_t(i|k) \,\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_t(k) - \mathbf{K}_t(k|i) \,\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_t(i)] + O(\tau) \tag{7.6}$$

Thus in the limit $\tau \downarrow 0$ we are left with

$$\partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_t(i) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{S}} [\mathbf{K}_t(i|k) \,\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_t(k) - \mathbf{K}_t(k|i) \,\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_t(i)] \tag{7.7}$$

Probability conservation now requires

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{S}} \partial_t \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_t(i) = \partial_t \sum_{i \in \mathbb{S}} \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_t(i) = 0$$
(7.8)

entailing

$$\sum_{i,k\in\mathbb{S}} \left[\mathbf{K}_t(i|k)\,\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_t(k) - \mathbf{K}_t(k|i)\,\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_t(i) \right] = 0 \tag{7.9}$$

which is satisfied identically. Two observations are in order

- the diagonal component $K_t(i|k)$ of the transition rate $K_t(\cdot)$ does not contribute to (7.7).
- The condition

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{S}} \mathcal{K}_t(k|i) = 0 \tag{7.10}$$

is a sufficient condition for (7.9) to hold true. It is also guarantees to leading order in $O(\tau)$ that

$$1 = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{S}} \tilde{P}(i, t + \tau | k, t) = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{S}} \left[\delta_{ik} + \tau \, \mathcal{K}_t(i|k) + O\left(\tau^2\right) \right] = 1 + O(\tau^2)$$
(7.11)

By virtue of the first observation, it is not restrictive to assume that (7.10) always holds true. In such a case we can write

$$\partial_t \mathbf{P}_t(i) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{S}} [\mathbf{K}_t(i|k) \,\mathbf{P}_t(k) \tag{7.12a}$$

$$\sum_{k\in\mathbb{S}} \mathcal{K}_t(k|i) = 0 \tag{7.12b}$$

8 Poisson process

We now make a special choice for the transition rates in (7.12) and set for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}_+$

$$\mathbf{K}_t(i|k) = \gamma \,\delta_{i,k+1} - \gamma \,\delta_{k,i} \tag{8.1}$$

The resulting equation is

$$\partial_t \mathbf{P}(i, t) = \gamma \mathbf{P}(i - 1, t) - \gamma \mathbf{P}(i, t)$$

This is the evolution for a process that can make (or not make) jumps only towards the right of its current position. If we assume that the initial distribution

$$\mathbf{P}(i,0) = \mathbf{P}_o(i)$$

has support on \mathbb{N} then the process will stay there for any further time. The equation can be solved exactly by computing the characteristic function

$$\check{\mathbf{P}}(u,t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{i\,k\,u}\,\mathbf{P}(k,t)$$

Namely, it is straightforward to see that $\check{P}(u, t)$ satisfies:

$$\partial_t \dot{\mathbf{P}}(u,t) = \gamma \left(e^{i \, u} - 1 \right) \dot{\mathbf{P}}(u,t)$$

The solution for the initial condition $\check{P}(u, 0) = \check{P}_o(u)$

$$\check{\mathbf{P}}(u,t) = e^{\gamma t (e^{i u} - 1)} \check{\mathbf{P}}_o(u)$$

If we specialize for an initial condition

$$\mathbf{P}_o(i) = \delta_{i\,0}$$

(i.e. we assume that the process starts from the origin) we obtain

$$\check{\mathbf{P}}(u,t) = e^{\gamma t \left(e^{i u} - 1\right)}$$

In order to infer the probability distribution associated to the characteristic function we can write

$$\check{\mathbf{P}}(u,t) = e^{\gamma t e^{i u}} e^{-\gamma t} = e^{-\gamma t} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\gamma t)^j}{\Gamma(j+1)} e^{i u j}$$

which implies that $\check{P}(u, t)$ is the characteristic function of the Poisson process, with probability distribution:

$$\mathbf{P}(j,t) = \frac{(\gamma t)^{j}}{\Gamma(j+1)} e^{-\gamma t}$$

References

- [1] L. C. Evans. An Introduction to Stochastic Differential Equations. Lecture notes, UC Berkeley, 2006.
- [2] C. W. Gardiner. Handbook of stochastic methods for physics, chemistry and the natural sciences, volume 13 of *Springer series in synergetics*. Springer, 2 edition, 1994.
- [3] A. N. Shiryaev. Probability, volume 95 of Graduate texts in mathematics. Springer, 2 edition, 1996.
- [4] R. van Handel. Stochastic Calculus and Stochastic Control. Lecture notes, Caltech, 2007.