## Tilastollisen päättelyn jatkokurssi, sl 2010, Exercise 2, week 38

1. Let  $(Y_1, X_1), ..., (Y_n, X_n)$  be an independent sample from a bivariate normal distribution with  $\rho = \text{Cor}(Y_1, X_1)$  ( $|\rho| < 1$ ) the theoretical correlation coefficient. It is known that the sample correlation coefficient (or the maximum likelihood estimator of  $\rho$ )

$$R_{n} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i} - \bar{Y}_{n}) (X_{i} - \bar{X}_{n})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i} - \bar{Y}_{n})^{2}} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (X_{i} - \bar{X}_{n})^{2}}},$$

satisfies  $\sqrt{n} (R_n - \rho) \xrightarrow{d} \mathsf{N}(0, (1 - \rho^2)^2)$ . Consider the so-called Fisher's z-transformation

$$Z_n = \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{1+R_n}{1-R_n} \right)$$
 and  $\zeta = \frac{1}{2} \log \left( \frac{1+\zeta}{1-\zeta} \right)$ .

(i) Use the delta method to show that  $\sqrt{n} (Z_n - \zeta) \xrightarrow{d} \mathsf{N}(0, 1)$  (for simplicity the subscript 0 has been dropped here from  $\rho$  and  $\zeta$ ).

(ii) Use the preceding result and derive an approximate test (based on  $Z_n$ ) for the null hypothesis  $\rho = 0$  against the alternative  $\rho \neq 0$ .

Note: Because there is no need to estimate the variance of the limiting distribution the normal approximation works better for  $Z_n$  than for  $R_n$  which is useful when one constructs tests and confidence intervals for  $\rho$ .

**2.** Let  $Y_1, ..., Y_n$  be an independent sample from a distribution with a finite fourth moment. Denote  $\mathsf{E}(Y_1) = \mu$ ,  $\mathsf{Var}(Y_1) = \sigma^2$  and  $\mu_4 = \mathsf{E}[(Y_1 - \mu)^4]$  and, furthermore,

$$\tilde{S}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \mu)^2, \quad \hat{S}_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y}_n)^2 \text{ and } S_n^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (Y_i - \bar{Y}_n)^2.$$

Show that

$$\tilde{S}_n^2 \xrightarrow{p} \sigma^2$$
 and  $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{S}_n^2 - \sigma^2) \xrightarrow{d} \mathsf{N}(0, \upsilon)$ , where  $\upsilon = \mathsf{Var}[(Y_1 - \mu)^2] = \mu_4 - \sigma^4$ .

**3.** (Continuation for the preceding one) (i) Show that  $\sqrt{n}(\tilde{S}_n^2 - \hat{S}_n^2) \xrightarrow{p} 0$  and conclude from this and the preceding exercise that  $\hat{S}_n^2 \xrightarrow{p} \sigma^2$  and  $\sqrt{n}(\hat{S}_n^2 - \sigma^2) \xrightarrow{d} \mathsf{N}(0, v)$ .

(ii) Use arguments similar to those in (i) and show that the usual sample variance satisfies  $S_n^2 \xrightarrow{p} \sigma^2$ and  $\sqrt{n-1} \left(S_n^2 - \sigma^2\right) \xrightarrow{d} \mathsf{N}(0, \upsilon)$ .

4. Let  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \ldots$  be an independent sequence with a N(0, 1)-distribution and  $Y_i = \sum_{j=1}^i \varepsilon_j$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ 

(i) Show that  $\operatorname{Cov}(Y_i, Y_{i+h}) = \operatorname{E}(Y_i Y_{i+h}) = i$  for all  $h \ge 0$  and, using this, that  $\operatorname{Cor}(Y_i, Y_{i+h}) = 1/\sqrt{1 + h/i}$   $(h \ge 0, i \ge 1)$ . What happens to the correlation coefficient  $\operatorname{Cor}(Y_i, Y_{i+h})$ , when  $i \to \infty$  and h is fixed?

(continues on backside)

(ii) Show the identity

$$X_n := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_{i-1} \varepsilon_i = \frac{1}{2n} \left( Y_n^2 - \sum_{i=1}^n \varepsilon_i^2 \right),$$

where  $Y_0 = 0$  and := defines  $X_n$ .

*Hint*: One possibility in (ii) is to use the identity  $\varepsilon_i = Y_i - Y_{i-1}$  obtained from the definition of  $Y_i$  and calculate an expression for the sum  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i^2$ . The desired identity is then obtained from the resulting equation.

5. (Continuation for the preceding one) Show that  $\mathsf{E}(Y_{i-1}\varepsilon_i) = 0$  for all  $i \ge 1$  and that  $X_n \xrightarrow{d} \frac{1}{2}(\chi_1^2 - 1)$ . Deduce from this that the law of large numbers (LLN) and central limit theorem (CLT) do not hold for the sample mean formed from  $Y_{i-1}\varepsilon_i$ , i = 1, ..., n. In the last point strict mathematical justification is not required.

Note: This in conjunction with exercise 4 shows that no usual LLN and CLT hold because the dependence is "too strong" (the variables  $Y_{i-1}\varepsilon_i$ , i = 1, ..., n, are strongly dependent even though uncorrelated). Note also that  $Y_i$  solves the autoregressive equation  $Y_i = \phi Y_{i-1} + \varepsilon_i$  (i = 1, ..., n), when  $\phi = 1$  and  $Y_0 = 0$ .