

b) By 4.12 a) $\exists W \subset V_0$ s.t. W is balanced, open and $0 \in W$. By a), $K \subset V = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (nW)$.

As K is compact, $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_+$ s.t. $K \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{n_0} (nW) = n_0 W$. ($n \leq n_0, x \in nW \Rightarrow \exists x_0 \in W$ s.t. $x = nx_0 = n_0 \frac{n}{n_0} x_0 \in n_0 W$.)
 \uparrow
 W balanced.

Therefore, if $t > n_0$ and $x \in K \Rightarrow x \in n_0 W = t \frac{n_0}{t} W \subset tW$, as W is balanced.

$\therefore K \subset tV_0$. Since V_0 was an arbitrary neighb. of 0 , this proves that K is bounded. \square

c) Consider $U \in \mathcal{T}_V$ s.t. $0 \in U$. If V_0 is bounded, $\exists s > 0$ s.t. $V_0 \subset tU \ \forall t > s$. Then $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_+$ s.t. $s \delta_n < 1 \ \forall n \geq n_0$. ($s \delta_n \rightarrow 0^+$) $\Rightarrow V_0 \subset \delta_n^{-1} U \ \forall n \geq n_0$.
 $\Rightarrow \delta_{n_0} V_0 \subset U$. Since each $\delta_n V_0$ is open and contains 0 , this proves that \mathcal{B}_0 is a local base. \square

5. Linear maps between topol. vect. spaces

- * Reminders: For $f: \underline{X} \rightarrow \underline{Y}$, $A \subset \underline{X}$, $B \subset \underline{Y}$
 - a) image of $A = f(A) := \{ f(x) \mid x \in A \}$
 - b) preimage of $B = f^{-1}(B) := \{ x \in \underline{X} \mid f(x) \in B \}$
 - c) In case $\underline{X}, \underline{Y}$ are vector spaces (both over \mathbb{K})
 f is linear $\Leftrightarrow f(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha f(x) + \beta f(y)$
 $\forall \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{K}, x, y \in \underline{X}$.
- Shorthand notation: $f_x := f(x)$
(used when f is linear.)

* Definition: If \underline{X} is a vector space over \mathbb{K} , any linear $f: \underline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is called a linear functional.

* For linear functional $\Lambda: \underline{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, $\Lambda = 0$ refers to the map $\Lambda x = 0 \in \mathbb{K} \ \forall x \in \underline{X}$, (which is obviously linear).

5.1. Proposition Consider vector spaces $\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}$, and subsets $A \subset \mathfrak{X}, B \subset \mathfrak{Y}$.

If $\Lambda: \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ is linear, then

- (a) $\Lambda 0_{\mathfrak{X}} = 0_{\mathfrak{Y}}$
 (b) A subspace $\Rightarrow \Lambda(A)$ subspace
 A convex $\Rightarrow \Lambda(A)$ convex
 A balanced $\Rightarrow \Lambda(A)$ balanced
 (c) B subspace $\Rightarrow \Lambda^{-1}(B)$ subspace
 B convex $\Rightarrow \Lambda^{-1}(B)$ convex
 B balanced $\Rightarrow \Lambda^{-1}(B)$ balanced

Proof. Obvious computations. For instance, if B is balanced, $|\alpha| \leq 1$, and $y \in \Lambda^{-1}(B)$
 $\rightarrow \Lambda(\alpha y) = \alpha \Lambda y \in \alpha B \subset B \Rightarrow \alpha y \in \Lambda^{-1}(B)$.
 $\therefore \alpha \Lambda^{-1}(B) \subset \Lambda^{-1}(B)$. \square

Corollaries: a) $\ker \Lambda := \Lambda^{-1}(0_{\mathfrak{Y}})$ is a subspace.
 b) $R(\Lambda) := \Lambda(\mathfrak{X})$ is a subspace.

5.2. Theorem Let $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2$ be topol. vect. spaces.

If $\Lambda: \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2$ is linear and continuous at $0_{\mathcal{V}_1}$, then Λ is continuous.
 In addition, then Λ is even uniformly continuous:
 For every $W \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}_2}$ with $0 \in W$, $\exists V \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}_1}$ with $0 \in V$

s.t. $[y - x \in V \text{ implies } \Lambda y - \Lambda x \in W]$.

Proof. Consider $W \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}_2}$ with $0_{\mathcal{V}_2} \in W$. Since $\Lambda 0_{\mathcal{V}_1} = 0_{\mathcal{V}_2}$ by the assumed continuity, $\exists V \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}_1}$ s.t. $0_{\mathcal{V}_1} \in V$ and $V \subset \Lambda^{-1}W$. Now if $y, x \in \mathcal{V}_1$ are such that $y - x \in V$, we have $\Lambda y - \Lambda x = \Lambda(y - x) \in \Lambda V \subset W$. This proves the 2nd statement. To prove continuity, consider $U \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}_2}, x \in \Lambda^{-1}U$. Set $W = U - \Lambda x \Rightarrow 0 \in W$ and $W \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}_2} \Rightarrow \exists V$ as above
 $\Rightarrow \Lambda(x + V) = \Lambda x + \Lambda V \subset \Lambda x + W = U$
 $\Rightarrow x \in x + V, x + V$ is open, and $x + V \subset \Lambda^{-1}U$.
 $\therefore \Lambda$ is continuous. \square

5.3. Theorem Let $\Lambda: V \rightarrow K$ be a linear functional on a topol. vector space V . If $\Lambda \neq 0$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) Λ is continuous.
- (b) $\text{Ker } \Lambda$ is closed.
- (c) $\text{Ker } \Lambda$ is not dense in V .
- (d) Λ is bounded in some neighborhood W of 0_V .
 $\Leftrightarrow \sup_{x \in W} |\Lambda x| < \infty$.

Proof: "(a) \Rightarrow (b)": If Λ contin. $\Rightarrow \text{Ker } \Lambda = \Lambda^{-1}(\{0\})$ is closed.

"(b) \Rightarrow (c)": If $\text{Ker } \Lambda = \overline{\text{Ker } \Lambda} = V \Rightarrow \Lambda = 0$ which was excluded in the assumptions. Thus $\neg(c) \Rightarrow \neg(b)$.

"(c) \Rightarrow (d)": Assume (c) holds, $\Rightarrow (\overline{\text{Ker } \Lambda})^c$ is open and non-empty. $\Rightarrow \exists x_0 \in V$ and $W \in \mathcal{T}_V$ s.t. $0 \in W$ and $(x_0 + W) \cap \overline{\text{Ker } \Lambda} = \emptyset$
 $\Rightarrow (x_0 + W) \cap \text{Ker } \Lambda = \emptyset$. By 4.12. a) we can choose W to be balanced.

$\Rightarrow \Lambda(W)$ is balanced. Suppose $\Lambda(W)$ is not bounded. Then $\forall x \in K$, there is $y \in \Lambda(W)$ s.t. $|y| > |x|$, and thus $x = \frac{x}{y} y \in \frac{x}{y} \Lambda(W) \subset \Lambda(W)$.
 $\in K \ \& \ |x| < |y|$

Therefore, then $\Lambda(W) = K \Rightarrow \exists y \in W$ s.t. $\Lambda y = -\Lambda x_0 \Rightarrow x_0 + y \in \text{Ker } \Lambda$. But this is a contradiction $\Rightarrow \Lambda(W)$ is bounded.

"(d) \Rightarrow (a)": If (d) holds, $\exists W \in \mathcal{T}_V$ s.t. $0_V \in W$ and $\Lambda(W)$ is bounded. $\Rightarrow \exists M > 0$ s.t. $|\Lambda x| < M \ \forall x \in W$. Now $\Lambda 0_V = 0$ and if $U \subset K$ open and $0 \in U \Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $B(0, \varepsilon) \subset U$. But then for $V = \frac{\varepsilon}{M} W$ we have $0_V \in V$, V open, and $x \in V \Rightarrow |\Lambda x| < \frac{\varepsilon}{M} \cdot M = \varepsilon$, implying $V \subset \Lambda^{-1}U$. This proves that Λ is contin. at 0.

$\Rightarrow \Lambda$ is continuous. \square
 5.2.

6. Finite-dimensional spaces

6.1. Theorem Suppose V is a topol. vect. space, $Y \subset V$ is a subspace, and $N := \dim Y$ satisfies $0 < N < \infty$. Then

- every vector-space-isomorphism $\Phi: \mathbb{K}^N \rightarrow Y$ is a homeomorphism
- Y is closed.

* Preliminaries: Topology reminder

- * If $Y \subset X = \text{space with topology } \mathcal{T}_X$, its relative or inherited topology is $\mathcal{T}_Y := \{U \cap Y \mid U \in \mathcal{T}_X\}$.
- * If $f: X \rightarrow X'$ is continuous and $Y \subset X, Y' \subset X', f(Y) \subset Y'$, then $f|_Y: Y \rightarrow Y'$ and $f_1: Y \rightarrow Y'$ are continuous. ($f_1(x) = f(x) \forall x \in Y$)

6.2. Lemma: Suppose V is a topol. vect. space and $Y \subset V$ is a subspace. Then Y is a topol. vect. space. If Y is locally compact, then it is closed in V .

Proof: The first statement follows from the above reminder.

By assumption, $\exists V_0 \in \mathcal{T}_Y$ s.t. $0 \in V_0$ and $K := \overline{V_0}^{(\mathcal{T}_Y)}$ is compact. Now $\exists U_0 \in \mathcal{T}_V$ s.t.

$V_0 = U_0 \cap Y \subset K$. Here $0 \in U_0$. By Corollary 4.9., there is $W' \in \mathcal{T}_V$ s.t. $0 \in W'$ and $W' \subset U_0$.

By continuity of addition, $\exists V_1, V_2 \in \mathcal{T}_V$ s.t. $0 \in V_1 \cap V_2$ and $V_1 + V_2 \subset W'$. As $V_1 \cap V_2$ is also open, there is $W_0 \in \mathcal{T}_V$ s.t. $0 \in W_0$, W_0 is balanced and $W_0 \subset V_1 \cap V_2 \Rightarrow W_0 + W_0 \subset W'$.
 $\Rightarrow \overline{W_0} + \overline{W_0} \subset \overline{W'} \subset U_0$. (By 4.11. e) also $\overline{W_0}$ is balanced.)
 \uparrow 4.11. b)

Let us prove next that $Y \cap (x + \overline{W_0}) =: K_x$ is compact $\forall x \in V$. Consider $y_0, y \in K_x$.

$$\Rightarrow y - y_0 = (y - x) + [-(y_0 - x)] \in \overline{W_0} + \overline{W_0} \subset U_0.$$

Since Y is a subspace, also $y - y_0 \in Y$, and thus

$$y - y_0 \in U_0 \cap Y = V_0 \subset K. \text{ This implies } K_x \subset y_0 + K,$$

where $y_0 + K$ is a compact set. However, since $x + \overline{W_0}$ is closed in \mathcal{T}_V , K_x is closed in \mathcal{T}_Y , and thus K_x is compact. ($K_x = \emptyset$ is also ok.)

Next fix $x \in \bar{Y}$. Define $\mathcal{b} := \{V \in \mathcal{T}_V \mid 0 \in V, V \subset W_0\}$
 and $E_V := Y \cap (x + \bar{V}) \forall V \in \mathcal{b}$. Then $E_V \subset K_X$,
 and as above, we can conclude that E_V is compact.
 If $E_V = \emptyset \Rightarrow Y \cap \underbrace{(x+V)}_{\text{open}} = \emptyset \Rightarrow \bar{Y} \cap (x+V) = \emptyset$ which
 \uparrow see p. 48.

is not true as x belongs to both \bar{Y} and $x+V$
 Thus every $E_V \neq \emptyset$. Now if $V_i \in \mathcal{b}$, for
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, n < \infty$, then $V := \bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i$ is open, contains 0
 and $\subset W_0 \Rightarrow V \in \mathcal{b}$ and thus E_V is defined.
 Also $y \in \bigcap_{i=1}^n E_{V_i} \Leftrightarrow y \in Y$ and $y - x \in \bar{V}_i \forall i$.

It is straightforward to check that $\bar{V} = \bigcap_{i=1}^n \bar{V}_i$:

$$V \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n \bar{V}_i = \text{closed} \Rightarrow \bar{V} \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^n \bar{V}_i$$

and $W \in \mathcal{T}_V, 0 \in W$ arbitrary $\Rightarrow (x' - W) \cap V_i \neq \emptyset \forall i$
 $\Rightarrow (x' - W) \cap V \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists y' \in W, v \in V$ s.t. $v = x' - y'$
 $\Rightarrow x' = v + y' \in V + W$. By 4.11.a) $\Rightarrow x' \in \bar{V}$.

Therefore, $\bigcap_{i=1}^n E_{V_i} = \{y \in Y \mid y - x \in \bar{V}\} = E_V$. This shows

that $\{E_V \mid V \in \mathcal{b}\}$ is a collection of compact, non-empty
 sets with "finite intersection property". We shall
 prove in (*) that this implies that $\bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{b}} E_V \neq \emptyset$.

If $z \in \bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{b}} E_V \Rightarrow z \in Y$ and $z \in x + \bar{V} \forall V \in \mathcal{b}$.

If $z \neq x$, by Corollaries 4.8. & 4.9. there is
 $U' \in \mathcal{T}_V$ s.t. $0 \in U'$ and $(x + \bar{U}') \cap (z + \bar{U}') = \emptyset$.
 But then for $V' := U' \cap W$ we have $0 \in V', V' \in \mathcal{T}_V, V' \subset W$
 $\Rightarrow V' \in \mathcal{b}$, and $z \in (x + \bar{U}')^c \subset (x + \bar{V}')^c$. This is
 a contradiction, and thus $z = x \Rightarrow x \in Y$.

$\therefore Y$ is closed. \square

(*): Clearly, $W_0 \in \mathcal{b} \Rightarrow E_{W_0}$ is compact. Suppose $\bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{b}} E_V = \emptyset$.
 $\Rightarrow E_{W_0} \subset Y = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{b}} E_V^c$. As each E_V is closed in Y ,

contradiction.

we can choose a finite subcover $\Rightarrow \exists V_i \in \mathcal{b}, i = 1, \dots, n$,
 s.t. $E_{W_0} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n E_{V_i}^c = (\bigcap_{i=1}^n E_{V_i})^c = E_{\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i}^c \Rightarrow E_{W_0} \cap E_{\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i} = E_{W_0 \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^n V_i)} = \emptyset. \square$

Proof of Theorem 6.1.

- ① In general, a) \Rightarrow b) by Lemma 6.2. \circ
 Suppose a) holds. Consider $B_1 := B(0, 1) \subset \mathbb{K}^N$
 ($B(x, \varepsilon) = \text{Ball centered at } x \text{ with radius } \varepsilon$
 $= \{y \mid d(x, y) < \varepsilon\}$.)

Then $0 \in B_1$, B_1 is open and \bar{B}_1 is compact in \mathbb{K}^N . This proves that \mathbb{K}^N is locally compact.

Let $U := \Phi(B_1) \subset Y$. As Φ is homeo, U is open and $\bar{U} = \overline{\Phi(B_1)} = \Phi(\bar{B}_1)$ is compact.

Also, by linearity of Φ , $\Phi(0) = 0 \Rightarrow 0 \in U$.

Thus Y is locally compact. $\xrightarrow{\text{L6.2}}$ Y is closed in V .

- ② Assume $N=1$, and let $\Phi: \mathbb{K} \rightarrow Y$ be linear and bijective $\Rightarrow \Phi^{-1}$ is linear. Define $u_1 := \Phi(1) \in Y$, when by linearity $\Phi(\alpha) = \alpha u_1 \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{K}$.
 By continuity of scalar multiplication, Φ is continuous. Since Φ^{-1} is linear bijection, $\text{Ker } \Phi^{-1} = \{0\} = \text{closed set in } Y$. By 5.3.
 $\Rightarrow \Phi^{-1}$ is continuous. $\therefore \Phi$ is homeom.
 $\Rightarrow Y$ is closed. \square

- ③ Make the induction assumption that a) & b) hold for subspaces with $\dim \leq N-1$, $N > 1$. It suffices to prove that a) then holds for $\dim = N$, since by ① this implies that b) holds for $\dim = N$, as well.

Consider thus $\Phi: \mathbb{K}^N \rightarrow Y$ which is linear and bijective. Define $u_k := \Phi(\hat{e}_k)$, $k=1, 2, \dots, N$, when by linearity of Φ

$$\Phi(x) = \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k(x) u_k, \quad \text{where } \alpha_k(x) := \hat{e}_k \cdot x. \\ (\Rightarrow x = \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k(x) \hat{e}_k.)$$

Since each $\alpha_k: \mathbb{K}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is continuous, every $x \mapsto \alpha_k(x) u_k$ is contin. (as in ②) and so is their sum (since addition is continuous). $\Rightarrow \Phi$ is contin.

Every α_k is also linear $\Rightarrow \gamma_k := \alpha_k \circ \Phi^{-1}$ is linear.

$$\text{Also if } y \in Y \Rightarrow \Phi^{-1}(y) = \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_k(\Phi^{-1}(y)) \hat{e}_k = \sum_{k=1}^N \gamma_k(y) \hat{e}_k.$$

Thus if $y = \sum_{k=1}^N \beta_k u_k \stackrel{\Phi^{-1}}{\implies} \Phi^{-1}(y) = \sum_{k=1}^N \beta_k \Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\hat{e}_k))$
 $= \sum_{k=1}^N \beta_k \hat{e}_k \implies \gamma_k(y) = \hat{e}_k \cdot \Phi^{-1}(y) = \beta_k \cdot \forall k.$

Therefore, for any $k=1, 2, \dots, N$, $\gamma_k: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is linear and $\text{Ker } \gamma_k = \text{span}(u_l)_{l \neq k} \neq Y$. Since then

$\dim(\text{Ker } \gamma_k) = N-1$, we can apply the induction assumption (b) to it. $\implies \text{Ker } \gamma_k$ is closed in Y

5.3. \implies

γ_k is continuous. As $\gamma_k = \text{proj}_k(\Phi^{-1})$ and \mathbb{K}^N is endowed with the product topology $\implies \Phi^{-1}$ is continuous. Thus Φ is homeo, which completes the induction step. \square

6.3 - Theorem: Every locally compact topol. vect. space V has finite dimension.

Proof: Now $\exists V_0 \in \mathcal{T}_V$ s.t. $0 \in V_0$ and \bar{V}_0 is compact. By 4.14. b) $\implies \bar{V}_0$ is bounded $\implies V_0$ is bounded (just simply because $V_0 \subset \bar{V}_0$.)

Therefore, by 4.14. c) the collection $\mathcal{B} := \{2^{-n}V_0 \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_+\}$ is a local base for V . On the other hand, $\{x + \frac{1}{2}V_0\}_{x \in V}$ is an open cover of $\bar{V}_0 \implies \exists N \in \mathbb{N}_+, x_k \in V, k=1, 2, \dots, N$, s.t. $\bar{V}_0 \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^N (x_k + \frac{1}{2}V_0)$.

Let $Y = \text{span}(x_k) \subset V$, which is a subspace of V with $\dim Y \leq N$. If $N=0 \implies Y = \{0\} = \text{closed}$. Else, can apply Thm 6.1. Thus we always have that Y is closed. Also, $V_0 \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^N (x_k + \frac{1}{2}V_0) \subset Y + \frac{1}{2}V_0$. This proves that $V_0 \subset Y + 2^{-n}V_0$ for $n=1$. We prove by induction that it holds for all n :

$2^{-n}V_0 \subset 2^{-n}(Y + \frac{1}{2}V_0) = 2^{-n}Y + 2^{-(n+1)}V_0$
 $\stackrel{Y \text{ Subspace}}{\subset} Y + 2^{-(n+1)}V_0 \implies V_0 \subset Y + 2^{-n}V_0 \subset Y + Y + 2^{-(n+1)}V_0$
 \uparrow induction assumpt.

$\implies V_0 \subset Y + 2^{-(n+1)}V_0$, since Y is subspace. Therefore, we have proven that $V_0 \subset \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (Y + 2^{-n}V_0)$.

$$\Rightarrow V_0 \subset \bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{B}_0} (Y+V) \stackrel{\substack{\mathcal{B}_0 \text{ is local base} \\ V \text{ open, } 0 \in V}}{=} \bigcap_{V \text{ open, } 0 \in V} (Y+V) \stackrel{4.11.a)}{=} \bar{Y} = Y.$$

$$\Rightarrow V_0 \in \mathcal{T}_Y, 0 \in V_0 \stackrel{4.14.a)}{\Rightarrow} Y = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} (nV_0) = \mathcal{V}.$$

$$\Rightarrow \dim \mathcal{V} = \dim Y \leq N < \infty \quad \square$$

6.4. Corollary If \mathcal{V} is a locally bounded topol. vect. space with the Heine-Borel property, then \mathcal{V} has finite dimension.

Proof. By assumpt. $\Rightarrow \exists V_0 \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{V}}$ s.t. $0 \in V_0$ and V_0 bounded.

$\stackrel{4.11.f)}{\Rightarrow} \bar{V}_0$ is bounded. $\stackrel{\text{Heine-Borel}}{\Rightarrow} \bar{V}_0$ is compact.

Thus \mathcal{V} is actually locally compact. $\Rightarrow \dim \mathcal{V} < \infty \quad \square$

* We have now proven item 5 in 4.6.