

18. C^* -algebras

18.1. Definition: Suppose \mathcal{A} is a complex algebra. A map $x \mapsto x^*$, $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, is called an involution if it satisfies $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathbb{C}$,

- a) $(x+y)^* = x^* + y^*$ and $(\alpha x)^* = \alpha^* x^*$
(conjugate linear)
- b) $(xy)^* = y^* x^*$ (antihomomorphic)
- c) $x^{**} = x$ (has period 2)

An element $x \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying $x^* = x$ is then called self-adjoint or hermitian. If $x^* x = x x^*$, then x is called normal.

18.2. Definition: \mathcal{A} is called a C^* -algebra, if

- a) it is a Banach algebra,
b) it has an involution $*$: $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$,
and c) the involution satisfies $\|x^* x\| = \|x\|^2 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{A}$.

18.3. Proposition: Suppose \mathcal{H} is a (complex) Hilbert space. Then the adjoint mapping $x \mapsto x^*$, is an involution on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) := \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H})$ which makes $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ into a C^* -algebra.

Proof: By Ex. 8.1.c) $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a Banach space under the operator norm. Operator composition defines a multiplication on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ which makes it into a Banach algebra (for instance, $((x+y)z)(u) = (x+y)(z(u)) = x(z(u)) + y(z(u)) = (x \circ z)(u) + (y \circ z)(u) = (x \circ z + y \circ z)(u)$, and $\|(x+y)(u)\| = \|x(y(u))\| \leq \|x\| \|y(u)\| \leq \|x\| \|y\| \|u\| \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{H}$
 $\Rightarrow \|x+y\| \leq \|x\| + \|y\|$. Also $e = \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$ satisfies $\|e(u)\| = \|u\| \quad \forall u \in \mathcal{H}$
 $\Rightarrow \|e\| = 1$.) By Ex. 3.1, adjoint is an involution on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\|x^* x\| = \|x\|^2$. \square

* There are also involutions which do not satisfy the C^* -property. They can nevertheless provide useful information, as the following result shows

18.4. Proposition: Suppose \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra with an involution. Consider some $x \in \mathcal{A}$.

- a) The following elements are hermitian:
 $x + x^*$, $i(x - x^*)$, xx^* , and x^*x .
- b) $\exists! u, v \in \mathcal{A}$ which are hermitian and $x = u + iv$.
- c) the unit e is hermitian and normal.
- d) x is invertible iff x^* is invertible, and then $(x^*)^{-1} = (x^{-1})^*$
- e) $\lambda \in \sigma(x) \Leftrightarrow \lambda^* \in \sigma(x^*)$
- f) If \mathcal{A} is also commutative and semisimple, then the involution is a continuous map $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. a) $(x \pm x^*)^* = x^* \pm (x^*)^* = x^* \pm x$
 $\Rightarrow (x + x^*)^* = x + x^*$ and $(i(x - x^*))^* = -i(x^* - x) = i(x - x^*)$.
 Also $(xx^*)^* = (x^*)^* x^* = xx^*$ & $(x^*x)^* = x^*(x^*)^* = x^*x$ \square

b) Define $u := \frac{1}{2}(x + x^*)$, $v := -\frac{1}{2}i(x - x^*)$. By a) u, v are hermitian. Also $u + iv = \frac{1}{2}(x + x^* + (x - x^*)) = \frac{1}{2}(2x) = x$. If $x = u' + iv'$ with u', v' hermitian, set $w = v' - v \Rightarrow w^* = w$ and $iw = iv' - iv = u' + iv' - u - (u + iv) + u = u - u' + x - x = u - u' \Rightarrow iw = (iw)^* = -iw^* = -iw \Rightarrow 2iw = 0 \Rightarrow w = 0 \Rightarrow iw = 0$. Thus $u' = u$ and $v' = v$. \square

c) As $e^* = e^*e \stackrel{a)}{\Rightarrow} e^* = (e^*)^* = e \stackrel{c)}{\Rightarrow} e^*e = ee = e = ee^* \square$

d) $x \in G(\mathcal{A}) \Leftrightarrow \exists x^{-1} \in \mathcal{A}$ st. $xx^{-1} = e = x^{-1}x \Rightarrow e = e^* = x^*(x^{-1})^* = (x^{-1})^*x^* \Rightarrow x^* \in G(\mathcal{A})$ and $(x^{-1})^* = (x^{-1})^*$. Thus also $x^* \in G(\mathcal{A}) \Rightarrow x = (x^*)^* \in G(\mathcal{A})$. \square

e) $\lambda \in \sigma(x) \Leftrightarrow \lambda e - x \notin G(\mathcal{A}) \stackrel{d)}{\Leftrightarrow} (\lambda e - x)^* \notin G(\mathcal{A}) \stackrel{c)}{\Leftrightarrow} \lambda^* e - x^* \notin G(\mathcal{A}) \Leftrightarrow \lambda^* \in \sigma(x^*) \square$

f) Let $\Delta =$ collection of complex homom. of \mathcal{A} , as before. If $h \in \Delta$, define $\phi(x) = (h(x^*))^* \Rightarrow \phi(e) \stackrel{c)}{=} (h(e))^* = 1 \Rightarrow \phi \neq 0$. Also $\phi(xy) = (h((xy)^*))^* = (h(y^*x^*))^* = (h(y^*)h(x^*))^* = \phi(x)\phi(y)$, and $\phi(\alpha x + \beta y) = (h((\alpha x + \beta y)^*))^* = (h(\alpha^*x^* + \beta^*y^*))^* = (\alpha^*h(x^*) + \beta^*h(y^*))^* = \alpha\phi(x) + \beta\phi(y)$. Thus $\phi \in \Delta \stackrel{16.8)}{\Rightarrow} \phi$ is contin. If $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $x_n^* \rightarrow y$,

ϕ contin.

then $(h(x^*))^* = \phi(x) \stackrel{\phi \text{ contin.}}{=} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi(x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(x_n^*)^*$
 $\stackrel{h \text{ contin.}}{=} (\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(x_n^*))^* \stackrel{h \text{ contin.}}{=} h(y)^*$. Thus $h(y) = h(x^*) \quad \forall h \in \Delta$

$\Rightarrow y - x^* \in \text{Ker } h \quad \forall h \in \Delta \Rightarrow y - x^* \in \text{rad } \mathcal{A} = \{0\} \Rightarrow y = x^*$.
 Thus by the closed graph theorem (11.5 & 11.7.)
 the real-linear map $x \mapsto x^*$ is continuous. \square

18.5. Proposition: Suppose \mathcal{A} is a C^* -algebra, then
 $\|x^*\| = \|x\|$ and $\|x^*x\| = \|x^*\| \|x\| = \|xx^*\|$
 for all $x \in \mathcal{A}$, and the map $x \mapsto x^*$ is continuous.

Proof: Since $\|x\|^2 = \|x^*x\| \leq \|x^*\| \|x\|$, we have $\|x\| \leq \|x^*\|$
 for $x \neq 0$. Also $0 = \|0\| \leq \|0^*\|$. Thus $\|x\| \leq \|x^*\| \quad \forall x$
 $\Rightarrow \|x^*\| \leq \|(x^*)^*\| = \|x\| \quad \therefore \|x^*\| = \|x\| \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{A}$.
 $\Rightarrow \|(x+h)^* - x^*\| = \|(x+h-x)^*\| = \|h^*\| = \|h\| \quad \forall x, h \in \mathcal{A}$
 $\Rightarrow *$ is continuous. Finally, $\|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\| \|x\|$
 $= \|x^*\|^2 = \|(x^*)^*x^*\| = \|xx^*\| \quad \square$

complex

18.6. Definition: Consider a \forall algebra \mathcal{A} with an involution, and suppose $S \subset \mathcal{A}$.

- a) If $xy = yx \quad \forall x, y \in S$, then S commutes.
- b) If S commutes and $x^* \in S \quad \forall x \in S$. then S is normal.
- c) If S is normal, and no $S' \subset \mathcal{A}$, with $S \not\subseteq S'$, is normal, S is called maximally normal.

18.7. Theorem: Suppose \mathcal{A} is a Banach algebra with an involution, and $S \subset \mathcal{A}$ is normal.

- a) S is contained in some maximally normal $M \subset \mathcal{A}$.
- b) If S is maximally normal, it is a closed commutative subalgebra of \mathcal{A} . S is also then a commutative Banach algebra, and $\sigma_S(x) = \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \quad \forall x \in S$.

Proof: "a)" Follows from applying Zorn's lemma to the partial order " \subset " on $\mathcal{P} := \{S' \subset \mathcal{A} \mid S' \text{ normal and } S \subset S'\}$. (The upper bound for a totally ordered \mathcal{O} is given by $\cup_{S' \in \mathcal{O}} S'$.)

"b)" Assume that S is maximally normal. If $x \in A$ is normal, and $xy = yx \ \forall y \in S$, then necessarily $x \in S$: since S is normal $\Rightarrow xy^* = y^*x \ \forall y \in S \Rightarrow yx^* = x^*y \ \forall y \in S \Rightarrow S' := S \cup \{x, x^*\}$ is normal $\stackrel{\text{maximal}}{\Rightarrow} x \in S$.

Thus if $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, x \in S \Rightarrow (\alpha x)^*(\alpha x) = |\alpha|^2 x^*x = |\alpha|^2 xx^* = (\alpha x)(\alpha x)^* \Rightarrow \alpha x$ is normal & $\forall y \in S$:

$(\alpha x)y = \alpha(xy) = \alpha(yx) = y(\alpha x)$. Thus $\alpha x \in S$. Similarly,

$x, y \in S \Rightarrow (x+y)^*(x+y) = x^*x + x^*y + y^*x + y^*y$
 $\stackrel{x, y \in S}{=} xx^* + yy^* + x^*y + y^*x = (x+y)(x+y)^*$ and $(xy)^*xy = y^*x^*xy = y^*xx^*y = x^*yy^* = (xy)(xy)^*$
 $\Rightarrow x+y$ and xy are normal and $\forall z \in S: (x+y)z = xz + yz = z(x+y) = z(x+y)$, $(xy)z = xzy = z(xy)$.

$\Rightarrow x+y, xy \in S$. Thus S is a subalgebra of A ,

and $xy = yx \ \forall x, y \in S$. Suppose $x \in \bar{S} \Rightarrow \exists x_n \in S, n \in \mathbb{N}, x_n \rightarrow x$

s.t. $x_n \rightarrow x \stackrel{16.2}{\Rightarrow} \forall y \in S: xy = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (y x_n) = yx$
 and thus also $x^*y = (y^*x)^* = (y^*x_n)^* = y^*x_n^* \ \forall y \in S$
 $\Rightarrow x^*x = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x^*x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n x^*) = xx^* \therefore x \in S$.

This proves that S is a commutative closed subalgebra of A

By 18.4. c), e is normal and as $ey = y = ye \ \forall y \in S$

$\Rightarrow e \in S$. Thus by 16.17. a) S is a commutative Banach algebra.

Suppose $x \in G(A) \cap S \stackrel{18.4. d)}{\Rightarrow} x^* \in G(A) \cap S$ and $(x^{-1})^* = (x^*)^{-1}$

$\Rightarrow x^{-1}(x^{-1})^* = x^{-1}(x^*)^{-1} = (x^*x)^{-1} \stackrel{x \text{ normal}}{=} (xx^*)^{-1} = (x^*)^{-1}x^{-1} = (x^{-1})^*x^{-1}$

$\Rightarrow x^{-1}$ is normal and $\forall y \in S: x^{-1}y = (x^{-1}y)(xx^{-1}) = x^{-1}yx^{-1}$

$\stackrel{x, y \in S}{=} x^{-1}xyx^{-1} = yx^{-1}$. Thus $x^{-1} \in S \Rightarrow x \in G(S)$. As $G(S) \subset G(A)$

$\Rightarrow G(S) = G(A) \cap S$. Therefore, if $x \in S$, we have

$\lambda \in \sigma_S(x) \Leftrightarrow \lambda e - x \notin G(S) \Leftrightarrow \lambda e - x \notin G(A)$ (as $x, e \in S, \lambda e \in G(S)$)

$\Leftrightarrow \lambda \in \sigma_A(x) \therefore \sigma_S(x) = \sigma_A(x) \square$

18.8. Definition: Suppose A is a Banach algebra and $E \subset A$. The closure of the collection of all polynomials formed by elements of E is called the Banach algebra generated by E .

* For explicit definition, see Ex. 11.2, which also shows that the closure forms a Banach algebra.

* The following theorem starts to bind the previous results together:

18.9. Proposition: Suppose A is a C^* -algebra.

If $E \subset A$ is normal, then the Banach subalgebra $A_E \subset A$ generated by E is a commutative C^* -algebra, and a normal subalgebra of A .

Proof. By Ex. 11.2, A_E is a commutative Banach subalgebra and $e \in A_E$. If $x \in A_E \Rightarrow \exists y_n \in \text{span}(F)$ s.t. $y_n \rightarrow x$ where $F = \{e\} \cup \{x_1, \dots, x_n \mid x_i \in E\} \Rightarrow y_n = \sum_{l=1}^{M_n} \alpha_{l,n} \prod_{i=1}^{N_{l,n}} x_{i,l,n}$ where $N_{l,n} = 0 \Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{N_{l,n}} x_{i,l,n} = e$ and $x_{i,l,n} \in E \Rightarrow x_{i,l,n}^* \in E \Rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{N_{l,n}} x_{i,l,n}^* \in F$. Thus always $y_n^* \in \text{span}(F)$. Since $\|x^* - y_n^*\| = \|\prod_{i=1}^{N_{l,n}} x_{i,l,n}^* - \prod_{i=1}^{N_{l,n}} x_{i,l,n}\| \stackrel{18.5}{=} \|x - y_n\| \xrightarrow{18.5} 0$, we thus have $x^* \in \overline{\text{span}(F)} = A_E$. $\therefore A_E$ is normal, and $*$: $A_E \rightarrow A_E$ is an involution for which $\|x^* x\| = \|x\|^2 \forall x \in A_E$. $\therefore A_E$ is a commutative C^* -algebra \square

18.10. \rightarrow

18.11. Theorem: Suppose \mathcal{H} is a (complex) Hilbert space and consider the C^* -algebra $B(\mathcal{H})$. Assume $E_0 \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ commutes and every $x \in E_0$ is normal. Denote $E := E_0 \cup E_0^*$, where $E_0^* := \{x^* \mid x \in E_0\}$, and let A_0 denote the Banach subalgebra generated by E . Then

- a) E is normal
- b) A_0 is a commutative C^* -subalgebra of $B(\mathcal{H})$
- c) If E_0 is finite and nonempty, then the maximal ideal space Δ_0 of A_0 is homeomorphic to a compact subset of \mathbb{C}^{E_0} which is contained in $\prod_{x \in E_0} \sigma(x)$; the map $\Phi: h \mapsto (h(x))_{x \in E_0}$ provides

a homeomorphism. In particular, if $E_0 = \{x_0\}, x_0 \in B(\mathcal{H})$, then Δ_0 is homeomorphic to $\sigma(x_0)$.

Denote $K := \Phi(\Delta_0) \subset \mathbb{C}^{E_0}$, and define $\Psi: C(K) \rightarrow A_0$ by $\Psi(f)^\wedge := f \circ \Phi \in C(\Delta_0) \forall f \in C(K)$. Then Ψ is an isometric isomorphism onto A_0 and $\Psi(f^*) = (\Psi(f))^* \forall f \in C(K)$. Moreover, if $f(z) = z_{x_0} \forall z \in K$ and some $x_0 \in E_0 \Rightarrow \Psi(f) = x_0$.

Proof: "a)" Follows from theorem 18.10." ; If $x, y \in E_0$
 $\Rightarrow x, y$ are normal and $xy = yx \stackrel{18.10}{\Rightarrow} x^*y = yx^*$
 and $y^*x = x^*y$ and $x^*y^* = (yx)^* = (xy)^* = y^*x^*$. Thus
 $E = E_0 \cup E_0^*$ commutes and $x \in E \Rightarrow x^* \in E$. \square

"b)" Follows from a) and 18.9. \square

"c)" Let Δ_0 denote the maximal ideal space of A_0 which
 is nonempty, and define $\Phi: \Delta_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{E_0}$ by $\Phi(h)_x := h(x)$
 $\forall x \in E_0 \Rightarrow \Phi(h)_x = \hat{x}(h) \forall x \in E_0$. As each component is a
 continuous map $\Delta_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (by def. of Gelfand topology)

$\Rightarrow \Phi$ is a continuous map onto $K := \Phi(\Delta_0)$. Moreover,
 by 17.8.c) $\Phi(h)_x \in \sigma(x) \forall x \in E_0 \Rightarrow K \subset \prod_{x \in E_0} \sigma(x)$, and by 17.8.a)
 Δ_0 is compact $\Rightarrow K$ is compact. Suppose $x \in E_0$ then that $h_1, h_2 \in \Delta_0$
 and $\Phi(h_1) = \Phi(h_2) \Rightarrow h_1(x) = h_2(x) \forall x \in E_0 \stackrel{18.10}{\Rightarrow}$

$h_1(x^*) = h_1(x)^* = h_2(x)^* = h_2(x^*)$. Thus then $h_1(x) = h_2(x)$
 $\forall x \in E$. Since h_1, h_2 are complex homom. $\Rightarrow h_1(e) = 1 = h_2(e)$

and (by induction) $h_1(x_1 \dots x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n h_1(x_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n h_2(x_i)$

$= h_2(x_1 \dots x_n) \forall x_i \in E, i=1, \dots, n$. Since both h_1 and h_2 are
 linear, this shows that $h_1 = h_2$ on $\text{Span}(E)$, which is
 dense in A_0 . As h_1 and h_2 are contin. (16.8.)

$\Rightarrow h_1 = h_2$ on A_0 . Thus Φ is a continuous bijection
 between compact Hausdorff spaces Δ_0 and $K \Rightarrow$

Φ is a homeomorphism, (Suppose $C \subset \Delta_0$ is closed $\stackrel{\Delta_0 \text{ compact}}{\Rightarrow} C$ compact
 $\stackrel{\Phi \text{ contin.}}{\Rightarrow} \Phi(C)$ compact in $K \stackrel{K \text{ Hausd.}}{\Rightarrow} \Phi(C)$ closed in K . As $(\Phi^{-1})^{-1}(C) = C$
 $\stackrel{\Phi \text{ bij.}}{=} \Phi(C)$ this shows that Φ^{-1} is contin.)

If $E_0 = \{x_0\}$, 17.8.c) $\Rightarrow \Phi(\Delta_0) = \hat{x}_0(\Delta_0) = \sigma(x_0)$.

By 18.10. the Gelfand transform $g: A_0 \rightarrow C(\Delta_0)$, $g(x) = \hat{x}$,
 an isometric $*$ -isomorphism. If $f \in C(K) \Rightarrow f \circ \Phi \in C(\Delta_0)$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{U}(f) := g^{-1}(f \circ \Phi) \in A_0$. Also $\|\mathcal{U}(f)\| = \|f \circ \Phi\|_\infty$

$= \max_{h \in \Delta_0} |f(\Phi(h))| = \max_{z \in K = \sigma(x_0)} |f(z)| = \|f\|_\infty \Rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is an isometry.

If $x \in A_0 \Rightarrow g(x) \in C(\Delta_0) \Rightarrow f := g(x) \circ \Phi^{-1} \in C(K)$ and $f \circ \Phi = g(x)$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{U}(f) = x$. Thus \mathcal{U} is onto. If $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}, f_1, f_2 \in C(K)$

$\Rightarrow (\alpha f_1 + \beta f_2) \circ \Phi = \alpha f_1 \circ \Phi + \beta f_2 \circ \Phi \stackrel{g^{-1} \text{ lin.}}{\Rightarrow} \mathcal{U}(\alpha f_1 + \beta f_2) = \alpha \mathcal{U}(f_1) + \beta \mathcal{U}(f_2)$

Similarly, $((f_1 f_2) \circ \Phi)(h) = f_1(\Phi(h)) f_2(\Phi(h)) = ((f_1 \circ \Phi)(f_2 \circ \Phi))(h) \forall h \in \Delta_0$

$\Rightarrow \mathcal{U}(f_1 f_2) = \mathcal{U}(f_1) \mathcal{U}(f_2)$. Finally, if $\mathcal{U}(f_1) = \mathcal{U}(f_2)$

$\Rightarrow f_1 \circ \Phi = f_2 \circ \Phi \Rightarrow f_1 = f_2$. Thus \mathcal{U} is a bijection.

$\therefore \mathcal{U}$ = isometric isomorphism. $x = \mathcal{U}(f) \Rightarrow g(x^*) = g(x)^* = (f \circ \Phi)^*$

$\Rightarrow g(x^*)(h) = f(\Phi(h))^* = f^*(\Phi(h)) \forall h \Rightarrow g(x^*) = f^* \circ \Phi \Rightarrow x^* = \mathcal{U}(f^*)$.

If $x_0 \in E_0 \Rightarrow g(x_0)(h) = h(x_0) = \Phi(h)_{x_0} = (f_0 \circ \Phi)(h) \Rightarrow x_0 = \mathcal{U}(f_0)$ as $f_0 \in C(K)$ \square

18.10. Theorem (Gelfand-Naimark)

Suppose A is a commutative C^* -algebra, with maximal ideal space Δ . The Gelfand transform $g: x \mapsto \hat{x}$ is then an isometric $*$ -isomorphism of A onto $C(\Delta)$. (This means that g is an isomorphism, isometry, and $g(x^*) = g(x)^* \forall x \in A$.) In addition, $x \in A$ is self-adjoint iff, $g(x) = \hat{x}$ is real-valued.

Proof: Assume first that $x \in A, x^* = x$, and $h \in \Delta$. Set

$$y_t := x + ite, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \text{and } \alpha = \operatorname{Re} h(x), \quad \beta = \operatorname{Im} h(x)$$

$$\Rightarrow h(x) = \alpha + i\beta \Rightarrow h(y_t) = \alpha + i\beta + it h(e) = \alpha + i(\beta + t)$$

$$\Rightarrow |h(y_t)|^2 = \alpha^2 + (\beta + t)^2 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R}. \quad \text{But } y_t^* y_t = (x^* - ite)(x + ite)$$

$$= x^*x + itx^* - itx + t^2 e \stackrel{x^*=x}{=} x^*x + t^2 e \quad \text{and thus by 16.8.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha^2 + (\beta + t)^2 = |h(y_t)|^2 \leq \|y_t\|^2 \stackrel{16.8}{=} \|y_t^* y_t\| \leq \|x^*x\| + t^2 = \|x\|^2 + t^2$$

$$\Rightarrow \alpha^2 + \beta^2 + 2\beta t \leq \|x\|^2 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{R} \Rightarrow \beta = 0 \Rightarrow \hat{x}(h) = h(x) \in \mathbb{R} \quad \forall h$$

$$\Rightarrow g(x) \text{ is real-valued. (proves "}\Rightarrow\text{" in the final statement)}$$

If $x \in A \stackrel{18.7.b)}{\Rightarrow} x = u + iv, u, v$ self-adjoint, $\stackrel{g \text{ homom.}}{\Rightarrow} g(x) = g(u) + ig(v)$

$$\rightarrow \underbrace{g(x)(h)}_{\in \mathbb{R}} = \underbrace{\hat{u}(h)}_{\in \mathbb{R}} + i \underbrace{\hat{v}(h)}_{\in \mathbb{R}} \Rightarrow g(x)(h)^* = \hat{u}(h) - i \hat{v}(h) = g(u - iv)(h)$$

$\Rightarrow g(x)^* = g(u - iv) = g(x^*)$. Thus g is a $*$ -homomorphism onto \hat{A} which is a subalgebra of $C(\Delta)$. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}, \alpha e \in A$ and $g(\alpha e)(h) = h(\alpha e) = \alpha h(e) = \alpha \quad \forall h \in \Delta \Rightarrow \hat{A}$ contains constant functions. In addition, $h_1 \neq h_2 \Rightarrow \exists x \in A$ s.t. $h_1(x) \neq h_2(x)$ and thus \hat{A} separates points on Δ . Thus \hat{A} is a closed subalgebra of $C(\Delta)$, which contains constants and separates points on Δ . (16.17.a) If $f \in \overline{\hat{A}}, \exists x_n \in A$ s.t. $\hat{x}_n \rightarrow f \Rightarrow \hat{x}_n^* \rightarrow f^*$, and since $\hat{x}_n^* = g(x_n)^* = g(x_n^*) \in \hat{A} \Rightarrow f^* \in \overline{\hat{A}}$. Therefore, $\overline{\hat{A}}$ satisfies the assumptions of the Stone-Weierstrass theorem $\Rightarrow \overline{\hat{A}} = C(\Delta)$ i.e. \hat{A} is dense in $C(\Delta)$.

Suppose then that $x \in A$ and set $y := x^*x \stackrel{18.7.a)}{\Rightarrow} y^* = y$

$$\Rightarrow \|y^2\| = \|y^*y\| \stackrel{17.8}{\leq} \|y\|^2 \Rightarrow \|y^m\| = \|y\|^m \quad \forall m = 2^n, n \in \mathbb{N}_+$$

(Proof: induction, $\|y^{2^m}\| = \|y^{2^{m-1}} y^{2^{m-1}}\| = \|(y^{2^{m-1}})^* y^{2^{m-1}}\| = \|y^{2^{m-1}}\|^2 = \|y\|^{2^m}$.)

$$\stackrel{16.14.c)}{\Rightarrow} \sigma(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y^{2^n}\|^{1/2^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y^{2^n}\|^{2^{-n}} = \|y\| \stackrel{17.8.c)}{\Rightarrow} \|\hat{y}\|_\infty = \sigma(y) = \|y\|.$$

But $\hat{y}(h) = h(y) = h(x^*x) = h(x^*)h(x) = |\hat{x}(h)|^2$ since now $h(x^*) = g(x^*)(h) = g(x)^*(h) = h(x)^*$. Thus $\|\hat{x}\|_\infty^2 = \sup_{h \in \Delta} |\hat{x}(h)|^2 = \|\hat{y}\|_\infty = \|y\| = \|x^*x\| = \|x\|^2 \Rightarrow \|g(x)\|_\infty = \|x\|.$

Thus g is an isometry $\Rightarrow \|g(x) - g(x')\|_\infty = \|x - x'\| \Rightarrow g$ is 1-1

⊛ Thus if $g(x)(h) \in \mathbb{R} \forall h \Rightarrow g(x) = g(x)^* = g(x^*) \Rightarrow x = x^*$. Proves " \Leftarrow " in the final statement. (146")

Thus g is bijective homomorphism $\Rightarrow g$ is isomorphism.

$\therefore g$ is isometric isomorphism, and $g(x^*) = g(x)^* \Rightarrow$

$*$ -isomorphism. ⊛ Finally, if $x_n \in \mathcal{A}$ is such that $g(x_n) \rightarrow f$

in $C(\Delta)$, then $\|x_m - x_n\| = \|g(x_m - x_n)\|_{\infty} = \|g(x_m) - g(x_n)\|_{\infty}$

$\Rightarrow (x_n)$ is Cauchy in $\mathcal{A} \Rightarrow \exists x$ s.t. $x_n \rightarrow x$ and $\|g(x) - g(x_n)\|$

$= \|x - x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow g(x_n) \rightarrow g(x)$ and thus $f = g(x) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}}$.

Therefore, $\widehat{\mathcal{A}}$ is closed $\Rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{A}} = C(\Delta)$. \square

18.10.1 Theorem (Stone-Weierstrass)

non-empty

Suppose K is a compact Hausdorff space, and consider the Banach algebra $C(K)$. If $\mathcal{A} \subset C(K)$ satisfies

a) \mathcal{A} is a subalgebra

b) \mathcal{A} is closed in $C(K)$

c) The constant map $a \mapsto 1$ belongs to \mathcal{A}

d) \mathcal{A} separates points on K ,

and e) $f \in \mathcal{A} \Rightarrow f^* \in \mathcal{A}$,

then $\mathcal{A} = C(K)$.

We will return to its proof later. (We will prove the more general Bishop's theorem.) As will we prove

18.10.2 Theorem (Euglede-Putnam-Rosenblum)

Suppose \mathcal{H} is a (complex) Hilbert space, and $M, N, T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

If M, N are normal, and $MT = TN$, then $M^*T = TN^*$.