

16.21. Theorem: Suppose A is a Banach algebra and $x \in A$. If $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ is open, and $\sigma(x) \subset \Omega$, then $\exists \delta > 0$ such that $\sigma(x+y) \subset \Omega$ for all $y \in A$ with $\|y\| < \delta$.

Proof: By 16.14., the set $\rho(x) = \sigma(x)^c$ is open and nonempty, and the map $\lambda \mapsto (\lambda e - x)^{-1}$ is strongly holom. on $\rho(x) \Rightarrow$ continuous on $\rho(x)$. Thus $\lambda \mapsto \|(\lambda e - x)^{-1}\|$ is a contin. map $\rho(x) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$. If $|\lambda| \geq 1 + 2\|x\| \Rightarrow \|\lambda^{-1}x\| \leq \frac{1}{1+2\|x\|} \|x\| \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and by 16.7. a), $\|(e - \lambda^{-1}x)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1-\frac{1}{2}} = 2$. Thus

$$\|(\lambda e - x)^{-1}\| = \|\lambda^{-1}(e - \lambda^{-1}x)^{-1}\| = \frac{1}{|\lambda|} \|(e - \lambda^{-1}x)^{-1}\| \leq 2 \quad \forall |\lambda| > 1 + 2\|x\|.$$

On the other hand, $\overline{B(0, 1+2\|x\|)} \cap \Omega^c$ is compact and contained in $\rho(x)$. ($\sigma(x) \subset \Omega \Rightarrow \sigma(x)^c = \rho(x) \supset \Omega^c$). Thus $\exists M > 0$ s.t. $\|(\lambda e - x)^{-1}\| \leq M \quad \forall \lambda \in \Omega^c$. Set $\delta := \frac{1}{M} > 0$, and assume $y \in A$ with $\|y\| < \delta$. If $\lambda \in \Omega^c$, then $\lambda e - (x+y) = (\lambda e - x)(e - (\lambda e - x)^{-1}y)$ where $\lambda e - x \in G(A)$ as $\lambda \in \rho(x)$ and $e - (\lambda e - x)^{-1}y \in G(A)$ as $\|(\lambda e - x)^{-1}y\| \leq M \cdot \|y\| < 1$. (Apply 16.7.a) $\Rightarrow \lambda e - (x+y) \in G(A) \Rightarrow \lambda \in \sigma(x+y)^c$. $\therefore \sigma(x+y) \subset \Omega \quad \square$

17. Gelfand theory of commutative Banach algebras

17.1. Definition: Suppose \mathcal{C} is a commutative complex algebra. $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{C}$ is called an ideal if

- a) \mathcal{J} is a subspace, and
- b) $xy \in \mathcal{J} \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{C}, y \in \mathcal{J}$

* If \mathcal{J} is an ideal, and $\mathcal{J} \neq \mathcal{C}$, it is a proper ideal.

* If \mathcal{J} is a proper ideal which is not contained in any larger proper ideal, it is called a maximal ideal.

(Then, if \mathcal{J}' is an ideal and $\mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J}'$, we must have $\mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{J}$ or $\mathcal{J}' = \mathcal{C}$.)

17.2. Proposition:

- a) If J is a proper ideal in a commutative complex algebra \mathcal{C} and $x \in \mathcal{C}$ is invertible, then $x \notin J$.
- b) If J is an ideal in a commutative Banach algebra, then \bar{J} is also an ideal.

Proof: Exercise 11.1. \square

17.3. Theorem

- a) If \mathcal{C} is a commutative complex algebra with unit, then every proper ideal of \mathcal{C} is contained in a maximal ideal of \mathcal{C} .
- b) If \mathcal{C} is a commutative Banach algebra, then every maximal ideal of \mathcal{C} is closed.

Proof: "a)" Let $J_0 \subset \mathcal{C}$ be a proper ideal, and \mathcal{P} denote the collection of proper ideals J for which $J_0 \subset J$. " \subset " defines a partial order on \mathcal{P} . (See p. 107) Suppose \mathcal{O} is totally ordered nonempty subset of \mathcal{P} , and define $J' := \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{O}} J$. Now the unit $e \in \mathcal{C}$ is invertible $\stackrel{17.2.a)}{\Rightarrow} e \notin J \ \forall J \in \mathcal{O} \Rightarrow e \notin J'$. Thus $J' \neq \mathcal{C}$, and obviously $J_0 \subset J'$. If $x, y \in \mathcal{C}$, $x, y \in J' \Rightarrow \exists J_1, J_2 \in \mathcal{O}$ s.t. $x \in J_1, y \in J_2$ and either $J_1 \subset J_2$ or $J_2 \subset J_1$. $\Rightarrow \exists J \in \mathcal{O}$ s.t. $x, y \in J \Rightarrow \alpha x + \beta y \in J \subset J'$. Thus J' is a subspace. If also $z \in \mathcal{C}$, then $zy \in J_2$ (= ideal) $\Rightarrow zy \in J'$. Thus J' is a proper ideal with $J_0 \subset J'$ $\Rightarrow J' \in \mathcal{P}$ is an upper bound for \mathcal{O} . Thus by Zorn's lemma $\Rightarrow \exists$ maximal element $J \in \mathcal{P}$. \square

"b)" Suppose $J \subset \mathcal{C}$ is a maximal ideal. By 17.2.a) then $J \subset G(\mathcal{C})^c = \text{closed} \Rightarrow \bar{J} \subset G(\mathcal{C})^c \Rightarrow e \notin \bar{J} \Rightarrow \bar{J} \neq \mathcal{C}$. By 17.2.b), \bar{J} is an ideal, and thus it is a proper ideal. Since $J \subset \bar{J}$, this implies $J = \bar{J}$. \square

17.4 Theorem: Suppose \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{C}_2 are commutative Banach algebras.

a) If $\Phi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ is a homomorphism, then $\ker \Phi$ is an ideal in \mathcal{C} , which is closed in case Φ is continuous.

b) If \mathcal{J} is a proper closed ideal in \mathcal{C} , then the quotient space \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{J} is also a commutative Banach algebra and the quotient map $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{J}$ is a continuous homomorphism, with $\ker \pi = \mathcal{J}$.

Proof: "a)" Denote $\mathcal{J} := \ker \Phi$. Since Φ is linear, \mathcal{J} is a subspace (5.1.). If $x \in \mathcal{C}$, $y \in \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow \Phi(xy) = \Phi(x)\Phi(y) = \Phi(x)0_{\mathcal{C}_2} = 0_{\mathcal{C}_2} \Rightarrow xy \in \ker \Phi = \mathcal{J}$. Thus \mathcal{J} is an ideal.

"b)" Since \mathcal{J} is a closed subspace $\Rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2 := \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{J}$ is a Banach space (Theorem 9.3.f) and π is linear, continuous and $\ker \pi = \mathcal{J}$. (9.2. & 9.3.) Analogously to the linear structure we define $\pi(x)\pi(y) := \pi(xy) \forall x, y \in \mathcal{C}$; this yields a map $\mathcal{C}_2 \times \mathcal{C}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_2$ since, if $x' \sim x$ and $y' \sim y$, then $x' - x \in \mathcal{J}$, $y' - y \in \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow x'y' - xy = x'(y' - y) + (x' - x)y = x'(y' - y) + y(x' - x) \in \mathcal{J} + \mathcal{J} \subset \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow x'y' \sim xy$ and thus $\pi(x'y') = \pi(xy)$. In addition, π is now obviously a homomorphism.

\Rightarrow The unit of \mathcal{C}_2 is $\tilde{e} := \pi(e)$ and \mathcal{C}_2 is a commutative algebra. [Proof: ① $\pi(x)\pi(e) = \pi(xe) = \pi(x) = \pi(ex) = \pi(e)\pi(x)$.

$$\text{② } \pi(x)\pi(y) = \pi(xy) = \pi(yx) = \pi(y)\pi(x) \quad \text{③ } \pi(x)(\pi(y)\pi(z)) = \pi(x)\pi(yz) = \pi(x(yz)) = \pi((xy)z) = \pi(xy)\pi(z) = (\pi(x)\pi(y))\pi(z)$$

$$\text{④ } (\pi(x) + \pi(y))\pi(z) = \pi(x+y)\pi(z) = \pi((x+y)z) = \pi(xz + yz) = \pi(xz) + \pi(yz) = \pi(x)\pi(z) + \pi(y)\pi(z) \Rightarrow \pi(x)(\pi(y) + \pi(z)) = (\pi(y) + \pi(z))\pi(x) = \pi(y)\pi(x) + \pi(z)\pi(x) = \pi(x)\pi(y) + \pi(x)\pi(z).$$

$$\text{⑤ } \alpha(\pi(x)\pi(y)) = \alpha\pi(xy) = \pi(\alpha(xy)) = \pi((\alpha x)y) = \pi(\alpha x)\pi(y) = (\alpha\pi(x))\pi(y) \Rightarrow \pi(x)(\alpha\pi(y)) = (\alpha\pi(y))\pi(x) = \alpha(\pi(y)\pi(x)) = \alpha(\pi(x)\pi(y)) \quad \square$$

The norm of \mathcal{C}_2 is defined by $\|\pi(x)\| = \inf \{ \|x - y\| \mid y \in \mathcal{J} \} \leq \|x\|$. (9.3.e). Thus for all $x, x' \in \mathcal{C}$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists y, y' \in \mathcal{J}$ s.t.

$$\|\pi(x)\| + \varepsilon > \|x - y\| \quad \text{and} \quad \|\pi(x')\| + \varepsilon > \|x' - y'\|.$$

$$\text{Since } (x - y)(x' - y') = xx' - xy' - yx' + yy' = xx' + \underbrace{(-x)y'}_{\in \mathcal{J}} + \underbrace{(-x')y}_{\in \mathcal{J}} + \underbrace{yy'}_{\in \mathcal{J}} \Rightarrow (x - y)(x' - y') \in xx' - \mathcal{J}, \text{ and thus}$$

$\Rightarrow \|\pi(x-x')\| \leq \|(x-y)(x'-y')\| \leq \|x-y\| \|x'-y'\| \leq (\|\pi(x)\| + \epsilon)(\|\pi(x')\| + \epsilon) \forall \epsilon > 0$
 $\Rightarrow \|\pi(x-x')\| \leq \|\pi(x)\| \|\pi(x')\| \forall x, x' \in \mathcal{C}$. Finally, as \mathcal{J} is a proper ideal, $e \notin \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow e \neq 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{e} \neq 0_{\mathcal{E}_2} \Rightarrow \|\tilde{e}\| > 0$.
 On the other hand, $\|\tilde{e}\| \equiv \|\tilde{e}\tilde{e}\| \leq \|\tilde{e}\| \|\tilde{e}\| \Rightarrow 1 \leq \|\tilde{e}\|$
 $= \|\pi(e)\| \leq \|e\| = 1 \Rightarrow \|\tilde{e}\| = 1$. $\therefore \mathcal{E}_2$ is a Banach algebra \square

17.5. Theorem: Suppose \mathcal{C} is a commutative Banach algebra, and let Δ denote the collection of all complex homomorphisms of \mathcal{C} .

- a) If M is a maximal ideal of \mathcal{C} , then $M = \text{Ker } h$ for some $h \in \Delta$.
- b) If $h \in \Delta$, then $\text{Ker } h$ is a maximal ideal of \mathcal{C} .
- c) $x \in \mathcal{C}$ is invertible $\Leftrightarrow h(x) \neq 0 \forall h \in \Delta$
- d) $x \in \mathcal{C}$ is invertible $\Leftrightarrow x$ lies in no proper ideal of \mathcal{C} .
- e) $\lambda \in \sigma(x) \Leftrightarrow h(x) = \lambda$ for some $h \in \Delta$.

Proof: "a)" Let M be a maximal ideal of \mathcal{C} . $\stackrel{17.3}{\Rightarrow} M$ is closed
 $\stackrel{17.4.b}{\Rightarrow} \mathcal{C}/M$ is a Banach algebra. If $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}$, but $x_0 \notin M$, define $\mathcal{J} := \{ax_0 + y \mid a \in \mathcal{C}, y \in M\}$. Then \mathcal{J} is an ideal in \mathcal{C} :
 $\alpha(ax_0 + y) + \beta(a'x_0 + y') = (\alpha a + \beta a')x_0 + \alpha y + \beta y' \in \mathcal{J}$
 and $a'(ax_0 + y) = (a'a)x_0 + a'y \in \mathcal{J} \forall a, a' \in \mathcal{C}, y, y' \in M (= \text{ideal})$.
 If $y \in M \Rightarrow y = 0x_0 + y \in \mathcal{J}$, but also $x_0 = ex_0 + 0 \in \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow M \subsetneq \mathcal{J}$. Since M is maximal, then $\mathcal{J} = \mathcal{C} \Rightarrow e \in \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow \exists a_0 \in \mathcal{C}, y_0 \in M$ s.t. $a_0x_0 + y_0 = e$. If $\pi: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}/M$ denotes the quotient map, then $\pi(e) = \pi(a_0x_0) = \pi(a_0)\pi(x_0) = \pi(x_0)\pi(a_0)$ (17.4.) $\Rightarrow \pi(x_0)$ is invertible. If $x_0 \in M$, then $\pi(x_0) = \pi(0)$. Thus every nonzero element in \mathcal{C}/M is invertible $\stackrel{16.6}{\Rightarrow} \exists$ isomorphism $\Phi: \mathcal{C}/M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Set $h := \Phi \circ \pi$, when by 17.4.b) h is a homomorphism.
 $(h(xy) = \Phi(\pi(xy)) = \Phi(\pi(x)\pi(y)) = \Phi(\pi(x))\Phi(\pi(y)) = h(x)h(y))$
 In addition, $h(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \Phi(\pi(x)) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \pi(x) = \Phi^{-1}(0) = \pi(0) \Leftrightarrow x \sim 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in M$. Since $M \neq \mathcal{C}$, it follows that $h \neq 0$.
 $\therefore h \in \Delta$ and $\text{Ker } h = M \square$

"b)" $h \in \Delta \stackrel{17.4.a)}{\Rightarrow} \mathcal{J} := \text{Ker } h$ is a closed ideal. By 16.6. $h(e) = 1 \Rightarrow e \notin \mathcal{J} \Rightarrow \mathcal{J}$ is proper. However, if $x \notin \mathcal{J}$, then $h(x-h(x)e) = h(x) - h(x)h(e) = h(x) - h(x) = 0 \Rightarrow x - h(x)e \in \mathcal{J}$.

If M is an ideal with $J \not\subseteq M \Rightarrow \exists x_0 \in M$ s.t. $h(x_0) \neq 0$
 $\Rightarrow e = \frac{1}{h(x_0)} (h(x_0)e - x_0 + x_0) = -\frac{1}{h(x_0)} \underbrace{(x_0 - h(x_0)e)}_{e \in J \subset M} + \frac{1}{h(x_0)} x_0 \in M$
 17.2.a) $\Rightarrow M = \mathcal{E}$. Thus J is a maximal ideal. \square

"c)" If $x_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ is invertible and $h \in \Delta \stackrel{17.6}{\Rightarrow} h(x_0) \neq 0$.
 If $x_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ is not invertible, define $J := \{ax_0 \mid a \in \mathcal{E}\}$.
 $\Rightarrow e \notin J$ (else $\exists a$ s.t. $e = ax_0 = x_0 a \stackrel{17.2}{\Rightarrow}$), and J is an
 ideal ($\alpha(ax_0) + \beta(a'x_0) = (\alpha a + \beta a')x_0 \in J$, $a'(ax_0) = (a'a)x_0 \in J$).
 $\Rightarrow J$ is a proper ideal $\stackrel{17.3}{\Rightarrow} \exists$ maximal ideal M s.t. $J \subset M$.
 $\stackrel{17.2.a)}{\Rightarrow} \exists h \in \Delta$ s.t. $\ker h = M$. Since $x_0 = ex_0 \in J \subset M \Rightarrow h(x_0) = 0$. \square

"d)" If x_0 not invertible $\Rightarrow x_0 \in J := \{ax_0 \mid a \in \mathcal{E}\} =$ proper ideal.
 If x_0 invertible and $J =$ proper ideal $\stackrel{17.2.a)}{\Rightarrow} x_0 \notin J$. \square

"e)" Suppose $x_0 \in \mathcal{E}$. Then $\lambda \in \sigma(x_0) \Leftrightarrow \lambda e - x_0$ not invertible
 $\stackrel{c)}{\Leftrightarrow} \exists h \in \Delta$ s.t. $h(\lambda e - x_0) = 0$. But if $h \in \Delta$, then
 $h(e) = 1$ and $h(\lambda e - x_0) = \lambda h(e) - h(x_0) = \lambda - h(x_0)$.
 Thus $\lambda \in \sigma(x_0) \Leftrightarrow \exists h \in \Delta$ s.t. $\lambda = h(x_0)$. \square

17.6. Definition: Assume \mathcal{E} is a commutative Banach algebra,
 and let Δ collect its complex homomorphisms.

For $x \in \mathcal{E}$ define its Gelfand transform as the map

$$\hat{x}: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \quad \hat{x}(h) := h(x) \quad \forall h \in \Delta.$$

Set $\hat{\mathcal{E}} := \{\hat{x} \mid x \in \mathcal{E}\}$. The $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ -weak topology on Δ is
 called the Gelfand topology, and Δ endowed with
 this topology is called the maximal ideal space of \mathcal{E} .

* By definition, every \hat{x} is continuous, and thus
 $\hat{\mathcal{E}} \subset C(\Delta) := \{f: \Delta \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ is continuous}\}$.

* The name for Δ is explained by 17.5, by which
 $h \in \Delta, M = \ker h \Leftrightarrow M$ is a maximal ideal of \mathcal{E}

17.7. Definition: Assume \mathcal{E} is a commutative Banach algebra.
 The radical of $\mathcal{E} := \bigcap_{M \text{ is a maximal ideal}} M$ is denoted by $\text{rad } \mathcal{E}$.

* Every ideal is a vector space and thus contains zero.
 On the other hand, $\{0\}$ is always a proper ideal of \mathcal{E}
 $\stackrel{17.3}{\Rightarrow} \exists$ maximal ideal $\Rightarrow 0 \in \text{rad } \mathcal{E}$. If $\text{rad } \mathcal{E} = \{0\}$
 the algebra \mathcal{E} is called semisimple. (Proves also that $\Delta \neq \emptyset$.)

17.8. Theorem: Let Δ be the maximal ideal space of a commutative Banach algebra \mathcal{E} .

- a) Δ is a compact Hausdorff space, and $C(\Delta)$ is a Banach algebra, with norm $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{h \in \Delta} |f(h)|$.
- b) The map $x \mapsto \hat{x}$ is a homomorphism of \mathcal{E} onto a subalgebra $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ of $C(\Delta)$, and its kernel is $\text{rad } \mathcal{E}$. It is an isomorphism iff \mathcal{E} is semisimple.
- c) For any $x \in \mathcal{E}$, the range of \hat{x} is equal to $\sigma(x)$. In addition, $\|\hat{x}\|_\infty = r_\sigma(x) \leq \|x\|$, and $x \in \text{rad } \mathcal{E}$ if and only if $r_\sigma(x) = 0$.

Proof: "a)" & "c)" Suppose $x, y \in \mathcal{E}$, $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$, and $h \in \Delta$.
 Then $(\alpha x)^\wedge(h) = h(\alpha x) = \alpha h(x) = \alpha \hat{x}(h) = (\alpha \hat{x})(h)$,
 $(x+y)^\wedge(h) = h(x+y) = h(x) + h(y) = \hat{x}(h) + \hat{y}(h) = (\hat{x} + \hat{y})(h)$, and
 $(xy)^\wedge(h) = h(xy) = h(x)h(y) = \hat{x}(h)\hat{y}(h) = (\hat{x}\hat{y})(h) \Rightarrow$
 $(\alpha x)^\wedge = \alpha \hat{x}$, $(x+y)^\wedge = \hat{x} + \hat{y}$, and $(xy)^\wedge = \hat{x}\hat{y}$. Thus
 $\underline{\Phi}: \mathcal{E} \rightarrow C(\Delta)$ def. by $\underline{\Phi}(x) := \hat{x}$ is a homomorphism.
 $\Rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{E}} = \underline{\Phi}(\mathcal{E})$ is a subalgebra. (Proof as on p. 136.)
 Also $x \in \text{Ker } \underline{\Phi} \Leftrightarrow \hat{x}(h) = 0 \ \forall h \in \Delta \Leftrightarrow h(x) = 0 \ \forall h \in \Delta$
 $\Leftrightarrow x \in \text{Ker } h \ \forall h \in \Delta \stackrel{17.5}{\Leftrightarrow} x \in M \ \forall M = \text{maximal ideal} \Leftrightarrow x \in \text{rad } \mathcal{E}$.
 Thus $\underline{\Phi}$ injective $\Leftrightarrow \text{Ker } \underline{\Phi} = \{0\} \Leftrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is semisimple.
 This proves "b)".

By 17.5.e), $\lambda \in \sigma(x) \Leftrightarrow \exists h \in \Delta$ s.t. $\lambda = h(x)$
 $\Leftrightarrow \exists h \in \Delta$ s.t. $\lambda = \hat{x}(h) \Leftrightarrow \lambda \in \hat{x}(\Delta)$. Thus $\hat{x}(\Delta) = \sigma(x)$.
 $\Rightarrow \|\hat{x}\|_\infty = \sup_{h \in \Delta} |\hat{x}(h)| = \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(x)} |\lambda| = r_\sigma(x) \leq \|x\|$. Therefore

$\Delta \leftarrow x \in \text{rad } \mathcal{E} \Leftrightarrow \hat{x} = 0 \Leftrightarrow 0 = \|\hat{x}\|_\infty = r_\sigma(x)$. This proves "c)"
 "a)" Let \mathcal{E}^* denote the dual of \mathcal{E} . By Ex. 8.2,
 the set $K := \{\lambda \in \mathcal{E}^* \mid \|\lambda\| \leq 1\}$ is weak*-compact.
 (Let $V_0 = B(0, 1) \subset \mathcal{E}$. Then $\lambda \in K \Leftrightarrow |\lambda x| \leq 1 \ \forall \|x\| \leq 1$)
 $\Leftrightarrow |\lambda x| \leq 1 \ \forall x \in V_0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda \in \text{Polar of } V_0 = \text{weak}^*\text{-compact}$
 by Banach-Alaoglu.

$\|x\| = 1 \Rightarrow \forall \epsilon > 0$:
 $|\lambda x| = \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} |\lambda((1-\epsilon)x)| \leq \frac{1}{1-\epsilon} \Rightarrow |\lambda x| \leq 1$.

16.8.

If $h \in \Delta \Rightarrow h \in \mathcal{C}^*$ and $\|h\| \leq 1 \Rightarrow h \in \mathcal{K}$. Thus $\Delta \in \mathcal{K}$.
 Let τ denote the Gelfand topology on Δ and τ' the relative topology induced by the weak*-topology on Δ .
 Since $\hat{\mathcal{E}}$ separates points on Δ ($h_1 \neq h_2 \Rightarrow \exists x \in \mathcal{E}$ s.t. $h_1(x) \neq h_2(x) \Rightarrow \hat{x}(h_1) \neq \hat{x}(h_2)$ with $\hat{x} \in \hat{\mathcal{E}}$), and \mathbb{C} is Hausdorff $\Rightarrow \tau$ is Hausdorff by Lemma 13.2.
 We will soon prove that Δ is weak*-closed $\Rightarrow \Delta$ is weak* compact (as \mathcal{K} is) $\Rightarrow \Delta$ is compact under τ' . On the other hand, $\hat{x} = f_x|_{\Delta}$ where $f_x: \mathcal{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is weak*-contn. $\Rightarrow \hat{x}$ is τ' -continuous (see p. 54) $\stackrel{\text{by def.}}{\Rightarrow} \tau \subset \tau'$, where τ is Hausdorff and τ' compact $\stackrel{\text{Ex. 8.3a)}}{\Rightarrow} \tau = \tau'$.
 $\Rightarrow \Delta$ is compact under τ . By Ex. 10.2, $\Rightarrow C(\Delta)$ is a Banach algebra.

Thus to complete the proof of 'a)', it suffices to show that Δ is weak*-closed. Consider $\Lambda_0 \in \bar{\Delta}^{w*} \Rightarrow \Lambda_0 \in \mathcal{C}^* \Rightarrow \Lambda_0$ is linear map $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Suppose $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{E}$, and denote $x_3 = x_1 x_2, x_4 = e$. For $\epsilon > 0$, define $V := \{ \Lambda \in \mathcal{C}^* \mid |\Lambda x_i - \Lambda_0 x_i| < \epsilon \forall i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\} \}$
 $\Rightarrow V \in \mathcal{J}_{w*}$ and $\Lambda_0 \in V \Rightarrow \exists h \in \Delta \cap V$. Then $h \in \Delta \Rightarrow h(e) = 1 \Rightarrow |1 - \Lambda_0 e| = |h(e) - \Lambda_0 e| < \epsilon$. Thus $\Lambda_0 e = 1 \Rightarrow \Lambda_0 \neq 0$.
 Also $\Lambda_0(x_1 x_2) - (\Lambda_0 x_1)(\Lambda_0 x_2) = \Lambda_0(x_1 x_2) - h(x_1 x_2) + h(x_1)h(x_2) - (h(x_1)h(x_2) - (\Lambda_0 x_1)(\Lambda_0 x_2))$
 $= \Lambda_0(x_1 x_2) - h(x_1 x_2) + (h(x_1) - \Lambda_0 x_1)h(x_2) + \Lambda_0 x_1 \cdot (h(x_2) - \Lambda_0 x_2)$
 $\Rightarrow |\Lambda_0(x_1 x_2) - (\Lambda_0 x_1)(\Lambda_0 x_2)| < \epsilon + |h(x_2)|\epsilon + \epsilon |\Lambda_0 x_1 - h(x_1) + h(x_1)|$
 $\leq \epsilon (1 + \|x_2\| + \|x_1\| + \epsilon)$, by 16.8. As ϵ is arbitrary $\Rightarrow \Lambda_0(x_1 x_2) = (\Lambda_0 x_1)(\Lambda_0 x_2)$. $\therefore \Lambda_0 \in \Delta$. $\Delta = \text{weak}^*\text{-closed} \square$

* Here \mathcal{E} may or may not be closed in $C(\Delta)$, i.e., it does not need to be a Banach algebra.

17.9. Theorem: Assume \mathcal{C} and \mathcal{S} are commutative Banach algebras, and that \mathcal{S} is semisimple. If $\underline{\Phi}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a homomorphism, then $\underline{\Phi}$ is continuous.

Proof: By the closed graph theorem (11.7, and 11.5.) it suffices to show that whenever $x_n \rightarrow 0$ in \mathcal{C} is such that $\underline{\Phi}(x_n) \rightarrow y$ in \mathcal{S} we must have $y = \underline{\Phi}(0)$.

Let $\Delta_{\mathbb{C}}$ and Δ_S be the maximal ideal spaces.
 Suppose $h \in \Delta_S \stackrel{16.8}{\Rightarrow} h: S \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is continuous. But then
 $\varphi := h \circ \Phi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a homomorphism. If $\varphi \neq 0$, then
 $\varphi \in \Delta_S \stackrel{16.8}{\Rightarrow}$ it is also continuous, and if $\varphi \equiv 0$, it
 is obviously continuous. Therefore, $h(y) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h(\Phi(x_n))$
 $= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x_n) = \varphi(x) = h(\Phi(x))$.

$\Rightarrow h(y - \Phi(x)) = 0 \ \forall h \in \Delta_S \Rightarrow y - \Phi(x) \in M \ \forall M = \text{max. ideal in } S$
 $\Rightarrow y - \Phi(x) \in \text{rad } S = \{0\} \Rightarrow y = \Phi(x) \therefore \Phi \text{ is cont. } \square$

17.10. Corollaries: Suppose S and S_2 are semi-simple commutative Banach algebras.

- a) If $\Phi: S \rightarrow S_2$ is an isomorphism, it is also a homeomorphism.
- b) Any automorphism of S is a homeomorphism. (i.e. algebra determines topology on S .)

Proof. "a)" $\Phi^{-1}: S_2 \rightarrow S$ is also a homomorphism (easy check.)
 Thus by 17.9, $\Rightarrow \Phi$ and Φ^{-1} are continuous.
 "b)" Follows from a) \square