

* For further use, let us use the notation $\Delta_d, d \in \mathbb{N}_+$, for the standard $(d-1)$ -dimensional simplex:

$$\Delta_d := \{ t \in [0, 1]^d \mid \sum_{i=1}^d t_i = 1 \}.$$

14.7. Lemma: $\Delta_d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is compact.

Proof: The map $f: \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ def. by $f(t) = \sum_{i=1}^d t_i$ is continuous ($\in (\mathbb{R}^d)^+$).

$\Rightarrow \Delta_d = [0, 1]^d \cap f^{-1}\{1\}$ is closed in \mathbb{R}^d . It is obviously bounded $\Rightarrow \Delta_d$ is compact. \square

14.8. Proposition: Suppose $d \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is compact. Set $H := \text{Hull}(K)$. Then $\bar{H} = H$ and H is compact.

Proof: By Tychonoff's theorem and 14.7. the set $\Sigma := \Delta_{d+1} \times K^{d+1} \subset \mathbb{R}^{d+1} \times (\mathbb{R}^d)^{d+1} = \mathbb{R}^{(d+1)^2}$ is compact. The map $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{(d+1)^2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ def. by $\Phi(t, x_1, \dots, x_{d+1}) := \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} t_i x_i \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is obviously contin.

Thus $R := \Phi(\Sigma)$ is compact. Every $x \in R$ is a convex combination of elements of $K \Rightarrow R \subset H$. If $x \in H$, the following

Lemma $\Rightarrow \exists N \leq d+1, u \in \Delta_N, y_i \in K, i=1, \dots, N$, s.t. $x = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i y_i$.
Set $y_{d+1} = y_N, t_i = 0$ for $N < i \leq d+1$, and $t_i = u_i$ for $i \leq N$.
Then $\sum_{i=1}^{d+1} t_i = \sum_{i=1}^N u_i = 1$ and thus $t \in \Delta_{d+1}, y \in K^{d+1}$

and $x = \sum_{i=1}^{d+1} t_i y_i \in R$. Thus $H \subset R \therefore H = R = \text{compact} \Rightarrow \bar{H} = H \square$

14.9. Lemma: Suppose $d \in \mathbb{N}_+, E \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $y_0 \in \text{Hull}(E)$.
Then $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}_+, N \leq d+1$, and $x \in E^N, t \in \Delta_N$
s.t. $y_0 = \sum_{i=1}^N t_i x_i$.

Proof. $y_0 \in \text{Hull}(E) \Rightarrow \exists n \in \mathbb{N}_+, x \in E^n, t \in \Delta_n$ s.t. $y_0 = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i$.
If $n \leq d+1$, we can choose $N = n$. Suppose that $n > d+1$. We claim that then $\exists i_0 \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $t' \in \Delta_n$

s.t. $t_{i_0}' = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i' x_i$.

$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i x_i'$ where $x_i' = \begin{cases} x_i, & i < i_0 \\ x_{i+1}, & i \geq i_0 \end{cases}$

and $u_i = \begin{cases} t_i, & i < i_0 \\ t_{i+1}, & i \geq i_0 \end{cases}$. As $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i' = 1$

$\Rightarrow u \in \Delta_{n-1}$, $x' \in K^{n-1}$ and $y_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i x_i'$. Thus

this procedure can be iterated until $n-1 = d+1$. But then the result holds with $n = d+1$, " t " = u and " x " = x' .

Thus we only need to prove the iteration step. If $t_{i_0} = 0$ for some i_0 we can choose $t' = t$. Otherwise, $t_{i_0} > 0 \forall i$. Since $n-1 > d$, the vectors $x_1 - x_n, \dots, x_{n-1} - x_n$ are linearly dependent. $\Rightarrow \exists \alpha_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, \dots, n-1$, s.t. $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for some i , and $0 = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i (x_i - x_n)$. Set $\alpha_n = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i$

$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 0$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i = 0$. Choose i_0 s.t.

$|\frac{\alpha_i}{t_i}| \leq |\frac{\alpha_{i_0}}{t_{i_0}}| \forall i \leq n. \Rightarrow \alpha_{i_0} \neq 0$ (else $\alpha_i = 0 \forall i$)

\Rightarrow can define $t_i' := t_i - \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_{i_0}} t_{i_0} \forall i \leq n$.

$\Rightarrow t_{i_0}' = 0$ and $t_i' = t_i (1 - \frac{t_{i_0}}{t_i} \frac{\alpha_i}{\alpha_{i_0}}) \geq 0 \forall i$.

In addition, $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i' = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i - \frac{t_{i_0}}{\alpha_{i_0}} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1 \Rightarrow t_i' \leq 1 \forall i$

and $\sum_{i=1}^n t_i' x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i - \frac{t_{i_0}}{\alpha_{i_0}} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^n t_i x_i$. \square

14.10. Definition: Consider a topol. vect. space V . A set $E \subset V$ is called totally bounded, if $\forall V_0 \in \mathcal{T}$ with $0 \in V_0, \exists F \subset V$ s.t.

F is a finite set and $E \subset F + V_0$.

14.11. Proposition: Suppose V is a topol. vect. space and $E \subset V$.

- a) IF E is totally bounded, then E is (topol.) bounded.
- b) IF E is compact, it is totally bounded.
- c) Assume, in addition, that \mathcal{T}_V is induced by an invariant metric d . Then E is totally bounded iff $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists N \in \mathbb{N}_+, x \in V^N$, s.t. $E \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N B(x_i, \epsilon)$.

Proof. Exercise 9.1. \square

14.12. Theorem Suppose V is a locally convex topol. vect. space. IF $E \subset V$ is totally bounded, then $\text{Hull}(E)$ is totally bounded.

Proof. Consider $U \in \mathcal{T}_V$ with $0 \in U$. As V is locally convex $\Rightarrow \exists V_0 \in \mathcal{T}_V$ s.t. $0 \in V_0$, V_0 is convex, and $V_0 + V_0 \subset U$.

Now $\exists N \in \mathbb{N}_+, y \in V^N$ s.t. $E \subset F_1 + V_0$ where $F_1 = \{y_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset V$. Denote $H_1 := \text{Hull}(F_1)$. If $x \in H_1 \Rightarrow \exists M \in \mathbb{N}_+, t \in \Delta_M$, and $i_j \in \{1, \dots, N\}, j=1, \dots, M$, s.t. $x = \sum_{j=1}^M t_j y_{i_j}$

$\Rightarrow x = \sum_{i=1}^N y_i \cdot \underbrace{\sum_{j=1}^M t_j \mathbb{1}(i_j=i)}_{=: \tau(i)} = \sum_{i=1}^N \tau(i) y_i$. Here $0 \leq \tau(i) \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^N \tau(i) = \sum_{j=1}^M t_j = 1 \Rightarrow \tau \in \Delta_N$. This implies that

$H_1 = \Phi(\Delta_N)$ for the map $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow V$ def. by $\Phi(t) = \sum_{i=1}^N t_i y_i$. Since V is a topol. vect. space, Φ is contin. As Δ_N is compact $\Rightarrow H_1$ is compact. $\Rightarrow H_1$ is totally bounded. $\Rightarrow \exists F \subset V$ s.t. $|F| < \infty$ and $H_1 \subset F + V_0$.

Set then $H := \text{Hull}(E)$. If $\tilde{x} \in H \Rightarrow \exists N' \in \mathbb{N}_+, t \in \Delta_{N'}, x \in E^{N'}$ s.t. $\tilde{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{N'} t_i x_i$. Since $x_i \in E \subset F_1 + V_0 \Rightarrow \forall i \exists l_i \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ s.t. $x_i = y_{l_i} + v_i$, $v_i \in V_0$. Thus $\tilde{x} = \sum_{i=1}^{N'} t_i (y_{l_i} + v_i) = \sum_{i=1}^N t_i y_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N'} t_i v_i$. $\in \text{Hull}(V_0) + \text{Hull}(F_1) \stackrel{V_0 \text{ convex}}{\subset} V_0 + H_1$. $\therefore H \subset H_1 + V_0 \subset F + V_0 + V_0 \subset F + U \square$

* The following result generalizes 14.8, to topol. vect. spaces.

14.13. Theorem: Suppose V is a Fréchet space. IF $K \subset V$ is compact, then $\text{Hull}(K)$ is compact.

(14.11, b)

Proof: Set $H := \text{Hull}(K)$. Now $K = \text{compact} \stackrel{\downarrow}{\Rightarrow} K$ totally bounded $\stackrel{14.12.}{\Rightarrow} H$ totally bounded (since $V = \text{Fréchet} \Rightarrow V$ bc. convex.) Let d denote the complete invariant metric compatible with the topology of V , and set $B_\varepsilon := B(0, \varepsilon) \subset V$. Then $\{B_\varepsilon\}_{\varepsilon > 0}$ forms a local base for T_V . Also, by 14.11, c), $\forall \varepsilon > 0 \exists N_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}_+, x_i(\varepsilon) \in V, i = 1, \dots, N_\varepsilon$, s.t. $H \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} B_\varepsilon(x_i)$, where $B_\varepsilon(x_i) := B_\varepsilon(x_i, \varepsilon)$. Thus $\bar{H} \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} \overline{B_\varepsilon(x_i)} \Rightarrow \bar{H} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_\varepsilon} K_\varepsilon(x_i)$ where $K_\varepsilon(x_i) := \bar{H} \cap \overline{B_\varepsilon(x_i)}$ is closed in V .

Consider then an open cover Γ of \bar{H} . Suppose that no finite subset of Γ covers \bar{H} . By the above results there must be some $K_1(x_i)$ s.t. no finite subset of Γ covers it. Choose one and denote $\tilde{K}_1 := K_1(x_i)$. As $\tilde{K}_1 \subset \bar{H} \Rightarrow \tilde{K}_1 = \bigcup_{i=1}^{N_2} (\tilde{K}_1 \cap K_\varepsilon(x_i))$ and thus

$\exists \tilde{K}_2 \subset \bar{H}$ such that $\tilde{K}_2 = \tilde{K}_1 \cap K_{\frac{1}{2}}(x_i)$ and \tilde{K}_2 cannot be covered by finite subsets of Γ . Continuing this iteration construction yields sets $\tilde{K}_n \subset \bar{H}, n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, for which $\tilde{K}_{n+1} = \tilde{K}_n \cap K_{\frac{1}{n+1}}(x_i)$ for some $i \in \{1, \dots, N_{\frac{1}{n+1}}\}$ and which cannot be covered by finite subsets of $\Gamma \Rightarrow$ each $\tilde{K}_n \neq \emptyset$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, choose $x_n \in \tilde{K}_n$.

If $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_+$, then $x_n, x_{n+m} \in \tilde{K}_n \Rightarrow d(x_n, x_{n+m}) \leq d(x_n, x_i(\frac{1}{n})) + d(x_i(\frac{1}{n}), x_{n+m}) \leq \frac{2}{n}$. Thus (x_n) is Cauchy $\Rightarrow \exists x \in V$ s.t. $x_n \rightarrow x$. If $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, then $x_{n+m} \in \tilde{K}_n \forall m \geq 0 \Rightarrow x \in \tilde{K}_n = \tilde{K}_n$. Therefore, $x \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+} \tilde{K}_n \subset \bar{H} \Rightarrow \exists V \in \Gamma$ s.t. $x \in V$.

$\Rightarrow \exists \varepsilon > 0$ s.t. $B(x, \varepsilon) \subset V$. Choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}_+$ so large that $d(x, x_{n_0}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ and $n_0 \geq \frac{3}{\varepsilon}$. Then if $y \in \tilde{K}_{n_0} \Rightarrow d(x, y) \leq d(x, x_{n_0}) + d(x_{n_0}, x_i(\frac{1}{n_0})) + d(x_i(\frac{1}{n_0}), y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{1}{n_0} + \frac{1}{n_0} \leq 3 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon \Rightarrow y \in B(x, \varepsilon)$. Thus $\tilde{K}_{n_0} \subset B(x, \varepsilon) \subset V \in \Gamma$.

$\therefore \Gamma$ has a finite subcover. $\therefore \bar{H}$ is compact. \square

15. "Vector-valued" integration and holomorphic functions

15.1. Definition: Suppose V is a topol. vect. space on which V^* separates points.

Consider a measure μ on a set Q , and assume that $f: Q \rightarrow V$ is such that $\Lambda \circ f \in L^1(\mu) \forall \Lambda \in V^*$.
If $\exists \eta \in V$ s.t.

$$\Lambda \eta = \int_Q \mu(dx) \Lambda(f(x)) \quad \forall \Lambda \in V^*,$$

then we denote $\eta = \int_Q d\mu f = \int_Q \mu(dx) f(x)$.

- * These are called "vector valued integrals" in V .
The functions f above are called weakly integrable and η can be thought of as a "weak integral" of f .
Note the similarity to the weak topology defined earlier.
- * For $V = \mathbb{K}^d$, $\eta_i = \int_Q \mu(dx) f_i(x) \eta_i$, i.e. integrated componentwise.
- * Since V^* is assumed to separate points on V , such η are obviously unique. The difficulty is to prove the existence of a weak integral η . The next theorem gives sufficient conditions for this.

15.2. Theorem Suppose V is a topol. vect. space on which V^* separates points.

Consider a bounded Borel \mathbb{K} -valued measure μ on a compact Hausdorff space Q .

If $f: Q \rightarrow V$ is continuous and Hull($f(Q)$) is compact, then f is weakly integrable and the vector valued integral (VVI) $\int d\mu f$ exists.

In addition, if μ is a probability measure, then $\int_Q d\mu f \in \text{Hull}(f(Q))$.

Proof: a) \rightarrow b) Let us first assume that μ is a Borel probability measure $\Leftrightarrow \int d\mu = 1$ & μ is a positive measure on the Borel σ -algebra of Q = smallest σ -algebra containing all open sets of Q .
 $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ and $V = \mathbb{R}$

$\alpha) \text{ If } \Lambda \in V^* \Rightarrow \Lambda: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \text{ continuous}$
 $\Rightarrow \Lambda \circ f: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{K} \text{ is continuous}$
 $\Rightarrow \text{Borel measurable. Also, since then } \Lambda(f(Q)) \text{ is compact in } \mathbb{K} \Rightarrow \exists M < \infty \text{ s.t.}$
 $|\Lambda(f(x))| \leq M \quad \forall x \in Q. \Rightarrow$
 $\int |\mu|(dx) |\Lambda(f(x))| \leq M \int |\mu|(dx) < \infty$ since μ
 is bounded. Thus $\Lambda \circ f \in L^1(|\mu|) \quad \forall \Lambda \in V^*$.
 $\Rightarrow f$ is weakly integrable.

Denote $H = \text{Hull}(f(Q))$. We need to prove that
 $\exists \eta \in \bar{H}$ s.t.

$$(*) \quad \Lambda \eta = \int_Q \mu(dx) \Lambda(f(x)) \quad \forall \Lambda \in V^*$$

For any $\Lambda \in V^*$, let $E_\Lambda := \{\eta \in \bar{H} \mid \Lambda \eta = \int d\mu \Lambda \circ f\}$.
 $\Rightarrow E_\Lambda = \bar{H} \cap \Lambda^{-1}(c_\Lambda)$ where $c_\Lambda := \int d\mu \Lambda \circ f \in \mathbb{R}$ by a).
 Since Λ is contin. $\Rightarrow \Lambda^{-1}(c_\Lambda)$ is closed $\Rightarrow E_\Lambda$ is closed.
 $\& E_\Lambda \subset \bar{H} = \text{compact} \Rightarrow E_\Lambda$ is compact.

Consider $L \subset V^*$ with $0 < |L| < \infty$. We claim
 that always $\bigcap_{\Lambda \in L} E_\Lambda \neq \emptyset$. As then each E_Λ is compact and
 nonempty and the collection $\{E_\Lambda\}_{\Lambda \in L}$ has the
 finite intersection property $\Rightarrow \bigcap_{\Lambda \in L} E_\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ (as on p. 107)

$$\Rightarrow \exists \eta \in \bigcap_{\Lambda \in L} E_\Lambda \Rightarrow \eta \in \bar{H} \text{ and } \Lambda \eta = \int d\mu \Lambda \circ f \quad \forall \Lambda \in L$$

Thus it suffices to prove that $\bigcap_{\Lambda \in L} E_\Lambda \neq \emptyset$ for any
 $L = \{\Lambda_i\}_{i=1}^N, \Lambda_i \neq \Lambda_j, \Lambda_i \in V^*, 1 \leq i, j \leq N, (N = |L|)$

Consider the map $\Phi: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ defined by
 $(\Phi \eta)_i := \Lambda_i \eta \in \mathbb{R}$. Set $K = \Phi(f(Q))$, and define
 $a \in \mathbb{R}^N$ by $a_i := \int_Q \mu(dx) \Lambda_i(f(x)) \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N$.

We claim that $a \in \text{Hull}(K) =: H_K$.

Consider $t \in \mathbb{R}^N \setminus H_K$. As Φ is continuous, $\Phi \circ f$ is
 continuous $\Rightarrow K$ is compact. Then by 14.8. H_K is
 a compact convex set. By 12.5.b) $\Rightarrow \exists \tilde{\lambda} \in (\mathbb{R}^N)^*$ & $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \mathbb{R}$
 s.t. $\tilde{\lambda} y < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \tilde{\lambda} t \quad \forall y \in H_K$. As noted on p. 95.
 now $\exists b \in \mathbb{R}^N$ s.t. $\tilde{\lambda} y = b \cdot y'$. Since $K \subset H_K \Rightarrow$

$$\sum_{i=1}^N b_i \Lambda_i(f(x)) < \gamma_1 < \gamma_2 < \sum_{i=1}^N b_i t_i \quad \forall x \in Q.$$

Integrating over the positive measure μ yields

$$b \cdot a = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i a_i = \int_{\mu}(dx) \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \Lambda_i(f(x)) \leq \gamma_1 \int_{\mu}(dx) = \gamma_1$$

$$\Rightarrow b \cdot a < b \cdot t \Rightarrow t \neq a.$$

Thus $a \in H_K$. Now if $\nu \in H = \text{Hull}(f(Q)) \Rightarrow \nu = \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i f(x_i)$

Φ linear

$$\Phi(\nu) = \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i \underbrace{\Phi(f(x_i))}_{\in K} \in \text{Hull}(K) = H_K. \text{ Conversely,}$$

$$y \in H_K \Rightarrow y = \sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i \Phi(f(x_i)) = \Phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^M \lambda_i f(x_i)\right) \in \Phi(H)$$

$$\text{Thus } H_K = \Phi(H) \Rightarrow \exists \nu \in H \text{ s.t. } a = \Phi(\nu)$$

$$\Rightarrow \forall i=1, \dots, N : \int_{\mu}(dx) \Lambda_i(f(x)) = \Lambda_i \nu$$

$$\Rightarrow \nu \in \bigcap_{\Lambda \in L} E_{\Lambda} \Rightarrow \bigcap_{\Lambda \in L} E_{\Lambda} \neq \emptyset.$$

i. The theorem holds if $\mu =$ Borel prob. measure and $K = \mathbb{R}$.

c) If $K = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mu =$ real bounded Borel measure \Rightarrow [Rudin, RCA, 6.6.]

$\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$ where μ_{\pm} are bounded positive Borel measures ($\mu_{\pm} := \frac{1}{2}(|\mu| \pm \mu)$). If $\mu^+ \neq 0$ then

$c^+ \int d\mu^+ > 0 \Rightarrow \frac{1}{c^+} \mu^+$ is a Borel probability measure

$$\Rightarrow \exists \nu \in \mathcal{N} \text{ s.t. } \Lambda \nu = \frac{1}{c^+} \int d\mu^+ \Lambda \text{ of } \forall \Lambda \in \mathcal{N}^*$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{for } \nu^+ := c^+ \nu \text{ we have } \Lambda \nu^+ = \int d\mu^+ \Lambda \text{ of } \forall \Lambda \in \mathcal{N}^*$$

If $\mu^+ = 0$ this is true for $\nu^+ = 0$. (RHS is then zero.)

Similarly, $\exists \nu^- \in \mathcal{N}$ s.t. $\Lambda \nu^- = \int d\mu^- \Lambda \text{ of } \forall \Lambda \in \mathcal{N}^*$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda(\nu^+ - \nu^-) = \Lambda \nu^+ - \Lambda \nu^- = \int d\mu^+ \Lambda \text{ of} - \int d\mu^- \Lambda \text{ of} = \int d\mu \Lambda \text{ of} \Rightarrow \nu = \nu^+ - \nu^- \text{ satisfies } (*). \forall \Lambda \in \mathcal{N}^*$$

\therefore The theorem holds for $K = \mathbb{R}$.

Suppose $K = \mathbb{C}$, and μ is a bounded real Borel measure.

If $\Lambda \in \mathcal{N}^* \Rightarrow \text{Re } \Lambda$ and $\text{Im } \Lambda = \text{Re}(-i\Lambda)$ are contin. real-lin. functionals $\Rightarrow \exists \nu \in \mathcal{N}$ s.t. $(\text{Re}) \Lambda \nu = \int d\mu (\text{Re}) \Lambda \text{ of } \forall \Lambda \in \mathcal{N}^*$

$$\Rightarrow \Lambda \nu = \int d\mu \Lambda \text{ of } \forall \Lambda \Rightarrow \text{Theorem holds. } \odot$$

Finally, if $K = \mathbb{C}$ and μ is a bounded complex Borel measure, we can write $\mu = \mu_1 + i\mu_2$

where μ_1, μ_2 are bounded real Borel measures.

As above, $\Rightarrow (*)$ holds for some $\nu = \nu_1 + i\nu_2 \in \mathcal{N} \odot$

\odot Also, if μ is a prob. measure, $\nu \in \text{Hull}(f(Q))$.

15.3. Corollaries: Suppose μ is a ^{bounded, \mathbb{K} -valued} Borel measure on a compact Hausdorff set Q .

a) If \mathcal{F} is a Fréchet space and $f: Q \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ is continuous, then $\exists! \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{F}$ s.t.

$$\mathcal{N} = \int_Q d\mu f, \text{ as a VVI.}$$

b) If \mathcal{B} is a Banach space and $f: Q \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is continuous, then $\|f\|$ is Borel measurable, $\exists! \text{ VVI } \int_Q d\mu f \in \mathcal{B}$, and

$$(*) \quad \left\| \int_Q d\mu f \right\| \leq \int_Q d|\mu| \|f\| < \infty.$$

c) If $\mathcal{V}_1, \mathcal{V}_2$ are topol. vect. spaces whose duals separate points on them, $T: \mathcal{V}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_2$ is continuous and linear, $f: Q \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_1$ is continuous,

and $\text{Hull}(f(Q))$ is compact, then $\exists! \text{ VVI's } \int_Q d\mu f \in \mathcal{V}_1, \int_Q d\mu Tof \in \mathcal{V}_2$ and

$$T\left(\int_Q d\mu f\right) = \int_Q d\mu Tof$$

d) If $\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2$ are Fréchet spaces, $T: \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ is contin. and linear, $f: Q \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_1$ is continuous, then

$$T\left(\underbrace{\int_Q d\mu f}_{\in \mathcal{F}_1}\right) = \underbrace{\int_Q d\mu Tof}_{\in \mathcal{F}_2}$$

Proof 'a)' $\mathcal{F} = \text{Fréchet} \Rightarrow \text{locally convex} \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*$ separates points on \mathcal{F} . (12.7. a) Also f contin. $\Rightarrow f(Q)$ compact in $\mathcal{F} \Rightarrow \text{Hull}(f(Q))$ is compact by 14.12. Thus can apply 15.2. $\Rightarrow \exists! \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{F}$. \square

b) $\mathcal{B} = \text{Banach} \Rightarrow \text{Fréchet}$, and thus by a), $\exists! \mathcal{N}_0 \in \mathcal{B}$ s.t. $\mathcal{N}_0 = \int_Q d\mu f$. By 12.4. b) $\exists \Lambda \in \mathcal{B}^*$ s.t. $\|\mathcal{N}_0\| = \Lambda \mathcal{N}_0$ and $|\Lambda \mathcal{N}| \leq \|\mathcal{N}\| \forall \mathcal{N} \in \mathcal{B}$ [rcs, 6.12.]
But then VVI
$$\|\mathcal{N}_0\| = \Lambda \mathcal{N}_0 = \int_Q \mu(dx) \Lambda(f(x)) \leq \int_Q |\mu|(dx) \underbrace{|\Lambda(f(x))|}_{\in \mathcal{B}} \leq \int_Q d|\mu| \|f\|, \text{ where } \|f\|: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ is continuous}$$