

IV

Comparison of different notions of stability.

We already introduced the notion of total stability:

A singular point $x^* := (x_1^*, \dots, x_k^*)$ is totally stable if it is stable for the differential inclusion

① $0 \leq S'_x(x_i) \dot{x}_i \quad (i=1, \dots, k)$

A sufficient condition for total stability is that there exist $d_1, \dots, d_k > 0$ such that

② $\frac{a_{ii}}{d_i} < - \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{|a_{ij}|}{d_j} \quad \forall i$

where

③ $a_{ij} := [\partial_{x_j} S'_x(x_i) \delta_{ij} + \partial_{x_j} S'_x(x_i)]$

where $\delta_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i=j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$

is the Kronecker delta.

$A := (a_{ij})_{i,j=1}^k$ is the Jacobi-matrix of the vector field $(\partial_{x_1} S'_x, \dots, \partial_{x_k} S'_x)$

$x_i = x_i^*$
 $x_j = x_j^*$

For the canonical equation

$$\textcircled{4} \quad \left| \dot{x}_i = \frac{1}{2} \mu(x_i) \sigma^2(x_i) \eta_E(x_i) S'_E(x_i) \quad (i=1, \dots, k) \right|$$

We introduce two kinds of stability.

To that end we linearize $\textcircled{4}$ around the singular point $\underline{x}^* = (x_1^*, \dots, x_k^*)$:

$$\textcircled{5} \quad \left| (x_i - x_i^*)' = \left[\frac{1}{2} \mu(x_i^*) \sigma^2(x_i^*) \eta_E(x_i^*) \right] \sum_{j=1}^k a_{ij} (x_j - x_j^*) \right|$$

for all i , where the a_{ij} are the same as in $\textcircled{3}$ on the prev. page.

Write:

$$A = (a_{ij}) \quad (\text{matrix})$$

$$C = \text{diagonal} \left(\frac{1}{2} \mu(x_i^*) \sigma^2(x_i^*) \eta_E(x_i^*) \right) \quad (\text{matrix})$$

Then $\textcircled{5}$ becomes

$$\textcircled{6} \quad \left| (\underline{x} - \underline{x}^*)' = CA(\underline{x} - \underline{x}^*) \right|$$

Definition.

- \underline{x}^* is weakly stable for given strictly positive diagonal matrix C_0 if x^* is stable in (6) with $C = C_0$.
- \underline{x}^* is strongly stable if x^* is stable in (6) for every strictly positive diagonal matrix C .



|| Special case $k=1$ ||

$$(x - x^*)' = c a_{11} (x - x^*)$$

Stable if $a_{11} < 0$ and unstable if $a_{11} > 0$.

This does not depend on $c > 0$.

⇒ For $k=1$, strong and weak stability are equivalent. Moreover, both are equivalent to total stability as well.

Special case: $k=2$

$$(\underline{x} - \underline{x}^*)' = CA(\underline{x} - \underline{x}^*)$$

with

$$CA = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 a_{11} & c_1 a_{12} \\ c_2 a_{21} & c_2 a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

deter-
minant

trace

$$\text{Stability} \iff |\det CA > 0| \& |\text{tr} CA < 0|$$

(Routh - Hurwitz
stability criterion)

Weak stability (for given $C > 0$)

$$\det CA > 0 \iff a_{11} a_{22} > a_{12} a_{21}$$

$$\text{tr} CA < 0 \iff c_1 a_{11} + c_2 a_{22} < 0$$

Strong stability (for any $C > 0$)

$$\det CA > 0 \forall C > 0 \iff a_{11} a_{21} > a_{12} a_{21}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{tr} CA < 0 \forall C > 0 &\iff c_1 a_{11} + c_2 a_{22} < 0 \forall C > 0 \\ &\iff a_{11} < 0 \& a_{22} < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Summary

Total stability:
 $a_{11} < 0$
 $a_{22} < 0$
 $a_{11}a_{22} > |a_{12}a_{21}|$

(from differential inclusion)



Strong stability:
 $a_{11} < 0$
 $a_{22} < 0$
 $a_{11}a_{22} > a_{12}a_{21}$

(from canonical equation for any $C > 0$)



Weak stability:
 $c_1 a_{11} + c_{22} a_{22} < 0$
 $a_{11}a_{22} > a_{12}a_{21}$

(from canonical equation for given $C > 0$)