

Exercise 4

(a) invader dynamics:

$$\frac{\dot{m}}{m} = r(y) \left(1 - \frac{\sum_j n_j}{K} \right) - \frac{\beta(y) \theta}{1 + \sum_j \beta(x_j) T(x_j) n_j}$$

$$(b) s_E(y) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \frac{\dot{m}(\tau)}{m(\tau)} d\tau$$

$$= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \left[\underbrace{r(y) \int_0^t d\tau}_{E_1 :=} - \frac{r(y)}{K} \int_0^t \sum_j n_j(\tau) d\tau \right] - \beta(y) \underbrace{\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \frac{\theta(\tau) d\tau}{1 + \sum_j \beta(x_j) T(x_j) n_j(\tau)}}_{E_2 :=}$$

$$= r(y) \left(1 - \frac{E_1}{K} \right) - \beta(y) E_2$$

(c) If x_1 and x_2 coexist, then $s_E(x_1) = s_E(x_2) = 0$.

$$\begin{cases} s_E(x_1) = r(x_1) \left(1 - \frac{E_1}{K} \right) - \beta(x_1) E_2 = 0 & (*) \\ s_E(x_2) = r(x_2) \left(1 - \frac{E_1}{K} \right) - \beta(x_2) E_2 = 0 & (**) \end{cases}$$

$$(*) : E_2 = \frac{r(x_1)}{\beta(x_1)} \left(1 - \frac{E_1}{K} \right)$$

$$(*) \rightarrow (**): r(x_2) \left(1 - \frac{E_1}{K} \right) - \beta(x_2) \frac{r(x_1)}{\beta(x_1)} \left(1 - \frac{E_1}{K} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \left(r(x_2) - \frac{\beta(x_2) r(x_1)}{\beta(x_1)} \right) \left(1 - \frac{E_1}{K} \right) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{r(x_2)}{\beta(x_2)} = \frac{r(x_1)}{\beta(x_1)} \quad \text{or} \quad E_1 = K$$

(1)

We have not ^{explicitly} specified the functions $r(x)$ and $\beta(x)$.

The condition $\frac{r(x_1)}{\beta(x_1)} = \frac{r(x_2)}{\beta(x_2)}$ is not generically true.^(*)

If $E_2 > 0$, then $E_1 = K$ implies that $s_x(x_i) \neq 0$; so no coexistence. However, if $E_2 = 0$ (e.g., if there are no predators) then we can have coexistence (even for infinitely many different types).

(*) If we set $H(x) = \frac{r(x)}{\beta(x)}$, then our condition becomes

$H(x_1) = H(x_2)$. If, for example, $H(x)$ is monotonous, the condition does not hold for any $x_1 \neq x_2$.

So, the condition is generically not true, but ^{for some $x_1 \neq x_2$} it may be true^{*}, if we can choose $r(x)$ and $\beta(x)$ appropriately (which we in general cannot do!)

(d) 1° $s_x(x) = r(x) \left(1 - \frac{E_1}{K}\right) - \beta(x)E_2 = 0$

$$\Rightarrow E_2 = \frac{r(x)}{\beta(x)} \left(1 - \frac{E_1}{K}\right)$$

2° $s_x(y) = r(y) \left(1 - \frac{E_1}{K}\right) - \beta(y)E_2 = r(y) \left(1 - \frac{E_1}{K}\right) - \beta(y) \frac{r(x)}{\beta(x)} \left(1 - \frac{E_1}{K}\right)$

$$= \left(r(y) - \beta(y) \frac{r(x)}{\beta(x)}\right) \left(1 - \frac{E_1}{K}\right) > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\beta(y)}{r(y)} < \frac{\beta(x)}{r(x)} \quad \text{I assume } E_1 < K, \text{ so } 1 - \frac{E_1}{K} > 0$$

(e) For these calculations, we have not needed the dynamics of θ .

Exercise 5

$$(a) \frac{\dot{m}}{m} = \frac{\gamma(y) \beta(y) \theta}{1 + \beta(y) T(y) \theta} - \delta(y)$$

$$(b) s_E(y) = \gamma(y) \beta(y) \left[\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \frac{\theta(z) dz}{1 + \beta(y) T(y) \theta(z)} \right] - \delta(y)$$

$E_1(y) :=$

$$= \gamma(y) \beta(y) E_1(y) - \delta(y)$$

(c) Notice that in the invasion fitness $E_1(y)$ is NOT a constant, but a function of y . Therefore we have an infinite-dimensional environment and we cannot give an upper bound to the number of coexisting residents. However, if we assume that the resident population is at an equilibrium, then $\theta(z) = \theta$ is a constant. Then

$$s_E(y) = \frac{\gamma(y) \beta(y) \theta}{1 + \beta(y) T(y) \theta} - \delta(y)$$

For coexistence we need $s_E(x_i) = 0 \quad \forall i$ (*)

$$\Rightarrow \gamma(x_i) \beta(x_i) \theta = \delta(x_i) + \delta(x_i) \beta(x_i) T(x_i) \theta \quad \forall i$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta = \frac{\delta(x_i)}{[\gamma(x_i) - \delta(x_i) T(x_i)] \beta(x_i)} \quad \forall i$$

(*) is a system of i equations with one unknown variable θ . Generically no solutions exist, if the number of equations is greater than one.

$$(d) s_x(y) = \frac{\gamma(y) \beta(y) \theta}{1 + \beta(y) T(y) \theta} - \delta(y) > 0 \Rightarrow \theta > \frac{\delta(y)}{[\gamma(y) - \delta(y) T(y)] \beta(y)}$$

On the left hand side we have the ^{monomorphic} equilibrium population density of x and on the right hand side the monomorphic eq. pop. dens. of an invading strategy y .

Exercise 6

$$(a) \frac{in}{n} = \beta(y)H - (\delta + y)$$

$$(b) S_E(y) = \beta(y)E_1 - (\delta + y), \text{ where}$$

$$E_1 = \langle H \rangle = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t H(z) dz$$

$$(c) S_E(x_i) = \beta(x_i)E_1 - (\delta + x_i) = 0 \quad \forall i \quad (*)$$

$$\Rightarrow E_1 = \frac{\delta + x_i}{\beta(x_i)} \quad \forall i$$

(*) Since this is a system with one unknown variable, E_1 , generically there exists no solution, if the number of equations is greater than one. So, generically, no coexistence.

$$(d) S_x(y) > 0 \Rightarrow E_1 > \frac{\delta + y}{\beta(y)}$$

Left hand side is the ^{monomorphic} eq. pop. dens. of resident x and the right hand side is the ^{monomorphic} eq. pop. dens. of an invading strategy y .

Exercise 7

(a) here there is density-dependance in the death rate, whereas in Ex. 6 there was density dependance in the birth rate

$$(b) \frac{\dot{m}}{m} = \beta(y)H - (\delta(N) + y)$$

(c) $S_E(y) = \beta(y)E_1 - E_2 - y$, where

$$E_1 = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t H(\tau) d\tau = \langle H \rangle$$

$$E_2 = \langle \delta(N) \rangle = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \delta(N(\tau)) d\tau$$

(d) Coexistence is generically possible for at most two types (we have two unknown variables E_1 and E_2 and two equations):

$$\begin{cases} S_x(x_1) = \beta(x_1)E_1 - E_2 - x_1 = 0 & (*) \\ S_x(x_2) = \beta(x_2)E_1 - E_2 - x_2 = 0 & (**) \end{cases}$$

$$(*) : E_2 = \beta(x_1)E_1 - x_1$$

$$(**) \rightarrow (**'): S_x(x_2) = \beta(x_2)E_1 - (\beta(x_1)E_1 - x_1) - x_2 = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow E_1 = \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\beta(x_1) - \beta(x_2)}$$

$$\Rightarrow E_2 = \beta(x_1) \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\beta(x_1) - \beta(x_2)} - x_1$$

(e)

$$S_{x_1, x_2}(y) = \beta(y)E_1 - E_2 - y$$

$$= \beta(y) \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\beta(x_1) - \beta(x_2)} - \left(\beta(x_1) \frac{x_1 - x_2}{\beta(x_1) - \beta(x_2)} - x_1 \right) - y$$

Remark:

Note that in exercise 7 any two types x_1 and x_2 , which give reasonable values for E_1 and E_2 , can coexist. In exercise 6, for example, only types x_1 and x_2 , which have the exact same value of $(\delta + x_i) / \beta(x_i)$ can coexist. Hence, generically, no coexistence.