## **Problem sheet 11**

**Exercise 1:** A lemma in Drinfeld double

Let  $A = (A, \mu, \Delta, \eta, \epsilon, \gamma)$  be a Hopf algebra with invertible antipode, and let  $A^{\circ}$  be its restricted dual Hopf algebra. Below we denote the Drinfeld double associated to A and  $A^{\circ}$  by  $\mathcal{D}$ , and the restricted dual Hopf algebra of it by  $\mathcal{D}^{\circ}$ .

Note that if  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^\circ$ , then since the Hopf algebra A embeds to  $\mathcal{D}$  by  $\iota_A : A \to \mathcal{D}$ ,  $a \mapsto a \otimes 1^*$ , we can define an element  $\phi|_A \in A^\circ$  by the formula

$$\langle \phi |_A, a \rangle = \langle \phi, \iota_A(a) \rangle$$

for all  $a \in A$ . Furthermore, since the Hopf algebra  $A^{\circ}$  embeds to  $\mathcal{D}$  by  $\iota_{A^{\circ}} : A^{\circ} \to \mathcal{D}, \varphi \mapsto 1 \otimes \varphi$ , we obtain an element  $\hat{\phi} \in \mathcal{D}$  by setting

$$\hat{\phi} = \iota_{A^{\circ}}(\phi|_A).$$

Show that for all  $\phi \in \mathcal{D}^{\circ}$  and  $x \in \mathcal{D}$ , the following identity holds in  $\mathcal{D}$ 

$$\sum_{(\phi),(x)} \left\langle \phi_{(1)}, x_{(2)} \right\rangle x_{(1)} \hat{\phi}_{(2)} = \sum_{(\phi),(x)} \left\langle \phi_{(2)}, x_{(1)} \right\rangle \hat{\phi}_{(1)} x_{(2)}.$$

**Exercise 2:** *Irreducible*  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ *-modules of low dimension when q is a root of unity* Let  $q \in \mathbb{C}$  be a root of unity and denote by e the smallest positive integer such that  $q^e \in \{+1, -1\}$ . Assume e > 1. Consider the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ .

(a) For d < e a positive integer and  $\varepsilon \in \{\pm 1\}$ , show that the formulas

$$K.w_j = \varepsilon q^{d-1-2j} w_j$$
  

$$F.w_j = w_{j+1}$$
  

$$E.w_j = \varepsilon [j]_q [d-j]_q w_{j-1}$$

still define an irreducible  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module  $W_d^{\varepsilon}$  with basis  $w_0, w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_{d-1}$ .

(b) Show that any irreducible  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module of dimension less than *e* is isomorphic to a module of the above type.

*Hint:* Especially for (b), you can just check that the proofs we used when *q* was not a root of unity work in this case, too. Probably it is not worth going through this in great detail in the exercise session.

**Exercise 3:** No irreducible  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules of high dimension when q is a root of unity Let  $q \in \mathbb{C}$  be a root of unity and denote by e the smallest positive integer such that  $q^e \in \{+1, -1\}$ . Assume e > 1. Suppose that one would have an irreducible  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module V with dim V > e.

- (a) If there exists a non-zero eigenvector  $v \in V$  of K such that F.v = 0, then show that the linear span of  $v, E.v, E^2.v, \ldots, E^{e-1}.v$  is a submodule of V. Conclude that this is not possible if V is irreducible and dim V > e.
- (b) If there doesn't exist any non-zero eigenvector  $v \in V$  of K such that F.v = 0, then considering any non-zero eigenvector  $v \in V$  of K, show that the linear span of  $v, F.v, F^2.v, \ldots, F^{e-1}.v$  is a submodule of V. Conclude that this, too, is impossible if V is irreducible and dim V > e.
- (c) Conclude that there are no irreducible  $\mathcal{U}_{q}(\mathfrak{sl}_{2})$ -modules of dimension greater than *e*.

*Hint for all parts of the exercise:* Recall that  $K^e$ ,  $E^e$ ,  $F^e$  are central by *Problem Sheet 10: Exercise 3*, and remember also the central element  $C = EF + \frac{1}{(q-q^{-1})^2}(q^{-1}K + qK^{-1})$ .

**Exercise 4:** A family of indecomposable  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules of dimension e when q is a root of unity Let  $q \in \mathbb{C}$  be a root of unity and denote by e the smallest positive integer such that  $q^e \in \{+1, -1\}$ . Assume e > 1. Consider the Hopf algebra  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ .

(a) Let  $\mu, a, b \in \mathbb{C}$  with  $\mu \neq 0$ . Show that the following formulas define an *e*-dimensional  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module with basis  $w_0, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{e-1}$ :

$$\begin{split} K.w_{j} &= \mu q^{-2j} w_{j} & \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq e-1 \\ F.w_{j} &= w_{j+1} & \text{for } 0 \leq j \leq e-2 \\ F.w_{e-1} &= b w_{0} & \\ E.w_{j} &= \left(ab + \frac{[j]_{q}}{q-q^{-1}}(\mu q^{1-j} - \mu^{-1}q^{j-1})\right) w_{j-1} & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq e-1 \\ E.w_{0} &= a w_{e-1} & \end{split}$$

Denote this module by  $W_e(\mu; a, b)$ .

- (b) Show that  $W_e(\mu; a, b)$  is indecomposable, that is, it can not be written as a direct sum of two non-zero submodules.
- (c) Show that  $W_e(\mu; a, b)$  is irreducible unless b = 0 and  $\mu \in \{\pm 1, \pm q, \pm q^2, \dots, \pm q^{e-2}\}$ .
- (d) Consider the Hopf algebra  $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  which is the quotient of  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  by the ideal generated by  $E^e$ ,  $F^e$  and  $K^e - 1$  (cf. *Problem sheet 10: Exercise 3*). A  $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module can be thought of as a  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module, where  $E^e$ ,  $F^e$  and  $K^e - 1$  act as zero. Show that a  $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module V is irreducible if and only if it is irreducible as a  $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -module.
- (e) Consider the modules  $W_d^{\varepsilon}$  of *Exercise* 2, for d < e, and the modules  $W_e(\mu; a, b)$ . Find all values of d and  $\varepsilon$ , and of  $\mu, a, b$  for which these are irreducible  $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ -modules in each of the following cases:
  - when *e* is odd and  $q^e = +1$ (*Answer*: *d* anything,  $\varepsilon = +1$ ;  $a = 0, b = 0, \mu = q^{-1}$ ; in fact  $W_e(q^{-1}; 0, 0) \cong W_e^{+1}$ )
  - when *e* is odd and  $q^e = -1$ (*Answer: d* anything,  $\varepsilon = (-1)^{d-1}$ ;  $a = 0, b = 0, \mu = -q^{-1}$ ; in fact  $W_e(-q^{-1}; 0, 0) \cong W_e^{+1}$ )
  - when *e* is even (*Answer*: *d* odd,  $\varepsilon$  anything; no possible values of  $\mu$ , *a*, *b*)