## Page 5 table

| Source | SS | df | Mean square |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Between groups | 4662.233 | $\underline{2}$ | $\underline{2331.116}$ |
| Within groups | $\underline{191729.2}$ | $\underline{587}$ | 326.626 |
| Total | 196391.4 | 589 |  |

## Relations:

$\mathrm{SST}=\mathrm{SSG}+\mathrm{SSE}$
$\mathrm{DFT}=\mathrm{DFG}+\mathrm{DFE}$
$\mathrm{MSG}=\mathrm{SSG} / \mathrm{DFG}$
$\mathrm{MSE}=\mathrm{SSE} / \mathrm{DFE}$
$\mathrm{F}=\mathrm{MSG} / \mathrm{MSE}=\frac{2331.116}{326.626}=7.137 \quad \nu_{1}=2, \nu_{2}=587$
$F_{.05}(2,587)=3.011$
Since observed F larger than 3.011, we can reject $H_{0}$ at $5 \%$ level of significance.

## Example 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}: \mu_{S U}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_{U N}+\mu_{S K}\right)=0 \\
& H_{a}: \mu_{S U}-\frac{1}{2}\left(\mu_{U N}+\mu_{S K}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

T.S.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{1}=\bar{x}_{S U} & -\frac{1}{2}\left(\bar{x}_{S K}+\bar{x}_{U N}\right)=80.51-\frac{1}{2}(71.21+70.42)=9.69 \\
S E_{c_{1}} & =\sqrt{326.626} \sqrt{\frac{1}{51}+\frac{(-0.5)^{2}}{91}+\frac{(-0.5)^{2}}{448}}=2.53 \\
t & =\frac{c_{1}}{S E_{c_{1}}}=\frac{9.69}{2.53}=3.83 \quad \nu=D F E=587
\end{aligned}
$$

R. R.: Reject $H_{0}$ if $t>t_{.05}(587)=1.645$

Conclusion:
Since observed $t>1.645$, we can reject $H_{0}$ at $5 \%$ level of signifiance and conclude that mean score of supervisors is higher than the average of mean score of unskilled and skilled workers.

## Example 2

$H_{0}: \mu_{U N}-\mu_{S K}=0$
$H_{a}: \mu_{U N}-\mu S K \neq 0$
T.S.

$$
\begin{gathered}
c_{2}=70.42-71.21=-0.79 \\
S E_{c_{2}}=\sqrt{326.626} \sqrt{\frac{1}{448}+\frac{(-1)^{2}}{91}}=2.08 \\
t=\frac{c_{2}}{S E_{c_{2}}}=\frac{-0.79}{2.08}=-0.36
\end{gathered}
$$

R.R.

Reject $H_{0}$ if $|t|>t .025(587)=1.96$ at $5 \%$ level of significance.
Conclusion:
Since observed $|t|<1.96$, we cannot reject $H_{0}$ and conclude that the data doe not provide us with sufficient evidence in favor of a difference in population mean SCI scores between unskilled and skilled workers.

