

# INTRODUCTION TO FOURIER ANALYSIS

## HOME ASSIGNMENT 6

1. Let  $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be a differentiable parametrization for the closed curve  $\Gamma$ . Prove that it is a parametrization by the arc length if and only if the length of the curve from  $\gamma(a)$  to  $\gamma(s)$  for all  $s$  is equal to  $s - a$ , i.e.

$$\int_a^s |\gamma'(t)| dt = s - a \quad \text{for all } s \in [a, b].$$

**Solution.** If  $\gamma$  is a parametrization by the arc length, then the given condition is trivially satisfied. Conversely, if we are given a curve with a parametrization  $\gamma$  satisfying the given condition, then for any  $x, y \in [a, b]$ , satisfying  $x < y$ , we have

$$\int_x^y |\gamma'(t)| dt = \int_a^y |\gamma'(t)| dt - \int_a^x |\gamma'(t)| dt = y - a - (x - a) = y - x,$$

thereby showing that  $\gamma$  is a parametrization by the arc length.

2. Prove that any differentiable curve admits a parametrization by the arc length.

**Solution.** Suppose that we have a continuous curve  $\Gamma$  with a parametrization  $\gamma: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  ( $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a < b$ ) with piecewise continuously differentiable<sup>1</sup> coordinate functions and satisfying  $|\gamma'(t)| \geq \varepsilon > 0$  for all those  $t \in [a, b]$  for which the derivative exists.

We consider the arc length function  $s: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by the formula

$$s(t) = \int_a^t |\gamma'(\tau)| d\tau$$

for all  $\tau \in [a, b]$ . This function  $s$  is strictly positive and continuous on the interval  $[a, b]$ . Thus  $s$  maps the interval  $[a, b]$  bijectively to some other interval

---

<sup>1</sup>Here we tacitly assume that the derivatives have finite limits from both sides of the non-continuity points. For instance, in our terminology, a function  $\alpha: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is  $C^1$  if and only if it is continuous and it has a continuous derivative on  $]a, b[$  which may be extended to a continuous function on the whole interval  $[a, b]$ .

$[A, B]$ , ( $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $A < B$ ). Furthermore,  $s$  is continuously differentiable on any interval  $] \alpha, \beta [$  ( $\alpha, \beta \in [a, b]$ ,  $\alpha < \beta$ ) on which  $\gamma$  is  $C^1$ , and for all  $t \in ] \alpha, \beta [$ ,

$$s'(t) = |\gamma'(t)|.$$

That is,  $s$  is piecewise continuously differentiable on  $[a, b]$ .

We may now consider the function  $r = s^{-1}: [A, B] \rightarrow [a, b]$ . This is piecewise continuously differentiable since the function  $s$  is, and furthermore, for any point  $t$  on which  $r$  is differentiable,

$$r'(t) = \frac{1}{s'(r(t))} = \frac{1}{|\gamma'(r(t))|}.$$

Now the function  $\gamma \circ r: [A, B] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  is a new piecewise  $C^1$  parametrization for  $\Gamma$ , and for this parametrization, we have for each  $t \in [A, B]$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A^t |(\gamma \circ r)'(\tau)| d\tau &= \int_A^t |\gamma'(r(\tau))| \cdot |r'(\tau)| d\tau \\ &= \int_A^t |\gamma'(r(\tau))| \cdot \frac{d\tau}{|\gamma'(r(\tau))|} d\tau = \int_A^t d\tau = t - A. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude  $\gamma \circ r$  is the required arc length parametrization for  $\Gamma$ .

**3.** Prove the second part of Weyl's criterion: if a sequence  $\langle \xi_i \rangle_{i=1}^\infty$  is equidistributed then for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  we have

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e^{2\pi i k \xi_n} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } N \rightarrow \infty.$$

**Solution.** Let  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_+$  be arbitrary. Let  $M \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  be so large that  $\frac{1}{M} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ , and define for each  $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$  the interval

$$\mathcal{I}_\ell \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left[ \frac{\ell-1}{M}, \frac{\ell}{M} \right].$$

The equidistribution of the sequence  $\langle \xi_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  easily implies the equidistribution of the sequence  $\langle k\xi_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$ , which in turn implies the existence of a number  $N_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  such that for any integer  $N$  larger than  $N_0$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{\#(\mathcal{I}_\ell \cap \{\{k\xi_n\} | n \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}\})}{N} - \frac{1}{M} \right| < \frac{1}{M^2}$$

for each  $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$ . Define for each  $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots, M\}$  and each  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$  the set of indices

$$\mathcal{A}_{\ell N} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \left\{ n \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\} \mid k\xi_n \in \mathcal{A}_\ell \right\}.$$

For notational simplicity we use standard notation and write  $e(x)$  instead of the clumsier  $e^{2\pi ix}$ . Having done all the necessary preliminary work, we get for all integers  $N$  greater than  $N_0$  and  $\frac{4\pi}{\varepsilon}$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e(k\xi_n) \right| &= \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^M \left( -\frac{1}{M} e\left(\frac{\ell}{M}\right) + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_{\ell N}} e(k\xi_n) \right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^M \left| -\frac{1}{M} e\left(\frac{\ell}{M}\right) + \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_{\ell N}} e\left(\frac{\ell}{M}\right) \right| + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\ell=1}^M \sum_{n \in \mathcal{A}_{\ell N}} \left| e(k\xi_n) - e\left(\frac{\ell}{M}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{N} \cdot M \cdot \frac{N}{M^2} + \frac{1}{N} \cdot M \cdot \frac{2\pi}{M} = \frac{1}{M} + \frac{2\pi}{N} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

4. Prove that the sequence  $\langle a \log n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  is not equidistributed for any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ .

**Solution.** For  $a = 0$  the claim is obvious whereas for  $a \neq 0$  the claim follows from Weyl's criterion together with the observation that

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N e(a \log n) \right| = \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \left(\frac{n}{N}\right)^{2\pi ia} \right| \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} \left| \int_0^1 x^{2\pi ia} dx \right| = \frac{1}{|2\pi ia + 1|} \neq 0.$$

5. Suppose that  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a continuous function with period 1 and that  $\langle \xi_n \rangle_{n=1}^\infty$  is an equidistributed sequence in  $[0, 1[$ . Prove that if in addition

$$\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0,$$

then uniformly in  $x$ ,

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(x + \xi_n) = 0.$$

**Solution.** Let us be given an arbitrarily small positive real number  $\varepsilon$ . Since  $f$  is continuous and periodic, we may choose a trigonometric polynomial  $p$  such that  $|f(x) - p(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Please note that the constant term  $a_0$  of  $p$  must have absolute value smaller than  $\frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ , for otherwise

$$\int_0^1 |f(x) - p(x)| dx \geq \left| \int_0^1 (f(x) - p(x)) dx \right| = \left| \int_0^1 p(x) dx \right| = |a_0| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{3},$$

thereby implying that  $|f(x) - p(x)| \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  for some  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Applying Weyl's criterion for each term of the polynomial  $p - a_0$ , we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (p(x + \xi_n) - a_0) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

and so for sufficiently large integers  $N$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N (p(x + \xi_n) - a_0) \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Finally, we conclude that for sufficiently large integers  $N$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(x + \xi_n) \right| &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N |f(x + \xi_n) - p(x + \xi_n)| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{N} \left| \sum_{n=1}^N (p(x + \xi_n) - a_0) \right| + |a_0| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

6. Let now  $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be a bounded measurable function and assume that

$$\int_0^1 f(x) dx = 0.$$

Prove that

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(x + \xi_n) \right|^2 dx = 0.$$

**Solution.** Let us be given some arbitrarily small positive real number  $\varepsilon$ . The basic  $\mathcal{L}^2$ -theory of Fourier series allows us to choose a trigonometric polynomial  $p$  such that

$$\int_0^1 |f(x) - p(x)|^2 dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

and since the integral of  $f$  vanishes, we may suppose that the constant term of  $p$  vanishes as well.

Using Weyl's criterion termwise to  $p$  and its all translates we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N p(x + \xi_n) \xrightarrow{N \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence the dominated convergence allows us to say that, for sufficiently large integers  $N$ , we have

$$\int_0^1 \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N p(x + \xi_n) \right|^2 dx < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Combining the above considerations leads to the conclusion that, for sufficiently large integers  $N$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N f(x + \xi_n) \right|^2 dx &\leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N \int_0^1 |f(x + \xi_n) - g(x + \xi_n)|^2 dx \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 \left| \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^N p(x + \xi_n) \right|^2 dx < \frac{1}{N} \cdot N \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$