The $\overline{\partial}$ -method for non-linear inverse problems

Kim Knudsen Department of Mathematics Technical University of Denmark



Lecture 3-4 Summer school on computational solution of inverse problems University of Helsinki 2010

Outline

- 1. A primer on complex analysis
- 2. The Davey-Stewartson II equation
- 3. Scattering transform, inverse scattering transform and the $\overline{\partial}\text{-equation}$
- 4. Numerical solution of the $\overline{\partial}$ -equation

1. A primer on complex analysis

A primer on complex analysis

Concerns properties of functions $f : \mathbb{C} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$. Special attention is given to the operators $\overline{\partial}$ and ∂ defined by

$$\overline{\partial} = \partial_{\overline{z}} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_1} + i\partial_{x_2}), \quad \partial = \partial_z = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{x_1} - i\partial_{x_2}),$$

where the variables are $z = x_1 + ix_2$. We call a function analytic in the domain Ω if

$$\overline{\partial} u = 0 \quad z \in \Omega.$$

Liouville's theorem:

Suppose u is analytic on \mathbb{C} and bounded. Then u is constant.

Pseudo-analytic functions

The $\overline{\partial}$ -equation has the form

$$\overline{\partial} u(z) = a(z)\overline{u}(z), \ z \in \Omega.$$

We say that such a *u* is pseudoanalytic in Ω . In the sequel we will consider the $\overline{\partial}$ -equation in the whole plane

$$\overline{\partial} u(z) = a(z)\overline{u}(z), \ z \in \mathbb{C}$$

assuming

$$a \in L^{2-\epsilon} \cap L^{2+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

We would like to solve this equation in $L^q(\mathbb{C})$.

The solid Cauchy operator

To transform the $\overline{\partial}$ -equation to an integral equation we need a $\overline{\partial}^{-1}$ -operator. This is achived by convolution with Green's function for $\overline{\partial}$:

$$ar{\partial} g(z) = -\delta_0(z) ext{ with } \lim_{|z| o \infty} g(z) = 0$$

 $\Rightarrow g(z) = rac{1}{\pi z}.$

Solid Cauchy transform:

$$C\phi(z) = \overline{\partial}^{-1}\phi(z) = rac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}rac{\phi(z')}{z-z'}d\mu(z').$$

Satisfies for $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$

$$\overline{\partial}\overline{\partial}^{-1}\phi = \phi, \quad \overline{\partial}^{-1}\overline{\partial}\phi = \phi$$

Properties of $\overline{\partial}^{-1}$

Mapping properties:

$$\begin{split} & C \colon L^p(\mathbb{R}^2) \to L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2), \ 1$$

For $a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the operator

 $u \mapsto C(au)$

is compact in $L^r(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for any r > 2.

Integral equation of the 2nd kind

Let us apply C to the equation

$$\overline{\partial} u = a\overline{u} + f.$$

Then

$$u - C(a\overline{u}) = C(f)$$

 $\Leftrightarrow \qquad u(z) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{a(z')\overline{u}(z')}{z - z'} d\mu(z') = F(z), \quad F = C(f).$

Integral equation in $L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

For $a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the operator $u \mapsto C(a\overline{u})$ is real-linear and compact on $L^r(\mathbb{R}^2)$, r > 2.: Fredholms alternative states that either 1. $(I - C(a \overline{\cdot}))^{-1}$ exists or

2. the homogeneous problem

$$(u-C(a\,\overline{u}))=0$$

has a nontrivial solution.

Liouville's theorem for pseudoanalytic functions

Suppose $a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then if $u \in L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ satisfies the equation

 $\overline{\partial} u = a\overline{u}$

we have u = 0.

Proof: Define $w = u \exp(-C(a_{\overline{u}}^{\overline{u}}))$. Then (if $a \in L^{2-\epsilon} \cap L^{2+\epsilon}(\mathbb{R}^2)$) *w* is bounded and satisfies

$$\overline{\partial} w = 0.$$

Hence w = 0 which implies u = 0. The case $a \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is more delicate...

$\overline{\partial}$ -equation with asymptotic condition

As a consequence of the previous result we have

Lemma: Suppose $a \in L^p \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then the equation

 $\overline{\partial}m = a\overline{m}$

has a unique solution which satisfies $m - 1 \in L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Proof: The function m-1 satisfies the integral equation

$$m-1=C(\overline{a(m-1)})+C(a).$$

This is a Fredholm equation of the second kind in $L^{\tilde{p}}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Uniqueness for the homogeneous problem is ensured by the previous result.

2. The Davey-Stewartson II equation

Davey-Stewartson equation

Davey and Stewartson studied in 1974 three-dimensional packets of surface waves on water of finite depth. It is a partial differential equations for a complex (wave-amplitude) field *u*. In complex notation $(z = x_1 + ix_2, \overline{z} = x_1 - ix_2)$ the equation are in a particular case, the Davey-Stewartson II equation (DSII), given by

$$iu_t + u_{zz} + u_{\overline{z}\overline{z}} + 2u(\partial_{\overline{z}}^{-1}(|u|^2)_z + \partial_z^{-1}(|u|^2)_{\overline{z}}) = 0, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \ t > 0.$$

The equation is equipped with an initial condition

$$u(z,0)=u_0(z)$$

and asymptotic condition

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty}u(z,t)=0.$$

This system is an example of a soliton equation in two spatial + one time dimenions.

The linearized DSII

The linearized DSII

$$2iu_t + u_{x_1x_1} - u_{x_2x_2} = 0, \ u(z,0) = u_0, \lim_{|z|\to\infty} u(z,t) = 0.$$

Solved via the Fourier transform

$$\hat{\phi}(k) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi(x) e^{-ix \cdot k} dx, \quad x, k \in \mathbb{R}^2$$
 $\phi(x) = rac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \phi(k) e^{ix \cdot k} dk.$

Then

$$2i\hat{u}_t = (k_1^2 - k_2^2)\hat{u}.$$

Linear ODE for $\hat{u}(k, t)$, trivially solved by

$$\hat{u}(k,t) = \hat{u}_{0}(k)e^{-\frac{1}{2}i(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2})t}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \qquad u(x,t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\hat{u}_{0}(k)e^{-\frac{1}{2}i(k_{1}^{2}-k_{2}^{2})t+ix\cdot k}dk$$

Solving linearized DSII by $\overline{\partial}$ -method

The linearized DSII is equivalent to the two compatibility conditions for the spectral function m(z, t, k) depending on spectral parameter $k \in \mathbb{C}$:

$$m_{\overline{z}} + ikm = u, \tag{1}$$

$$m_t + im_{zz} + ik^2 m = im_{\overline{z}} + ku.$$
⁽²⁾

The equations (1)- (2) constitute a Lax pair for the linearized DSII.

Strategy: Construct solution to (1), take the $\partial_{\overline{k}}$ derivative to get scattering / inverse scattering transform. Then look for evolution of the scattering data...

Constructing the spectral function

Equation (1) is equivalent to

$$\partial_{\overline{z}}(me^{i(k\overline{z}+z\overline{k})}) = u(z,t)e^{i(k\overline{z}+z\overline{k})}$$

Let us suppress notation

$$\partial_{\overline{z}}(me(z,\overline{k})) = u(z,t)e(z,\overline{k}), \quad e(z,k) = e^{i(zk+\overline{z}\overline{k})}.$$
 (3)

Solved by the Solid Cauchy transform

$$m(z,t,k)=\frac{1}{\pi}\int\frac{u(z',t)}{z-z'}e(z'-z,\overline{k})d\mu(z').$$

Let us apply the $\partial_{\overline{k}}$ operator:

$$\partial_{\overline{k}} m(z,t,k) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(z',t) e(z'-z,\overline{k}) d\mu(z')$$
$$= \tilde{u}(k,t) e(z,-\overline{k}),$$

with

$$\tilde{u}(k,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} u(z',t) e(z'-z,\overline{k}) d\mu(z') = \hat{u}(-2k,t).$$

Same structure as (3)!! Moreover $\lim_{k\to\infty} m = 0$ and hence

$$m(z,t,k) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{\tilde{u}(k',t)}{k-k'} e(z,-\overline{k'}) d\mu(k').$$
(4)

Inserting the rhs in (3) yields

$$u(z,t) = rac{1}{\pi^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \widetilde{u}(k',t) e(z,-\overline{k'}) d\mu(k').$$

The evolutionary part (2) can now be used to show that necessarily the spectral function $\tilde{u}(k, t)$ evolves according to

$$\tilde{u}_t+i(k^2+\overline{k}^2)\tilde{u}=0.$$

Solution

$$\tilde{u}(k,t) = \tilde{u_0}(k) exp(-i(k^2 + \overline{k}^2)t).$$

By inversion of the scattering transform

$$u(z,t)=\frac{1}{\pi^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^2}\tilde{u}_0(k')e(z,-\overline{k'})e^{-i(k^2+\overline{k}^2)t}d\mu(k').$$

Same formula as before - but different method.

Second method generalizes to non-linear DSII!

$\overline{\partial}\text{-method}$ for DS II

Lax pair for spectral vector $\Psi(z, k, t) = (\psi_1(z, k, t), \psi_2(z, k, t))$:

$$\partial_{\overline{z}}\psi_1 = u\psi_2, \quad \partial_z\psi_2 = \overline{u}\psi_1$$
 (5)

$$i(\psi_1)_t + (\psi_1)_{zz} + u(\psi_2)_{\overline{z}} - u_{\overline{z}}\psi_2 + 2\partial_{\overline{z}}^{-1}((|u|^2)_z\psi_1) = 0$$
(6)

$$-i(\psi_2)_t + (\psi_2)_{\overline{z}\overline{z}} - \overline{u}(\psi_1)_z + \overline{u}_z\psi_1 + 2\partial_z^{-1}((|u|^2)_{\overline{z}}\psi_2) = 0.$$
(7)

As before the equations above has a solution (ψ_1, ψ_2) if and only if *u* satisfies DSII.

The elliptic system (5) has an associated scattering / inverse scattering transform, which enables the solution of DSII.

3. Scattering transform, inverse scattering transform and the $\overline{\partial}$ -equation

The direct scattering transform

We suppress the *t*-variable and write the equation in the form

$$(D-Q)\Psi=0, \quad D=\begin{pmatrix}\partial_{\overline{z}} & 0\\ 0 & \partial_z\end{pmatrix}, \quad Q=\begin{pmatrix}0 & u\\ \overline{u} & 0\end{pmatrix}.$$

and look for Jost solutions

$$\Psi(z,k) = e^{izk}m(z,k) = e^{izk}\begin{pmatrix}m_1(z,k)\\m_2(z,k)\end{pmatrix}$$

with asymptotic behaviour

$$\lim_{|z|\to\infty}m=\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix}$$

The equations for m_1, m_2 :

$$\partial_{\overline{z}}m_1 = um_2, \quad (\partial_z + ik)m_2 = \overline{u}m_1.$$

The Jost solutions

Lemma: Suppose $Q \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Then there is a unique Jost solution. *Proof:* The functions

$$m_{\pm} = m_1 \pm \overline{m_2} e(z, -k)$$

satisfy the $\overline{\partial}$ -equation

$$\partial_{\overline{z}}m_{\pm} = \pm ue(z,-k)\overline{m_{\pm}}$$

together with the asymptotic condition $\lim_{|z|} m_{\pm} = 1$. Such an equation has a unique solution whenever $u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

In addition it can be shown that with respect to the spectral parameter k the solution satisfies

$$\lim_{|k|\to\infty} \binom{m_1}{m_2} = \binom{1}{0}$$

The scattering transform

The scattering data is now defined by the formula

$$S(k) = rac{-i}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} e(z, -k) \overline{u}(z) m_1(z, k) d\mu(z).$$

The mapping $u \mapsto S$ will be called the scattering transform.

Notice the familiarity with the Fourier transform; we can think of it as a non-linear Fourier transform. For $u \in S(\mathbb{R}^2)$ one can show that

- $S \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^2)$
- $\|S\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \|u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$

Asymptotically

$$\lim_{|k|\to\infty} S(k) = \hat{u}(2k_1, -2k_2).$$

The $\overline{\partial}$ -equation

Applying the operator $\partial_{\overline{k}}$ to the Jost solution gives the following

$$\partial_{\overline{k}}(m_1 \pm m_2) = \pm S(k)e(z,-k)\overline{(m_1 \pm m_2)}.$$

Now this is again a $\overline{\partial}$ -equation, now in the *k* variable. These equations have unique solutions, and hence we can from the scattering data S(k) compute the Jost solution (m_1, m_2) . Moreover we retrieve the potential

$$u(z) = rac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{R^2} m_1(z,k) e(z,-k) \overline{S(k)} d\mu(k).$$

Facilitated by the Jost solution we then have the inverse scattering transform

$$S\mapsto u$$
.

Evolution of scattering transform

When u(z, t) solves DSII, then we can consider the assocated scattering transform S(k, t). From the evolutionary part of the Lax equation it follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt}S(k,t) = (k^2 + \overline{k}^2)S(k,t) \Leftrightarrow S(k,t) = S_0(k)e^{(k^2 + \overline{k}^2)t}$$

Applying the inverse scattering transform yields

$$u(z,t) = \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} m_1(z,k,t) e(z,-k) \overline{S(k,t)} d\mu(k)$$

with $m_1(z, k, t)$ found from

 $\partial_{\overline{k}}(m_1(z,k,t)\pm m_2(z,k,t))=\pm S(k,t)e(z,-k)\overline{(m_1(z,k,t)\pm m_2(z,k,t))}$

Picture

The solution strategy for the DSII



- The solution strategy opens up for theoretical analysis of DSII
- · Could be used as basis for numerical computations

4. Numerical solution of the $\overline{\partial}$ -equation

Numerical solution of the $\overline{\partial}$ -equation

With J. Mueller and S. Siltanen.

For the scattering / inverse cattering problem solving a $\overline{\partial}$ -equation is important. We will now consider the numerical solution of such an equation: We would like to solve the integral equation

$$v(k) = 1 - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{T(k')}{k - k'} \overline{v(k')} dk'_1 dk'_2, \qquad k = k'_1 + ik'_2 \in \mathbb{C},$$
 (8)

or

$$v(k) = 1 - g * (T(k)\overline{v(k)}).$$
(9)

Assume that T is compactly supported in a bounded domain Ω .

Inspired by G. Vainikko: Let $S = [-s, s]^2$ be a square such that $\overline{\Omega} \subset S$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, $M = 2^m$, h = 2s/M. Define a grid $\mathcal{G}_m \subset S$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_m &= \{ jh \, | \, j \in \mathbb{Z}_m^2 \}, \\ \mathbb{Z}_m^2 &= \{ j = (j_1, j_2) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \, | \, -2^{m-1} \leq j_l < 2^{m-1} \}. \end{aligned}$$

Grid approximation $\phi_h : \mathbb{Z}_m^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ of a function ϕ by

$$\phi_h(j) = \phi(jh), \quad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{Z}_m^2.$$

Grid approximation of Green's function:

$$g_h(j) = egin{cases} g(jh) & j \in \mathbb{Z}_m^2, j
eq (0,0) \ 0 & j = (0,0) \end{cases}$$

Discrete approximation

The discrete convolution operator A_h

$$(A_h\phi_h)(j) = h^2 \sum_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_m^2} g_h(j-l)\phi_h(l), \qquad \text{for } j \in \mathbb{Z}_m^2.$$

Important fact:

$$A_h \phi_h = h^2 \operatorname{IFFT}(\operatorname{FFT}(g_h) \cdot \operatorname{FFT}(\phi_h)),$$

i.e. the implementation is fast.

We approximate the integral equation by the discrete eqation

$$[I + A_h(T_h \cdot \bar{})]w_h = 1.$$
 (10)

It has a solution for sufficiently large *m*. The equation is rela linear, so by keeping real and imaginary parts separately we can solve the linear system using GMRES.

- Linear convergence of algorithm
- Complexity of algorithm is $\mathcal{O}(M^2 \log(M))$
- Complexity of scattering / inverse scattering transform is Ø(Mt log(M))
- Multigrid extension of algorithm is possible.
- Speed up possible (Huhtanen and Perämäki, 2010)

Pictures of potential and reconstruction



Picture of scattering data





Conclusions

- Scattering /inverse scattering transform is a non-linear, generalized Fourier transform
- Facilitates the solution of DSII
- Several inverse problems can be solved using Scattering /inverse scattering - inverse conductivity problem tomorrow
- Radon transform and inversion formula can be found using similar ideas
- Novikov inversion formula for attenuated Radon transform can also be found using similar ideas