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#### Abstract

Analytic composition operators $C_{\varphi}: f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ are studied on certain $X$-valued versions of BMOA, the space of analytic functions on the unit disk that have bounded mean oscillation on the unit circle, where $X$ is a complex Banach space. It is shown that if $X$ is reflexive and $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on the usual scalar-valued BMOA space, then $C_{\varphi}$ is weakly compact on the $X$-valued space $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ defined in terms of Carleson measures. A related function theoretic characterization is given of the compact composition operators on BMOA.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\varphi$ be an analytic self-map of the unit disk $\mathbb{D}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$. Compactness properties of the composition operators

$$
C_{\varphi}: f \mapsto f \circ \varphi
$$

have been intensively studied on various Banach spaces of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ (see $[\mathrm{CoM}]$ for the basic results related e.g. to the classical Hardy spaces). Recently the question of which composition operators are weakly compact has been studied also in the vector-valued setting where the functions $f$ take values in some complex Banach space $X$, see e.g. [LST], [BDL], [L], [LT]. In this setting $C_{\varphi}$ is usually never compact if $X$ is infinitedimensional. The purpose of this paper is to continue the study from [L] and [BDL] by considering the weak compactness of $C_{\varphi}$ on certain vectorvalued BMOA spaces, which are $X$-valued generalizations of the classical space BMOA of analytic functions on $\mathbb{D}$ that have bounded mean oscillation on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}$.

Compactness and weak compactness of $C_{\varphi}$ on the scalar-valued BMOA space have been studied in several recent papers, see e.g. [BCM], [Sm], [MT], [CM], [WX]. In [L] some of these results were extended to the setting of the space $\operatorname{BMOA}(X)$, which is defined as a Möbius invariant version of the vector-valued Hardy space $H^{1}(X)$. There are also other interesting possibilities of approaching BMOA in the vector-valued setting (see e.g. [Bl], [Bl2], $[\mathrm{BP}])$. One alternative arises by considering the weak vector-valued BMOA space $w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)$, which consists of the analytic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ such

[^0]that $x^{*} \circ f \in$ BMOA for all $x^{*} \in X^{*}$. Some properties of composition operators on a wide class of such weak spaces, including $w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)$, follow from general results of Bonet, Domański and Lindström [BDL].

In this paper we study the weak compactness of composition operators on $\operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$, a vector-valued version of BMOA defined in terms of Carleson measures, which was considered earlier by Blasco [ Bl 2 ] in connection with vector-valued multipliers, see also [BP]. We are partly motivated by the fact that the spaces $\operatorname{BMOA}(X), w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)$ and $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ are usually differerent. In fact, it was shown by Blasco $[\mathrm{Bl} 2]$ that $\mathrm{BMOA}(X)$ and $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ coincide (and the respective norms are equivalent) only if $X$ is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. We will show that the spaces $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ and $w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)$ never coincide if $X$ is infinite-dimensional.

Our main result states that if $\varphi$ induces a compact composition operator on BMOA and $X$ is reflexive, then $C_{\varphi}$ is weakly compact on $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$. This result complements the earlier ones from [L] and [BDL]. The proof will be based on a function theoretic condition which characterizes the compact composition operators on the scalar-valued BMOA. The necessity part of this characterization will be established in Section 2. In Section 3 we provide some basic properties of the space $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ and composition operators. Our main result will be proved in Section 4. As a consequence, we characterize the weakly compact composition operators on $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ under some restrictions on $\varphi$ for reflexive Banach spaces $X$.

## 2. Compactness of composition operators on BMOA

The space BMOA consists of the analytic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which are Poisson integrals of functions that have bounded mean oscillation on $\mathbb{T}$. We recall the following equivalent reformulation of BMOA as a Möbius invariant version of the Hardy space $H^{2}$ (see $[\mathrm{B}]$ ). An analytic function $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ belongs to BMOA if and only if

$$
\|f\|_{*}=\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}}\left\|f \circ \sigma_{a}-f(a)\right\|_{H^{2}}<\infty,
$$

where $\sigma_{a}(z)=(a-z) /(1-\bar{a} z)$ for $a, z \in \mathbb{D}$, and $\|\cdot\|_{H^{p}}$ denotes the usual norm on the Hardy space $H^{p}(1 \leq p<\infty)$ given by $\|f\|_{H^{p}}^{p}=$ $\sup _{0<r<1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{p} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}$. The map $f \mapsto\|f\|_{*}$ is a seminorm. We equip BMOA with the complete norm $\|f\|_{\text {BMOA }}=|f(0)|+\|f\|_{*}$. Recall that according to the John-Nirenberg theorem [B, p. 15] the map $f \mapsto \sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \| f \circ$ $\sigma_{a}-f(a) \|_{H^{p}}$ defines an equivalent seminorm on BMOA for any $1 \leq p<\infty$. We refer to [G, Chapter VI] for further properties of BMOA.

It is well-known known that for every analytic $\operatorname{map} \varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ the operator $C_{\varphi}: f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ is bounded on BMOA, see [St, Theorem 3], [AFP, Theorem 12]. There also are several (equivalent) characterizations of the compact composition operators on BMOA, see [BCM], [Sm], [WX]. Recall that the Nevanlinna counting function $N(\varphi, \cdot)$ of an analytic map $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is defined by $N(\varphi, z)=\sum_{w \in \varphi^{-1}(z)} \log (1 /|w|)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{\varphi(0)\}$, where each point in the preimage $\varphi^{-1}(z)$ is counted according to its multiplicity. The following result is due to Smith [ Sm , Theorem 1.1]. The operator $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on

BMOA if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \sup _{\{a \in \mathbb{D}:|\varphi(a)|>r\}} \sup _{0<|w|<1}|w|^{2} N\left(\sigma_{\varphi(a)} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_{a}, w\right)=0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 1} \sup _{\{a \in \mathbb{D}:|\varphi(a)| \leq R\}} m\left(\left\{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}:\left|\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{a}\right)(\zeta)\right|>t\right\}\right)=0, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $R \in(0,1)$, where $m$ is the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{T}$.
We will provide yet another characterization of the compact composition operators on BMOA by replacing (2.2) by a condition which involves the Nevanlinna counting function. This result will be useful in our study of $C_{\varphi}$ in the vector-valued setting. The following result, which is the main result of this section, gives the necessity of this condition for the compactness of $C_{\varphi}$ on BMOA.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be analytic. If $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on BMOA , then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|w| \rightarrow 1} \sup _{\{a \in \mathbb{D}:|\varphi(a)| \leq R\}} \frac{N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{a}, w\right)}{\log (1 /|w|)}=0, \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $R \in(0,1)$, where $\sigma_{a}(z)=(a-z) /(1-\bar{a} z)$ for $a, z \in \mathbb{D}$.
We will observe below that conditions (2.1) and (2.3) together are also sufficient for the compactness of $C_{\varphi}$ on BMOA (see Corollary 4.5).

The main idea for the proof of Theorem 2.3 comes from the work of Bourdon, Cima and Matheson [BCM, Theorem 4.1], where it was shown that the compactness of $C_{\varphi}$ on BMOA implies its compactness on $H^{2}$. The proof in [ BCM ] is based on an integral criterion [BCM, Theorem 3.1] which in our argument will be replaced by an equivalent criterion due to Wirths and Xiao [WX]. The counting function will be controlled using certain methods from the proof due to Shapiro [S, Theorem 2.3] of the fact that $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on the Hardy space $H^{2}$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|w| \rightarrow 1} \frac{N(\varphi, w)}{\log (1 /|w|)}=0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that condition (2.3) clearly implies (2.4).
We recall next some auxiliary results. We will use frequently the following easy identities concerning the automorphisms $\sigma_{a}: z \mapsto(a-z) /(1-\bar{a} z)$ : It holds that $\left(\sigma_{a} \circ \sigma_{a}\right)(z)=z$ and $1-\left|\sigma_{a}(z)\right|^{2}=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|\sigma_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|$ for all $a, z \in \mathbb{D}$ (see [G, I.1] for example). The relevance of the Nevanlinna counting function is seen from the change of variables formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}(\lambda \circ \varphi)(z)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A(z)=\int_{\mathbb{D}} \lambda(z) N(\varphi, z) d A(z), \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for positive measurable functions $\lambda: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $A$ denotes the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{D}$ (see $[S, 4.3]$ ). Combined with the Littlewood-Paley identity (see [G, Lemma VI.3.1] or [CoM, Theorem 2.30])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f-f(0)\|_{H^{2}}^{2}=2 \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} \frac{d A(z)}{\pi}, \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

formula (2.5) yields the identity

$$
\|f \circ \varphi-f(\varphi(0))\|_{H^{2}}^{2}=2 \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} N(\varphi, z) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi},
$$

for analytic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$. We will also need the following estimate for the integral in (2.6): There is a constant $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A(z) \leq c \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all analytic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (see e.g. [G, Lemma VI.3.2]). On the other hand, it is easy to check that $\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \leq 2 \log (1 /|z|)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Finally, we need the "only if"-part of the following result from [WX].
Theorem 2.2 ([WX, Theorem 5.1]). Let $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be analytic. The composition operator $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on BMOA if and only if

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \sup _{\|f\|_{\text {BMOA }} \leq 1} \sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\{z \in \mathbb{D}:|\varphi(z)|>r\}}\left|(f \circ \varphi)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-\left|\sigma_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) d A(z)=0 .
$$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.1.
Proof of Theorem 2.1. Assume that $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on BMOA. Let $0<R<$ 1 and $\varepsilon>0$. Recall that $\sup _{w \in \mathbb{D}}\left\|f_{w}\right\|_{\text {BMOA }}<\infty$, where the functions $f_{w} \in$ BMOA are given by $f_{w}(z)=\log (1-\bar{w} z)$ for $w, z \in \mathbb{D}$. By Theorem 2.2 , there is a number $t_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\sup _{a, b, w \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\left\{z \in \mathbb{D}:|\varphi(z)|>t_{0}\right\}}\left|\left(f_{w} \circ \varphi\right)^{\prime}(u)\right|^{2}\left(1-\left|\left(\sigma_{a} \circ \sigma_{b}\right)(u)\right|^{2}\right) d A(u)<\varepsilon,
$$

since $\left|\left(\sigma_{a} \circ \sigma_{b}\right)(u)\right|=\left|\sigma_{c}(u)\right|$ for some $c \in \mathbb{D}$. Let us abbreviate $\Omega(b)=\{z \in$ $\left.\mathbb{D}:\left|\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right)(z)\right|>t_{0}\right\}$ for $b \in \mathbb{D}$. By using the change of variable $u=\sigma_{b}(z)$ and the identities $\left(\sigma_{b} \circ \sigma_{b}\right)(z)=z$ and $1-\left|\sigma_{a}(z)\right|^{2}=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|\sigma_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|$, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon & >\left.\sup _{a, b, w \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\Omega(b)}\left|\left(f_{w} \circ \varphi\right)^{\prime}\left(\sigma_{b}(z)\right)\right|^{2}\left|\left(1-\left|\sigma_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right)\right| \sigma_{b}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d A(z) \\
& =\sup _{b, w \in \mathbb{D}} \sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\Omega(b)}\left|\left(f_{w} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left|\sigma_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right| d A(z) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the measures $\mu_{b, w}$ given by

$$
d \mu_{b, w}(z)=1_{\Omega(b)} \frac{|w|^{2}\left|\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{\left|1-\bar{w}\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right)(z)\right|^{2}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z),
$$

are Carleson measures for $b, w \in \mathbb{D}$. In particular, by Carleson's theorem (see [G, Lemma VI.3.3] or [CoM, Theorem 2.33]), there is a constant $C$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{b, w \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}|g|^{2} d \mu_{b, w} \leq C \varepsilon\|g\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $g \in H^{2}$.
Consider next $b \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|\varphi(b)| \leq R$. Let $k_{w}$ denote the analytic function given by $k_{w}(z)=\frac{\sqrt{1-|w|^{2}}}{1-\bar{w} z}$ for $w, z \in \mathbb{D}$, so that $\left\|k_{w}\right\|_{H^{2}}=1$. Recall that $\left\|C_{\psi}: H^{2} \rightarrow H^{2}\right\|^{2} \leq 2 /\left(1-|\psi(0)|^{2}\right)$ for all analytic maps $\psi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ (see $\left[\mathrm{CoM}\right.$, Corollary 3.7], for instance). Consequently, $\left\|k_{w} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \leq$
$2 /\left(1-R^{2}\right)$ for all $w \in \mathbb{D}$. By choosing $g=k_{w} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_{b}$ in (2.8) and abbreviating $d \nu(z)=\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\Omega(b)}\left|\left(k_{w} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d \nu(z) & =\int_{\Omega(b)} \frac{|w|^{2}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)\left|\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}}{\left|1-\bar{w}\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right)(z)\right|^{4}} d \nu(z) \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(k_{w} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right)(z)\right|^{2} d \mu_{b, w}(z) \\
& \leq C \varepsilon\left\|k_{w} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \leq 2 C \varepsilon /\left(1-R^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $b, w \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|\varphi(b)| \leq R$. Choose next a number $r_{0} \in(0,1)$ so that $\frac{|w|^{2}\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)}{\left(1-|w| t_{0}\right)^{4}}<\varepsilon$ for all $w \in \mathbb{D}$ with $|w|>r_{0}$. Then $\left|\left(k_{w} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \leq$ $\varepsilon\left|\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}$ for such $w$ and $z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash \Omega(b)=\left\{z \in \mathbb{D}:\left|\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right)(z)\right| \leq t_{0}\right\}$. Since $\left\|\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}-\varphi(b)\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \leq 4$, we get from (2.6) that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D} \backslash \Omega(b)}\left|\left(k_{w} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d \nu(z) \leq 2 \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A(z) \leq 4 \pi \varepsilon,
$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|w|>r_{0}$. By applying (2.5) to the function $\lambda(z)=$ $\left|k_{w}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}$, using (2.7), and combining the above estimates we get that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|k_{w}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}, z\right) d A(z)=\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(k_{w} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A(z) \\
\leq c \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(k_{w} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} d \nu(z) \leq c\left(\frac{2 C}{1-R^{2}}+4 \pi\right) \varepsilon,
\end{array}
$$

for all $b, w \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|\varphi(b)| \leq R$ and $|w|>r_{0}$. Hence we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|w| \rightarrow 1} \sup _{\{b:|\varphi(b)| \leq R\}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|k_{w}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}, z\right) d A(z) \rightarrow 0, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $|w| \rightarrow 1$.
We recall finally how condition (2.3) can be obtained from (2.9) by applying some methods from $[\mathrm{S}, 5.4]$ (see also $[\mathrm{CoM}, \mathrm{p} .138]$ ). Put $s=$ $\max \left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{R+1}{2}\right\} \in(0,1)$ and $h=\frac{1-R}{4} \in(0,1)$. Since $\sigma_{w}^{-1}=\sigma_{w}$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\sigma_{w}^{-1}\left(\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}\right)(0)\right)\right|=\left|\frac{w-\varphi(b)}{1-\bar{w} \varphi(b)}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}(|w|-|\varphi(b)|)>h, \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $w, b \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|w|>s$ and $|\varphi(b)| \leq R$. Fix next $w \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|w|>s$. By using the identity $\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)\left|k_{w}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}=|w|^{2}\left|\sigma_{w}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}$ and the change of variable $u=\sigma_{w}(z)$, we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|k_{w}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}, z\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} & =\frac{|w|^{2}}{1-|w|^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}, z\right)\left|\sigma_{w}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \\
& =\frac{|w|^{2}}{1-|w|^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}, \sigma_{w}(u)\right) \frac{d A(u)}{\pi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, (2.10) and the sub-mean value property of $N(\varphi, \cdot)$ (see [S, 4.6] or [CoM, p. 137]) give that

$$
\int_{h \mathbb{D}} N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}, \sigma_{w}(u)\right) \frac{d A(u)}{\pi} \geq h^{2} N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}, w\right) .
$$

Thus

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|k_{w}^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2} N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}, z\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \geq \frac{|w|^{2} h^{2} N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}, w\right)}{\left(1-|w|^{2}\right)} \geq \frac{h^{2}}{8} \frac{N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{b}, w\right)}{\log (1 /|w|)},
$$

for all $w \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|w|>s$ and $|\varphi(b)| \leq R$. Condition (2.3) follows now from (2.9).

## 3. Vector-valued BMOA and composition operators

In the sequel $X=\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{X}\right)$ will always be a complex Banach space. We will consider the following versions of $X$-valued BMOA (see [Bl], [Bl2], [L]).

Definition 3.1. (1) The space $\operatorname{BMOA}(X)$ consists of the analytic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ such that $\|f\|_{*, X}=\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}}\left\|f \circ \sigma_{a}-f(a)\right\|_{H^{1}(X)}<\infty$, where $\|\cdot\|_{H^{1}(X)}$ denotes the norm on the $X$-valued Hardy space $H^{1}(X)$ given by $\|f\|_{H^{1}(X)}=\sup _{0<r<1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|_{X} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}$. We equip $\operatorname{BMOA}(X)$ with the complete norm

$$
\|f\|_{\operatorname{BMOA}(X)}=\|f(0)\|+\|f\|_{*, X} .
$$

(2) The space $w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)$, a weak vector-valued version of BMOA, consists of the analytic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ such that $x^{*} \circ f \in$ BMOA for every functional $x^{*} \in X^{*}$. The complete norm on $w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)$ is given by

$$
\|f\|_{w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)}=\sup _{\left\|x^{*}\right\| \leq 1}\left\|x^{*} \circ f\right\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}} .
$$

(3) The space $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ consists of the analytic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}, X}^{2}=\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2}\left(1-\left|\sigma_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi}<\infty .
$$

We equip $\operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ with the complete norm $\|f\|_{\operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)}=\|f(0)\|+$ $\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}, X}$.

Note that the space $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ can be characterized in terms of certain Carleson measures. In fact, by using the identity $1-\left|\sigma_{a}(z)\right|^{2}=(1-$ $\left.|z|^{2}\right)\left|\sigma_{a}^{\prime}(z)\right|$ and a theorem of Carleson (see [G, Lemma VI.3.3] or [CoM, Theorem 2.33]) we get that $f \in \operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ if and only if the measure $d \mu_{f}(z)=\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z)$ is a Carleson measure.

It is known that the seminorms $\|\cdot\|_{*, \mathbb{C}}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{C}, \mathbb{C}}$ are comparable in the special case where $X=\mathbb{C}$ (one checks this fact from (2.6) and (2.7) using a change of variables). In fact, $\mathrm{BMOA}=\mathrm{BMOA}(\mathbb{C})=w \mathrm{BMOA}(\mathbb{C})=$ $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{C})$ with equivalent norms. In the general case, however, these spaces are usually different. By [Bl2, Corollary 1.1] the spaces BMOA $(X)$ and $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ coincide, and the respective norms are equivalent, if and only if $X$ is isomorphic to a Hilbert space. It is also known that $\operatorname{BMOA}(X)=$ $w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)$, and the respective norms are equivalent, if and only if $X$ is finite-dimensional (see e.g. [L, Example 15]). The following result complements these facts.

Proposition 3.2. The spaces $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ and $w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)$ coincide, and the respective norms are equivalent, if and only if $X$ is finite-dimensional.

Proof. Let $X$ be any complex Banach space. We get from (2.6), (2.7) and the change of variables $w=\sigma_{a}(z)$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|x^{*} \circ f \circ \sigma_{a}-x^{*}(f(a))\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \leq 2 c \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(x^{*} \circ f \circ \sigma_{a}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right|^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \\
& \quad=2 c \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|\left(x^{*} \circ f\right)^{\prime}(w)\right|^{2}\left(1-\left|\sigma_{a}(w)\right|^{2}\right) d A(w) \leq 2 c\left\|x^{*}\right\|_{X^{*}}^{2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}, X}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $f \in \operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ and $x^{*} \in X^{*}$, where we also used the identity ( $\sigma_{a}$ 。 $\left.\sigma_{a}\right)(w)=w$. Thus $\|f\|_{w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)} \leq \sqrt{2 c}\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)}$ for $f \in \operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$. Moreover, if $\operatorname{dim}(X)=n<\infty$, then it is not difficult to find a constant $C$ (depending on $n$ ) such that $\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)} \leq C\|f\|_{w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)}$ for all $f \in$ $w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)$.

Assume next that $X$ is infinite-dimensional. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Dvoretzky's theorem (see e.g. [DJT, Theorem 19.1]) there exists an $n$-dimensional subspace $E_{n} \subset X$ and a linear isomorphism $T_{n}: \ell_{2}^{n} \rightarrow E_{n}$ so that $\left\|T_{n}\right\| \leq 2$ and $\left\|T_{n}^{-1}\right\|=1$. Define the analytic function $f_{n}: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ by

$$
f_{n}(z)=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\left(T_{n} e_{k}\right) z^{k}}{\sqrt{k}}
$$

for $z \in \mathbb{D}$, where $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$ is an orthonormal basis of $\ell_{2}^{n}$. Then the argument in [L, p. 744] shows that $\sup _{n \in \mathbb{N}}\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)}<\infty$. On the other hand, since

$$
\left\|f_{n}^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2}=\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sqrt{k}\left(T_{n} e_{k}\right) z^{k-1}\right\|_{X}^{2} \geq\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{n} \sqrt{k} e_{k} z^{k-1}\right\|_{\ell_{2}^{n}}^{2}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} k|z|^{2(k-1)},
$$

we get that

$$
\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\mathcal{C}, X}^{2} \geq 2 \sum_{k=1}^{n} k \int_{0}^{1} r^{2(k-1)}\left(1-r^{2}\right) r d r=\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k+1} \geq \frac{\log n}{2} .
$$

Thus $\left\|f_{n}\right\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which shows that the norms are not equivalent. Moreover, by using the open mapping theorem we get that $\operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \subsetneq w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)$.

We consider next the composition operators $C_{\varphi}: f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ on the space $\operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$. It is known that for every analytic map $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ the operator $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $\operatorname{BMOA}(X)$ and $w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)$ (see [L, Proposition 3] and e.g. [LT, Theorem 5.2]). We sketch here for completeness a proof that $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ for any complex Banach space $X$. We need first a vector-valued version of (2.7): It holds that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} d A(z) \leq c \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any complex Banach space $X$ and analytic function $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$. In fact, the proof of (3.1) in [G, Lemma VI.3.2] remains valid also in the vectorvalued setting, since the map $z \mapsto\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2}$ is subharmonic. Moreover, by the change of variable $w=\sigma_{a}(z)$ and the identity $\left(\sigma_{a} \circ \sigma_{a}\right)(z)=z$ we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|f^{\prime}(w)\right\|_{X}^{2}\left(1-\left|\sigma_{a}(w)\right|^{2}\right) d A(w)=\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|\left(f \circ \sigma_{a}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z), \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all analytic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$. By using the estimate $\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \leq$ $2 \log (1 /|z|)$, we get from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}, X}^{2} \leq 2 \sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|\left(f \circ \sigma_{a}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \leq 2 c\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}, X}^{2} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall also that by an inequality due to Littlewood it holds that $N(\varphi \circ$ $\left.\sigma_{a}, z\right) \leq N\left(\sigma_{\varphi(a)}, z\right)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{\varphi(a)\}$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$ (see [S, p. 380] or [CoM, p. 33]). The fact that $C_{\varphi}$ is bounded on $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ can then be seen from (3.3) and the formula (2.5) applied to the function $\lambda(z)=\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2}$. Indeed, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f \circ \varphi\|_{\mathcal{C}, X}^{2} & \leq 2 \sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|\left(f \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_{a}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \\
& =2 \sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2} N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{a}, z\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \\
& \leq 2 \sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2} N\left(\sigma_{\varphi(a)}, z\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \\
& =2 \sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|\left(f \circ \sigma_{\varphi(a)}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \leq 2 c\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}, X}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $f \in \operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$. The upper bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|C_{\varphi}: \operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)\right\| \leq \sqrt{2 c}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \log \frac{1+|\varphi(0)|}{1-|\varphi(0)|} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be calculated from the above estimate and the following lemma, which will be useful in the sequel.
Lemma 3.3. Let $f \in \operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ and $R \in(0,1)$ be arbitrary. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\|\left(f \circ \sigma_{a}\right)^{\prime}\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|_{X}^{2} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \leq \frac{2\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}, X}^{2}}{\left(1-R^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(z)\|_{X} \leq\|f(0)\|_{X}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}, X} \log \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$.
Proof. Let $R \in(0,1), a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $f \in \operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$. Recall that since the function $z \mapsto\left\|\left(f \circ \sigma_{a}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2}$ is subharmonic on $\mathbb{D}$, the integral $\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \|(f \circ$ $\left.\sigma_{a}\right)^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right) \|_{X}^{2} d \theta$ increases with $\rho \in(0,1)$. By using (3.2) we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}, X}^{2} & \geq \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|\left(f \circ \sigma_{a}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{R}^{1} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\|\left(f \circ \sigma_{a}\right)^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|_{X}^{2} d \theta\left(1-r^{2}\right) r d r \\
& \geq \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\|\left(f \circ \sigma_{a}\right)^{\prime}\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|_{X}^{2} d \theta \int_{R}^{1}\left(1-r^{2}\right) r d r \\
& =\frac{\left(1-R^{2}\right)^{2}}{4 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\|\left(f \circ \sigma_{a}\right)^{\prime}\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|_{X}^{2} d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves (3.5). From the Hölder inequality we get that

$$
\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}=\left\|\left(f \circ \sigma_{z}\right)^{\prime}(0)\right\|_{X} \leq\left(\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\|\left(f \circ \sigma_{z}\right)^{\prime}\left(R e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|_{X}^{2} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $R \in(0,1)$. Thus (3.5) gives that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X} \leq \sqrt{2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}, X}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $f \in \operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$. Since $f(z)-f(0)=e^{i \theta} \int_{0}^{|z|} f^{\prime}\left(t e^{i \theta}\right) d t$ for every $z=|z| e^{i \theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, this yields that

$$
\|f(z)-f(0)\|_{X} \leq \sqrt{2}\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}, X} \int_{0}^{|z|} \frac{1}{1-t^{2}} d t=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}, X} \log \frac{1+|z|}{1-|z|}
$$

which proves (3.6).

## 4. Weakly compact composition operators on $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$

Recall that a bounded linear map $T$ on a Banach space $E$ is weakly compact if $\overline{T B_{E}}$ is a weakly compact set, where $B_{E}$ is the closed unit ball of $E$. We note that if the composition operator $C_{\varphi}: f \mapsto f \circ \varphi$ is weakly compact on $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$, then $X$ is reflexive and $C_{\varphi}$ is weakly compact also on BMOA. In fact, since $C_{\varphi}\left(f_{x}\right)=f_{x}$ for the constant functions $f_{x} \equiv x$ (where $x \in X$ ), the weak compactness of $C_{\varphi}$ on $\operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ yields that $\overline{B_{X}}$ is weakly compact so that $X$ is reflexive. Moreover, given some nonzero $x_{0} \in X$, we get that $C_{\varphi}$ is weakly compact on the closed subspace $x_{0} \mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{C})=\left\{x_{0} f: f \in \mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{C})\right\}$ of $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$. Since BMOA is obviously isomorphic to $x_{0} \mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{C})$, we deduce that $C_{\varphi}$ is weakly compact on BMOA. Note also that if $X$ is infinite-dimensional, then composition operators $C_{\varphi}$ are never compact on $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$.

Our main result provides a sufficient condition for the weak compactness of composition operators on $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $X$ be a reflexive Banach space and suppose that $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{D}$ is an analytic map such that $C_{\varphi}: \mathrm{BMOA} \rightarrow \mathrm{BMOA}$ is compact. Then $C_{\varphi}: \mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \rightarrow \mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is weakly compact.

Theorem 4.1 complements [L, Theorem 7] and [BDL, Proposition 11] where it is shown that if $X$ is reflexive and $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on BMOA, then $C_{\varphi}$ is weakly compact on both $\operatorname{BMOA}(X)$ and $w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)$. In the case of $w \operatorname{BMOA}(X)$ this result follows from a general theorem for composition operators on a large class of vector-valued spaces of weak type. In the case of $\operatorname{BMOA}(X)$ the proof is essentially a vector-valued modification of Smith's characterization of the compact composition operators on BMOA (see conditions (2.1) and (2.2)). We start the proof of Theorem 4.1 by combining (2.1) and Theorem 2.1: If $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on BMOA, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \sup _{\{a \in \mathbb{D}:|\varphi(a)|>r\}} \sup _{0<|w|<1}|w|^{2} N\left(\sigma_{\varphi(a)} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_{a}, w\right)=0 \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|w| \rightarrow 1} \sup _{\{a \in \mathbb{D}:|\varphi(a)| \leq R\}} \frac{N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{a}, w\right)}{\log (1 /|w|)}=0, \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $R \in(0,1)$. The remaining parts of the argument are essentially contained in the following two lemmas which will be proved below. Here $C_{r}$ denotes the linear operator given by $\left(C_{r} f\right)(z)=f(r z)$ for analytic functions $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ and $r \in(0,1)$.

Lemma 4.2. The operators $C_{r}: \operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ satisfy the following properties for $r \in(0,1)$.
(1) $\sup _{0<r<1}\left\|C_{r}\right\|<\infty$.
(2) For every $0<R<1$, one has
$\sup \sup _{\max }\left\{\left\|\left(f-C_{r} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X},\left\|\left(f-C_{r} f\right)(z)\right\|_{X}\right\} \rightarrow 0$,
$\|f\|_{\text {BMOA }_{\mathcal{C}}(X)} \leq 1|z| \leq R$

$$
\text { as } r \rightarrow 1 \text {. }
$$

(3) If $X$ is reflexive, then $C_{r}$ is weakly compact on $\operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$.

Lemma 4.3. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be an analytic map such that conditions (4.1) and (4.2) hold. Then

$$
\left\|C_{\varphi}-C_{\varphi} C_{r}: \operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X) \rightarrow \operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)\right\| \rightarrow 0
$$

as $r \rightarrow 1$.
We note that the proof of Theorem 4.1 is easy to complete by using Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3. Indeed, assume that $X$ is reflexive and $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on BMOA so that (4.1) and (4.2) hold. Let $r_{n}=\frac{n}{n+1}$ and consider the linear operators $T_{n}=C_{\varphi} C_{r_{n}}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By parts (1) and (3) of Lemma 4.2 the operators $T_{n}$ are bounded and weakly compact on $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$. Since $\left\|C_{\varphi}-T_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by Lemma 4.3, the operator $C_{\varphi}$ is weakly compact on $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$. This proves Theorem 4.1.

We prove next Lemmas 4.2 and 4.3.
Proof of Lemma 4.2. The assertion (1) follows from the fact that $C_{r}$ is the composition operator induced by the mapping $z \mapsto r z$. In fact, from (3.4) we get that $\left\|C_{r}\right\| \leq \sqrt{2 c}$ for every $r \in(0,1)$ (where $c$ is the constant from (2.7)).

We prove next (2). Let $0<r, R<1$. Consider an analytic function $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ and a point $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Put $\rho=(|z|+1) / 2$ so that $|r z|<|z|<\rho<1$. Using the Cauchy integral formula we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|f^{\prime}(z)-r f^{\prime}(r z)\right\|_{X}=\left\|\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\frac{\rho f^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}{\rho-z e^{-i \theta}}-\frac{\rho r f^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)}{\rho-r z e^{-i \theta}}\right) \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}\right\|_{X} \\
\leq & \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{(1-r)\left\|f^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|_{X}}{\left|\rho-z e^{-i \theta}\right|\left|\rho-r z e^{-i \theta}\right|} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} \leq \frac{4(1-r)}{(1-|z|)^{2}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\|f^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|_{X} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the Hölder inequality and Lemma 3.3 we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(f-C_{r} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X} \leq \frac{4 \sqrt{2}(1-r)}{(1-|z|)^{2}\left(1-\rho^{2}\right)}\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}, X} \leq \frac{16(1-r)}{(1-|z|)^{3}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}, X} . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, since $\left(f-C_{r} f\right)(z)=e^{i \theta} \int_{0}^{|z|}\left(f-C_{r} f\right)^{\prime}\left(t e^{i \theta}\right) d t$ where $z=|z| e^{i \theta}$, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(f-C_{r} f\right)(z)\right\|_{X} \leq 16(1-r)\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}, X} \int_{0}^{|z|} \frac{d t}{(1-t)^{3}} \leq \frac{8(1-r)}{(1-|z|)^{2}}\|f\|_{\mathcal{C}, X} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain (2) by taking the supremum over all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $f$ satisfying $|z| \leq R$ and $\|f\|_{\operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)} \leq 1$ in (4.3) and (4.4), and letting $r \rightarrow 1$.

Finally we prove (3). We will approximate $C_{r}$ using the truncation operators $P_{n}$, where $\left(P_{n} f\right)(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} x_{k} z^{k}$ for $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{k} z^{k}$ in $\operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ and $n \geq 0$. We note first that the operators $P_{n}$ are bounded on $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$. Indeed, for any analytic function $f: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X$ with $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{k} z^{k}$ we have that $\left\|x_{0}\right\|_{X}=\|f(0)\|_{X} \leq\|f\|_{\operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)}$. Moreover, there is a constant $K$ such that $\sup _{k \geq 1}\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{X} \leq K \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right)\left\|f^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}$ for all $f \in$ $\operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$. Here one may apply the familiar scalar-valued argument (see [Bl3, p. 101], for example). By applying (3.7) we get that $\sup _{k \geq 1}\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{X} \leq$ $\sqrt{2} K\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)}$. Since $\left\|z^{n}\right\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{C})} \leq 1$ for $n \geq 1$, we obtain that $\left\|P_{n}\right\| \leq \sqrt{2} K(n+1)$.

Let next $\varepsilon>0$ and fix $n_{0}$ so that $\sum_{k=n_{0}+1}^{\infty} k r^{k}<\varepsilon$. For any $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $f \in \operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ with $f(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} x_{k} z^{k}$ we get that

$$
\left\|\left(\left(C_{r}-P_{n_{0}} C_{r}\right) f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X} \leq \sum_{k=n_{0}+1}^{\infty}\left\|x_{k}\right\|_{X} r^{k} k|z|^{k-1} \leq \sqrt{2} K \varepsilon\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)}
$$

Since $\left\|\left(C_{r}-P_{n_{0}} C_{r}\right) f\right\|_{\text {BMOA }_{\mathcal{C}}(X)} \leq \sup _{z \in \mathbb{D}}\left\|\left(\left(C_{r}-P_{n_{0}} C_{r}\right) f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}$ by the definition of the $\operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ norm, we get that $\left\|C_{r}-P_{n} C_{r}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The proof of (3) is completed by noting that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the operator $P_{n}$ is weakly compact on $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ since it factors through the reflexive direct sum $\ell_{2}^{n+1}(X)$ (see the proof of [LST, Proposition 2]).

For the proof of Lemma 4.3 we need a refinement of condition (2.1) due to Smith [Sm, Lemma 2.1]. For convenience, we use the following technical modification of Smith's result from [L].
Lemma 4.4 ([L, Lemma 10]). Let $\psi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ be an analytic function with $\psi(0)=0$. Suppose that there is $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{e}\right)$ such that

$$
\sup _{0<|w|<1}|w|^{2} N(\psi, w) \leq \varepsilon^{2}
$$

Then $N(\psi, z) \leq 2 \varepsilon \log (1 /|z|)$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$ with $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \leq|z|<1$.
We are now ready to prove Lemma 4.3.
Proof of Lemma 4.3. For $r \in(0,1)$ let $S_{r}$ denote the linear operator $f \mapsto$ $f-C_{r} f$ so that $\left\|S_{r}\right\| \leq K:=1+\sqrt{2 c}$, by Lemma 4.2(1). Since

$$
\left.\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \sup _{\|f\|_{\text {BMOA }}^{\mathcal{C}}(X)} \leq 1\right]\left(f-C_{r} f\right)(\varphi(0)) \|_{X}=0,
$$

by Lemma 4.2(2), it suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} \sup _{\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}}^{\mathcal{C}}(X)} \leq 1 \sup _{a \in \mathbb{D}} M_{a}\left(C_{\varphi} S_{r} f\right)=0, \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we denote

$$
M_{a}(g)=\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|g^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2}\left(1-\left|\sigma_{a}(z)\right|^{2}\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi},
$$

for $g \in \operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ and $a \in \mathbb{D}$. Let $\varepsilon \in\left(0, \frac{1}{e}\right)$ and let $f \in \operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ be arbitrary. We will abbreviate $\varphi_{a}=\sigma_{\varphi(a)} \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_{a}$ and $g_{r, a}=\left(S_{r} f\right) \circ$
$\sigma_{\varphi(a)}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{D}$ and $r \in(0,1)$. By (4.1) there is $R \in(0,1)$ such that $\sup _{0<|w|<1}|w|^{2} N\left(\varphi_{a}, w\right)<\varepsilon^{2}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{D}$ with $|\varphi(a)|>R$. Since $\varphi_{a}(0)=0$, we get from Lemma 4.4 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\varphi_{a}, z\right) \leq 2 \varepsilon \log (1 /|z|) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a, z \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|\varphi(a)|>R$ and $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \leq|z|<1$. Using (3.2) and the identity $\left(C_{\varphi} S_{r} f\right) \circ \sigma_{a}=g_{r, a} \circ \varphi_{a}$ we get that

$$
M_{a}\left(C_{\varphi} S_{r} f\right)=\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|\left(g_{r, a} \circ \varphi_{a}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} .
$$

Thus the estimate $\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \leq 2 \log (1 /|z|)$ and the formula (2.5) applied to the function $\lambda(z)=\left\|g_{r, a}^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2}$ give that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{a}\left(C_{\varphi} S_{r} f\right) \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|g_{r, a}^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2} N\left(\varphi_{a}, z\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi}, \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r \in(0,1)$. By applying (4.6), (3.1) and (3.2), we get that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\sqrt{\varepsilon} \leq|z|<1}\left\|g_{r, a}^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2} N\left(\varphi_{a}, z\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \leq 2 \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|g_{r, a}^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \\
& \quad \leq 2 c \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|\left(\left(S_{r} f\right) \circ \sigma_{\varphi(a)}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \leq 2 c \varepsilon\left\|S_{r} f\right\|_{\mathcal{C}, X}^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

for $a \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|\varphi(a)|>R$. On the other hand, recall that $N\left(\varphi_{a}, z\right) \leq$ $\log (1 /|z|)$ for $z \in \mathbb{D} \backslash\{0\}$ by Littlewood's inequality (see [S, p. 380] or [CoM, p. 33]). Thus we get from Lemma 3.3 that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{|z|<\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left\|g_{r, a}^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2} N\left(\varphi_{a}, z\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \leq \int_{|z|<\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left\|g_{r, a}^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \\
=2 \int_{0}^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left\|\left(\left(S_{r} f\right) \circ \sigma_{\varphi(a)}\right)^{\prime}\left(\rho e^{i \theta}\right)\right\|_{X}^{2} \frac{d \theta}{2 \pi}\left(\log \frac{1}{\rho}\right) \rho d \rho \\
\\
\leq \frac{4\left\|S_{r} f\right\|_{\mathcal{C}, X}^{2}}{(1-\varepsilon)^{2}} \int_{0}^{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\left(\log \frac{1}{\rho}\right) \rho d \rho \leq \frac{4 \sqrt{\varepsilon}}{\left(1-\frac{1}{e}\right)^{2}}\left\|S_{r} f\right\|_{\mathcal{C}, X}^{2} .
\end{array}
$$

By combining these estimates with (4.7) we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{\{a \in \mathbb{D}:|\varphi(a)|>R\}} M_{a}\left(C_{\varphi} S_{r} f\right) \leq C(\varepsilon+\sqrt{\varepsilon})\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)}^{2} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r \in(0,1)$, where $C$ is a constant.
We consider next $a \in \mathbb{D}$ such that $|\varphi(a)| \leq R$. By (4.2) there is $t_{0} \in(0,1)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{a}, z\right) \leq \varepsilon \log (1 /|z|), \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $a, z \in \mathbb{D}$ satisfying $|\varphi(a)| \leq R$ and $|z|>t_{0}$. Using Lemma 4.2(2) we choose $r_{0} \in(0,1)$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{|z| \leq t_{0}}\left\|\left(S_{r} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2} \leq \varepsilon\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)}^{2} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $r \geq r_{0}$. Using (3.2), the estimate $\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \leq 2 \log (1 /|z|)$ and the formula (2.5) applied to the function $\lambda(z)=\left\|\left(S_{r} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2}$ we get that

$$
\begin{align*}
M_{a}\left(C_{\varphi} S_{r} f\right) & =\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|\left(\left(S_{r} f\right) \circ \varphi \circ \sigma_{a}\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \\
& \leq 2 \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|\left(S_{r} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2} N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{a}, z\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} . \tag{4.11}
\end{align*}
$$

From (4.9) and (3.1) we get that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\int_{t_{0}<|z|<1}\left\|\left(S_{r} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2} N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{a}, z\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|\left(S_{r} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2} \log \frac{1}{|z|} \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \\
\leq c \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{D}}\left\|\left(S_{r} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2}\left(1-|z|^{2}\right) d A(z) \leq K^{2} c \varepsilon\|f\|_{\operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)}^{2} .
\end{array}
$$

Moreover, by using (4.10) we get that

$$
\int_{|z| \leq t_{0}}\left\|\left(S_{r} f\right)^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{X}^{2} N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{a}, z\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi} \leq 2 \varepsilon\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)}^{2}
$$

for $r \geq r_{0}$, since $2 \int_{\mathbb{D}} N\left(\varphi \circ \sigma_{a}, z\right) \frac{d A(z)}{\pi}=\left\|\varphi \circ \sigma_{a}-\varphi(a)\right\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \leq 4$ by (2.5) and (2.6). By combining the preceding estimates with (4.11) we get that

$$
\sup _{\{a \in \mathbb{D}:|\varphi(a)| \leq R\}} M_{a}\left(C_{\varphi} S_{r} f\right) \leq 2\left(K^{2} c+2\right) \varepsilon\|f\|_{\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)}^{2},
$$

for all $r \geq r_{0}$. Finally, by taking (4.8) together with the above estimate, we get (4.5). This proves the lemma and finishes the proof of Theorem 4.1.

We record separately the special case $X=\mathbb{C}$ of Theorem 4.1, where $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on BMOA, since the operators $C_{r}$ are compact on BMOA for $r \in(0,1)$.
Corollary 4.5. The composition operator $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on BMOA if and only if (4.1) and (4.2) hold.

A complete characterization of the weakly compact composition operators on $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ depends on the question whether all weakly compact composition operators on BMOA are compact or not. Unfortunately this question is open for arbitrary composition operators on BMOA (see e.g. [CM]). However, there are some partial positive results in the literature, which in combination with Theorem 4.1 lead to characterizations of weakly compact composition operators on $\mathrm{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ in some cases. By applying [Sm, Theorem 4.1], [CM, Theorem 1] and [MT, Corollary 5.4] we obtain the following partial characterization. Assume that $\varphi: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ is analytic and satisfies one of the following conditions:
(1) $\varphi$ is univalent, or
(2) $\varphi \in \mathrm{VMOA}$ and $\varphi(\mathbb{D})$ lies inside a polygon inscribed in the unit circle.
Then $C_{\varphi}$ is weakly compact on $\operatorname{BMOA}_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ if and only if $X$ is reflexive and $C_{\varphi}$ is compact on BMOA. See [L, p. 741] for the details.
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