

Reduced bias and increased  
variance: a possible trade-off in  
calibration for nonresponse  
treatment?

Per Gösta Andersson

Carl-Erik Särndal

Stockholm University

# Calibration for Nonresponse

- Nonresponse causes bias and increased variance of estimators
- If auxiliary information is available, calibration is a possibility in order to "compensate" for nonresponse

# Calibration for nonresponse

- Some important references:
- Brick, J.M. (2013). "Unit Nonresponse and Weighting Adjustment: A Critical Review" *Journal of Official Statistics*, 29, 329-353.
- Deville, J.C. and Särndal, C.E. (1992). "Calibration Estimators in Survey Sampling" *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 87, 376-382.
- Särndal, C.E. and Lundström, S. (2005) *Estimation in Surveys with Nonresponse*, UK: Chichester, Wiley.

# Calibration for Nonresponse

- Kott, P.S. (2006). "Using Calibration Weighting to Adjust for Nonresponse and Coverage Errors" *Survey Methodology* 32, 133-142.
- Kott, P.S. and Chang, T. (2010). "Calibration Weighting to Adjust for Nonignorable Unit Nonresponse" *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 105, 1265-1275.

# Calibration for Nonresponse

Two features of an auxiliary variable:

- The *information*, specifying the detailed knowledge about the variable.
- The *role* of the variable in the calibration

Two possible *roles*:

- As a known population total
- As variable values known for all units in the sample

# Calibration for Nonresponse

- Examples of auxiliary *information*:
- **Ex 1** The auxiliary variable value is known individually for all units in the population and there are two possible *roles*:
- As a known population total
- As values used for the sample units only

# Calibration for Nonresponse

- **Ex 2** Population totals are taken from reliable sources outside the survey itself; totals are known (imported), but individual values are unknown at the population level (Canadian Labour Force Survey: demographic modeling)
- **Ex 3** The auxiliary variable is known (observed) for the sample units only: *paradata*, consisting of e.g. information from the data collection process

# Calibration for Nonresponse

- Population  $U$  of size  $N$
- Sample  $s$  of size  $n_s$
- Response  $r$  of size  $n_r$
  
- Target: Population total  $\sum_U y_k$
- General form of estimator:  $\sum_r w_k y_k$ ,  
where  $w_k$  is the calibration weight



# Calibration for Nonresponse

- Two main components of calibration:
- The underlying distance measure (here assumed to be the "standard")
- The calibration equation:  $\sum_r w_k x_k = X$ ,  
where  $X$  is the (linear) calibration constraint.
- Example:  $X = \sum_U x_k$ , or  $X = \sum_S d_k x_k$ ,  
where  $d_k = 1/\pi_k$  (the sampling weight)

# Calibration for Nonresponse

- Auxiliary information at two levels:
- Population level: "star" vector  $x^*$
- Sample level: "moon" vector  $x^0$
  
- The star-vector values are assumed known for all  $k \in U$
- The moon-vector values are assumed known for  $k \in s$

# Calibration for Nonresponse

- Observe that the calibration constraint  $X$  can contain either "star-information", "moon-information" or both.
- Calibration can now be performed in one or two steps.
- One step: all auxiliary information is used simultaneously

# Calibration for Nonresponse

Two-steps (two possibilities):

- "Bottom-up": First the moon-information is used for a calibration from  $r$  to  $s$  and then we use the star-info (or both types of info) for a calibration from  $r$  to  $U$ .
- "Top-down": First a calibration from  $s$  to  $U$  using the star-information and then a calibration from  $r$  to  $s$  using the moon-info (or both types of info)

# Calibration for Nonresponse

- Questions:
- Calibration in one or two steps?
- Should we "reuse" information from the first step in the second step? Possible *trade-off*: lower bias against higher variance?
- For the two-step situation: bottom-up or top-down?
- Simplification: using sample instead of population information, what effect has that?

# Calibration for Nonresponse

- Population for simulation study: KYBOK,  $N = 832$ , clerical municipalities in Sweden 1992.
- $y_k$  is expenditure on administration and maintenance
- Division into four groups according to size, yielding the moon-vector,  $x^0_k$  consisting of indicator variables

# Calibration for nonresponse

- $x_k^* = (1, x_k)$ , where  $x_k$  is the square root of Revenue advances

# Calibration for Nonresponse

The simulation study:

- Sample size  $n_s = 300$
- Response probability  
 $\theta_k = 1 - \exp(-0.0318x_k)$ : increasing exponential response distribution)
- Here this leads to the average response probability 0.86.



# Calibration for Nonresponse

- 10 000 simulated samples according to simple random sampling
- Each response set created by 300 independent Bernoulli trials, each with probability  $\theta_k$  of success

# Calibration for Nonresponse

Measures of performance of estimators:

- Empirical first and second moments, yield estimates of:
  - Bias
  - Variance
  - Mean squared error (MSE)

# Calibration for Nonresponse

- As a benchmark the estimator  $N\bar{y}_r$  was also considered. As expected the simulation shows this estimator to be inferior to the other choices. (This estimator corresponds to an auxiliary variable which is a constant.)

# Calibration for Nonresponse

- Simulation results:
- The MSE is much lower when calibrating on the star-information at the population level, instead of calibrating at the sample level. The bias though, is smaller for the latter case.
- Comparing bottom-up with top-down, bottom-up estimators yield slightly less biased estimators with similar variance.

# Calibration for Nonresponse

- For the bottom-up approach, using the moon information AGAIN in the second step, leads to a slight decrease in bias and similar variance.
- Direct calibration produces estimates with slightly higher bias than the two-step procedures, but with similar variance.

# Calibration for Nonresponse

- We may now “manipulate” the KYBOK population, by transforming and adding variables, e.g. by constructing a quantitative “moon” variable.
- Preliminary results: For some cases the observed tendencies for the estimators using the original KYBOK population move in opposite directions.

# Calibration for Nonresponse

”This is still not the end,…”