

Computational light scattering (PAP315)

Lecture 11

Karri Muinonen^{1,2}


Professor of Astronomy

¹Department of Physics, University of Helsinki, Finland

²Finnish Geospatial Research Institute (FGI), Masala, Finland

Multiple scattering

- Radiative transfer and coherent backscattering (RT-CB; Muinonen et al., ApJ 2012; Muinonen, WRM 2004 and URSI EMTS 1989)
- Superposition T-Matrix Method (STMM or MSTM; Mackowski & Mishchenko, JQSRT 2011; FaSTMM, Markkanen & Yuffa JQSRT 2017)
- Electric Current Volume Integral Equation Method (JVIE; Markkanen & Yuffa, JQSRT 2017, Markkanen et al., IEEE-TAP 2012)
- Radiative transfer with reciprocal transactions (R^2T^2 ; Muinonen et al., URSI EMTS 2016ab, RS 2017, OL 2018, JoVE 2019; Markkanen et al., OL 2018, ApJL 2018; Väisänen et al., PLoS ONE 2019)





Coherent and incoherent electromagnetic fields





- **Coherent field** equals the mean field from separate realizations (not measurable)
- **Incoherent field** equals the free-space field with subtraction of the mean field
- Incoherent field specifies the **elementary scattering** in an infinite medium
- Scattering by an infinite medium **invariant: independence** of elementary scattering
- **Recipe**: revise RT-CB for incoherent elementary scattering by a wavelength-scale **volume element** and **rigorous interactions** among the elements
- Expanding the work by **Zurk et al. (1995, 1996)**





Validation of numerical methods

Comparison with STMM

- R^2T^2 , exact incoherent interactions using T -matrices from FaSTMM (Muinonen et al., Optics Letters 2018)
- Spherical media, radius $kR = 100$:
 - Number of spheres $N = 15625, 31250$
 - Case, Ice:
 - radius $kr = 2.0$, refractive index $m = 1.31$
 - single-scattering albedo $\omega = 1.0$
 - volume densities $v = 0.125, 0.25$





















Comparison with JVIE


- R^2T^2 , exact incoherent interactions using T -matrices from JVIE (Markkanen et al., Optics Letters 2018)
- Spherical media, radius $kR = 60$, Voronoi media:
 - Case I, Ice:
 - radius $kr = 2.0$, refractive index $m = 1.31$
 - volume densities $v = 0.125, 0.25$
- Spherical media, radius $kR = 1.2 \times 10^{13}$ (!):
 - Case II, Silicate:
 - radius $kr = 1.5$, refractive index $m = 1.8 + i0.000188$
 - volume densities $v = 0.15, 0.30$















Muinonen et al.,
JoVE 2019






Application: asteroids

Photometry



Polarimetry




Muinonen et al. 2019, in press (obs. ref. therein)


Application: comet

67P/Churyumov-Gerasimenko

Coma photometric phase functions



Nucleus photometric phase function



Markkanen et al., ApJL 2018