

Time-frequency analysis — Winter 2012

6. EXERCISE SET

6.1. Prove the following estimate, which was needed to complete the proof on the lectures:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{I_T^c} \left(1 + 2^k \frac{\text{dist}(x, I_T)}{|I_T|}\right)^{-9} dx \lesssim |I_T|.$$

Here I_T is an interval, and $\text{dist}(x, I_T)$ designates the distance of the point $x \in I_T^c$ from this interval.

6.2. Prove the other estimate needed in the lectures:

$$|\langle \phi_P, \phi_{P'} \rangle| \lesssim \left(\frac{|I_P|}{|I_{P'}|}\right)^{1/2} \|v_{I_P} \mathbf{1}_{I_{P'}}\|_1, \quad v_I(x) := \frac{1}{|I|} \left(1 + \frac{|x - c(I)|}{|I|}\right)^{-10},$$

[Hint: Recall that $|\phi_P| \lesssim |I_P|^{1/2} v_{I_P}$, and such a bound is true even if the power 10 in the definition of v_I is replaced by any bigger number.]

6.3. In the lectures we considered a collection \mathcal{T} of trees with the following property:

(*) If $P \in \mathbb{T} \in \mathcal{T}$ and $P' \in \mathbb{T}' \in \mathcal{T}$ satisfy $\omega_P \subseteq \omega_{P'}$, then $I_{P'} \cap I_{\mathbb{T}} = \emptyset$.

Prove that under this assumption, every tree $\mathbb{T} \in \mathcal{T}$ can be divided into up-trees \mathbb{T}_j , whose top time-intervals $I_{\mathbb{T}_j}$ are pairwise disjoint. [Hint: Let T_j be the maximal tiles in \mathbb{T} and define the subtrees $\mathbb{T}_j := \{P \in \mathbb{T} : P \leq T_j\}$. Check that these give the required decomposition, in particular, they are up-trees. Note that in the assumption (*) we also allow the case that $\mathbb{T}' = \mathbb{T}$.]

6.4. Recall from the lectures the sums

$$S := \left(\sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}} |\langle f, \phi_P \rangle|^2\right)^{1/2}, \quad S_2 := \sum_{\substack{P, P' \in \mathbb{P} \\ \omega_P \subseteq \omega_{P'}}} \langle f, \phi_P \rangle \langle \phi_P, \phi_{P'} \rangle \langle \phi_{P'}, f \rangle,$$

where $\mathbb{P} = \bigcup_j \mathbb{T}_j$ is a union of trees. In the lectures, we derived the bounds

$$S^2 \lesssim \sqrt{S^2 + S_2} \|f\|_2, \quad S_2 \lesssim AS, \quad A := \sup_{P \in \mathbb{P}} \frac{|\langle f, \phi_P \rangle|}{|I_P|^{1/2}} \left(\sum_j |I_{\mathbb{T}_j}|\right)^{1/2}.$$

Now, prove the alternative bound $S_2 \lesssim A^2$, use this to get a bound for S , and then derive by this alternative way the estimate of the Energy lemma,

$$\sum_j |I_{\mathbb{T}_j}| \lesssim \mathcal{E}^{-2} \|f\|_2^2.$$

6.5. This result will be needed on the final week of lectures: Let \mathbb{P} be a finite collection of tiles. Let \mathcal{J} be the collection of all maximal dyadic intervals J with the property that $3J$ (the interval with the same centre and triple the length of J) does *not* contain any I_P with $P \in \mathbb{P}$. Prove that \mathcal{J} is a partition (a pairwise disjoint cover) of \mathbb{R} .