

## Time-frequency analysis — Winter 2012

### 3. EXERCISE SET

**3.1.** Let  $\mathbb{P}_1, \mathbb{P}_2$  be finite collections of tiles. Recall that if  $\bigcup_{P \in \mathbb{P}_1} P = \bigcup_{P \in \mathbb{P}_2} P$ , then also  $\text{span}\{w_P : P \in \mathbb{P}_1\} = \text{span}\{w_P : P \in \mathbb{P}_2\}$ . Give an example to show that it is possible to have the second “=”, even if the first “=” is replaced by “ $\subsetneq$ ”. [Hint: it suffices to consider collections  $\mathbb{P}_i$  of just two tiles.]

**3.2.** Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a finite collection of bitiles with  $\text{density}(\mathbb{P}) \cdot \text{energy}(\mathbb{P}) = 0$ . Check that then  $\sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}} \langle f, w_{P_d} \rangle \langle w_{P_d}, 1_{E_{P_u}} \rangle = 0$ . Do this both directly from the definition, and by using the Tree Lemma. [Hint: every collection  $\{P\}$  of a single bitile is a tree.]

**3.3.** Prove the following corollary of the Density Lemma and the Energy Lemma: If  $\mathbb{P}_n$  is a finite collection of bitiles with

$$(*) \quad \text{density}(\mathbb{P}_n) \leq 4^n |E|, \quad \text{energy}(\mathbb{P}_n) \leq 2^n \|f\|_{L^2}^2,$$

then we can decompose it as  $\mathbb{P}_n = \mathbb{P}_{n-1} \cup \bigcup_j \mathbb{T}_{n,j}$ , where  $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$  satisfies  $(*)$  with  $n-1$  in place of  $n$ , each  $\mathbb{T}_{n,j}$  is a tree with top  $T_{n,j}$ , and the top time intervals satisfy  $\sum_j |I_{T_{n,j}}| \leq C4^{-n}$ .

**3.4.** Let  $\mathbb{P}$  be a finite collection of tiles with the property that  $\sum_{P \in \mathbb{P}} 1_P \leq n$ , i.e., at any point of the phase plane, at most  $n$  tiles  $P \in \mathbb{P}$  intersect. Prove that there exists a decomposition  $\mathbb{P} = \bigcup_{j=1}^n \mathbb{P}_j$ , where each  $\mathbb{P}_j$  is a pairwise disjoint collection of tiles. Give also an example to show that the claim is not true if the tiles are replaced by arbitrary sets.

[Hint: By induction, it is enough to decompose  $\mathbb{P} = \mathbb{P}_1 \cup \mathbb{P}'$ , where  $\mathbb{P}_1$  is pairwise disjoint, and  $\mathbb{P}'$  has maximum overlap  $n-1$ . Here is a simple algorithm: Let  $P_1$  be the *longest* (say, in horizontal direction) tile in  $\mathbb{P}$  with an  $n$ -intersection. Remove  $P_1$  from  $\mathbb{P}$ , add  $P_1$  to  $\mathbb{P}_1$ , and repeat as long as possible. Prove by counterassumption that the constructed  $\mathbb{P}_1$  is pairwise disjoint.]

**3.5.** Follow the hints to give a (bit unusual) proof of the bound  $\|Mf\|_{L^2} \leq 2\|f\|_{L^2}$ , where  $Mf(x) = \sup_{J \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{1_J(x)}{|J|} \int_J |f|$  is the dyadic maximal function:

It suffices (why?) to consider nonnegative  $f$ , and an arbitrary linearization  $\tilde{M}f = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{D}} \frac{1_{E(J)}}{|J|} \int_J f$ , where the subsets  $E(J) \subseteq J$  are pairwise disjoint.

Let  $\tilde{M}^*$  be the Hilbert space adjoint of  $\tilde{M}$ , and check that  $\tilde{M}^* \tilde{M}f \leq 2\tilde{M}f$  pointwise. Recall (or just take for granted) that the operator norm of any linear operator  $A$  on a Hilbert space satisfies  $\|A\| = \|A^*\| = \|A^*A\|^{1/2}$ .